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Oregon and its counties
2022 Edition


Welcome to the 2022 edition of Oregon by the Numbers. This is the fifth edition of our annual indicator report. Because publications like Oregon by the Numbers rely on large datasets and population-level measures, we always experience a time lag between when the data are collected and when they are reported - creating a sense of looking back a few years.

This 2022 edition is the first look we have at the early effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the health, education and economic well-being of Oregonians. We still do not have access to all of the data that will help us understand the full magnitude of the impact. That will take a few more years.

The Ford Family Foundation is committed to constantly improving this publication. Our goal is to help inform decision makers across all of Oregon's diverse communities. As we learn, we evolve and adapt. One example of a change this year is an update to the 2 -year-old vaccination rate. We previously reported the vaccine series required for entry into public schools. At the advice of local public health officials, we have altered this entry to reflect the official childhood vaccination series used by the Oregon Health Authority. In addition, this year a group of residents representing counties across the state reviewed the publication to check for errors and provide local insight on the data. We are grateful for their wisdom and have listed their names on the back cover.

We provide a printed version of the report in even years (2018, 2020 and now 2022); in the odd-numbered years we only refresh the data online. For the most part, the 2022 edition of Oregon by the Numbers simply updates the data made available in the 2021 edition, using the same format and measures. However, due to data challenges related to COVID-19 in 2020, not all measures in this year's report have updated as they usually would. A PDF download of the current report can be found on our website, along with an archive of prior reports.

We are privileged to work alongside resilient and resourceful Oregonians who join us in creating community systems that support lifelong educational success and socioeconomic well-being. As always, we hope Oregon by the Numbers helps you learn something about the place where you live as well as other parts of the state. One of our goals is to help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

We are eager to learn about how readers use this report and how we can improve it. Please send an email to OBTN@tfff.org or connect with us on social media @FordFamilyFound.

Sincerely,
Ackil
Anne C. Kubisch
President
The Ford Family Foundation
Roseburg, Oregon

## This report

The original concept for the Oregon by the Numbers report stemmed from a single question:

## What essential measures should all Oregon decision makers be able to easily access for their community?

Over the years, Oregonians in a variety of fields have provided insights into this question, yielding a rich collection of indicators. All fall into one of the six topic areas that make up the current Oregon by the Numbers report, which prioritizes data available at the county level.

Demographics and Land: Measures describing the people and places of each county

Community: Measures of social well-being
Education: Measures of academic achievement
Economy: Measures pertaining to enterprise, income and the exchange of goods and services

Health: Measures of individual wellness
Infrastructure: Measures of resources supporting individual self-reliance and family livelihoods

The data assembled here build on The Ford Family Foundation's long-term investment in the Rural Communities Explorer (RCE) (https:// oregonexplorer.info/topics/rural-communities) at Oregon State University. The RCE is a free webbased data resource that brings together numerous population-level measures in one place, with the goal of making community data readily available to residents across the state. The project has continued to evolve for more than 15 years with input from the nonprofit sector, higher education, philanthropy and rural communities themselves.

Oregon by the Numbers contains a suite of community measures across a range of topics and issues, relying extensively on secondary data from a variety of sources stored on the Communities Reporter Tool database from the RCE. Comprised of county profiles for all 36 counties as well as corresponding summaries for each measure, with rankings whenever possible, the Oregon by the Numbers report targets a broad audience. County profiles are designed to display data at-a-glance, readily accessible to youth and adults alike, enabling all users to learn something new about the place where they live. Measure summaries provide more specificity for those interested in the "why" and "how." Maps on the measure summaries show counties shaded according to their ranking (top: ranks 1-12, middle: ranks 1324 and bottom: ranks 25-36). Whenever possible, county-level values are compared with Oregon, rural Oregon and urban Oregon.

While each measure in Oregon by the Numbers was selected with practical use in mind, the report is not a diagnostic tool. Instead, Oregon by the Numbers serves as a snapshot of the state and its counties at a particular moment in time. Because most measures here stem from government-funded data collection efforts, the numbers can often reflect historic bias and stereotypes. For example, we select measures for which data is generally available in all of Oregon's 36 counties, regardless of their size, so that no county feels erased, marginalized or missing. The COVID-19 pandemic has presented additional challenges in this regard, especially for data collected in 2020, which we note throughout the report. We encourage users to be critical consumers of the data and hope readers will inform the production team about any issues or concerns they encounter.

## Data Highlights: Oregon Voices

Last year, The Ford Family Foundation, in partnership with researchers from Portland State University and ECONorthwest, conducted a first-of-its-kind statewide listening project through a survey called Oregon Voices. The goal of Oregon Voices was to learn more about the lived experiences of households across Oregon with the intention of using the results to influence programs and policies that affect the lives of Oregon's children, families and communities. Representatives of more than 4,000 households participated and results will become publicly available beginning early fall 2022. Oregon Voices used two phases of data collection to support strong rural representation in the data and increase the

likelihood of viable county-level reporting for each of Oregon's 36 counties. Data collection took place from July through November 2021. The survey was offered in English and Spanish.

## Phase 1: "Random Household"

Because of the Foundation's rural mission and service area, the first research phase intentionally oversampled for households in rural counties. A random sample of 500 households in each Oregon country was selected from a national database. In total 18,000 households were invited to participate. Respondents had the option of completing the survey online, over the phone or on paper with prepaid return envelopes. Of the more than 2,000 surveys completed during the random household phase, about half opted for a paper survey, and a handful chose to complete it through a phone interview. The remainder took the survey online.

## Phase 2: "Engagement"

The second phase of Oregon Voices reached out via email to 10,000 of the Foundation's grantees, scholarship recipients, regional partners and staff. Each person invited to participate was also encouraged to share the survey with those who might not know the Foundation as well. While the number of respondents was nearly identical to the first phase of Oregon Voices, almost all completed the survey online, with only a few opting for paper.

The Foundation staff are deeply grateful to all who contributed to the Oregon Voices survey project. The research team is eager to share with our state what they are learning from the data. Below are three visualizations to provide an early look into the findings:

## Participation by County

The chart below shows the number of respondents in each phase by county. Wheeler County was the top reporting county during the random household phase of Oregon Voices and Douglas County, the Foundation's home county, was the top reporting county for the engagement phase.


## What People Appreciate About Where They Live

One of the early questions on the survey asked people to name something they appreciate about where they live. These open-ended responses were coded into categories and then sorted according to whether people saw their community as more rural or more urban. The results appear below.


Built Environment, Services, Economy


Natural Environment


Location in Oregon


## Community Pride

The last visual uses the self-reported data about rural and urban and combines it with the data from a question about how often people reported feeling pride in the place where they live.



> The Oregon Voices research team will continue to release results. For more data and results, please visit ORVoices.org.

## Oregon's Shared Fate

The Ford Family Foundation is a "rural" funder, headquartered in Roseburg, Oregon. What do we mean by "rural"? There is no single definition. In fact, even our federal agencies define "rural" in more than a dozen different ways. The Ford Family Foundation has its own definition, grounded in its explicit commitment to serving rural Oregon and Siskiyou County, California. We combine multiple factors: size, proximity to a metropolitan area and the nature of the community to discern whether or not we consider a community "rural." The Foundation also sees "rural" as a continuum that ranges from small cities located near an interstate freeway to very remote towns situated hours of driving away from any urban area.

While we find county-level "rural" or "urban" designations problematic, we view 26 of Oregon's 36 counties as exclusively "rural" for grantmaking purposes. The other 10 counties either comprise the Portland metropolitan area or contain an urban center with more than 50,000 people. ${ }^{1}$

Because we have no standard or agreed-upon "rural" definition in the United States, making comparisons across communities for research and policy purposes can prove difficult. Generally, Oregon by the Numbers uses the U.S. Census Bureau's definition of rural: any area or population not included in an urban area. Urban areas are defined as densely settled areas of at least 500 people per square mile that total a population of 2,500 or more.

[^0]This practice tends to underestimate what most Oregonians would perceive of as "rural" in the 21st century. For example, according to the U.S. Census Bureau's definition, a county like the Foundation's home county (Douglas County) has a population

## Frontier and Remote

Areas that are both sparsely populated and at great distance from more densely populated ones have unique social circumstances, especially the effort needed to access basic goods and services. The USDA has developed a special coding system to delineate areas into four different levels based on the travel time by car to population centers of various sizes. They are called "frontier and remote" or "FAR" codes. FAR Level 1 is at least one hour away from an urban center of 50,000 or more people, meaning residents must travel long distances to access complex medical procedures or purchase more expensive goods, such as appliances. FAR Level 4 is similarly remote, but with travel time to communities as small as 2,500 . Over $40 \%$ of Oregon is designated FAR Level 4, meaning accessing basic needs, such as groceries and gasoline, can be challenging.

While the FAR coding system helps policy makers get a better sense of the living conditions in geographically remote areas, the language can prove problematic, especially the word "frontier." For many people, this word has become synonymous with freedom and wide open spaces. However, for many others, this same word signifies forced removal and genocide. Both are true. We continue to learn how complicated the truth can be.
that is only $41 \%$ rural, even though we view it as 100\% "rural." This year's county-level reviewers affirmed this pattern. An additional challenge we face in Oregon is the concentration of the state's population in a small number of counties, whereas most of the land is very sparsely populated.

Not long ago, Oregon's population was roughly distributed between rural and urban with one rural resident for every one urban resident. However, as Oregon's population has grown in recent years, that growth has largely taken place in urban areas,
leading to increasing urbanization and growing disparities between the state's rural and urban communities - a trend we see nationwide.

While the exact ratio varies according to the definition of rural used, Oregon's rural/urban split now stands at roughly one rural resident for every two urban residents. Regardless of whether you live in a rural or urban community, we are all Oregonians. As residents of the same state, we have one shared fate. We hope Oregon by the Numbers will help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

## Oregon's Population Density

by Census Tract


[^1] 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## Ten things to do with Oregon by the Numbers

Oregon by the Numbers is designed to be valuable to all - from legislators to the general public, from community builders to student leaders. Below are some questions to encourage exploration of this report:

1. Look at the map of the counties on the right. How many of Oregon's 36 counties have you visited?
2. Turn to the page for your "home" county and find something that makes you proud to be from that place. What is something your county could improve on?
3. Oregon becomes a more diverse state every year. Which county has the largest percentage of people of color?
4. Find the population pyramid summary on page 104. What patterns do you see related to the age of Oregon's rural and urban populations?
5. Life expectancy in Oregon varies across the state. See page 105. In which county are Oregonians expected to live the longest?
6. Oregon has nine federally recognized tribes. How many of them can you name? See a list of the tribes and learn more about their history on page 97.
7. Which industries employ the most Oregonians in each county? Take a guess and then review the data on pages 108-110.
8. In which counties does it look like students are succeeding in school? How are those counties doing on other measures related to children, such as foster care?
9. Not all Oregon counties have broadband access and even when they do, many households cannot afford it. Explore this challenge on page 134.
10. Turn to Notable Features on pages 102-103. Have you been to all the notable features in your county? Find a place you hope to visit on a future road trip.
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## BAKER COUNTY

Total population
16,090
Rural population
41\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
76

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


Baker \$46,250
Oregon
\$65,667

Total land area
3,088 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$


Public land
52\%
Developed/cultivated land

$\star$ County seat $O$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.7\%
Asian: 0.3\%
Black/African American: 1.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.1\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


| COMMUNITY | BAKER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.1\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 20.1\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.4 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 30.5 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 80.7\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 10.8 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 47.1\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 73.2\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79.6\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 25.0\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 7.2\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 49.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -19.3 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,310 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$617 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 13.3\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 65.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 56.0\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 51.1\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 39.6\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 73.1\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 25.4\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 14.4\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 17,315 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^3]
## BENTON COUNTY

Total population
92,168
Rural population
19\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
84

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{86}$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Total land area
$678 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$


Developed/cultivated land
Public land 34\%

Corvallis
Corvallis Watershed Wild Animal Refuge


County seat $\bigcirc$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $39 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.4\%
Asian: 7.4\%
Black/African American: 1.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.6\%
White: 79.6\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Professional and technical services


|  | BENTON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 8 . 7}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{8 8 . 9 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |

## EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (letter sounds)
3rd grade reading
9 th grade on track
5-year high school graduation rate
4-year college degree or greater

| $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 3 . 7 \%}$ | $46.5 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 . 4 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 8 . 4 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 3 . 3} \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{6 0 . 7 \%}$ |  | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 3 0 . 8}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 5 3}$ |  | $\$ 1,684$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 1}$ |  |  | $\$ 1,739$ |

HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 1 \%}$ |  | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 7 . 4 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |



INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{6 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{2 , 4 5 1}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^4]
## CLACKAMAS COUNTY

Total population
415,084
Rural population
18\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
108

Total land area
1,883 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$


## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




| CLACKAMAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 . 4 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7 . 1 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 . 9 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 9.3 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 54.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 88.3\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 88.6\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 38.0\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 3 0 . 0}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 8 9}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | 5.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 74.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 61.4\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 58.8\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 24.4\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 98.2\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 45.1\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 5.7\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,723 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^5]
## CLATSOP COUNTY



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



| COMMUNITY | CLATSOP | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.8\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 10.3\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 9.2 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 30.9 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 81.3\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 8.3 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 41.1\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 86.7\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 84.0\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 24.0\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 9.6\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -48.7 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,226 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$825 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 5.8\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 62.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 68.6\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59.7\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 31.7\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 97.3\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 9.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 34.5\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 5.9\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,587 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^6]
## COLUMBIA COUNTY

Total population
52,117
Rural population
44\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
66

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation

| COLUMBIA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{4 0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 5}$ |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 8 . 4 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 2}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 8 9}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ |  | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 9 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 6 . 9 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 4 . 4 \%}$ | $97.6 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 1 . 7 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{4 , 7 3 2}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^7]
## COOS COUNTY



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



| COMMUNITY | coos | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 15.2\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 23.2\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 13.6 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 27.6 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 79.3\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 5.9 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 42.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 74.9\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 70.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 19.9\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 8.6\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 51.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -18.3 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,133 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$712 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 69.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 54.3\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 58.5\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 36.8\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 91.9\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 33.7\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 15.7\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,517 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^8]
## CROOK COUNTY

Total population
23,733
Rural population
48\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
128

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




|  | CROOK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7} \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{8 1 . 5 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Voter participation |  |  |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 7.3 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | 47.6\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 87.3\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 73.4\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 18.8\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{8 . 8 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 2 . 9 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 9}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 9 5}$ |  |  |  |

## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5 \%}$ | $25.5 \%$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{5 . 3 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 5 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{4 , 7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 3 7}$ |

[^9]
## CURRY COUNTY

Total population
22,889
Rural population
39\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
119

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



POPULATION BY AGE


| COMMUNITY | CURRY | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.8\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.7\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.7 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 16.0 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 81.0\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 5.5 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 41.2\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 91.7\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 23.5\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 8.7\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 42.6\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -21.7 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,154 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$831 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 53.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 53.5\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 56.4\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 29.8\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 95.7\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 10.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 9.2\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 17.8\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,047 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^10]
## DESCHUTES COUNTY

Total population
191,749
Rural population
28\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
201

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




| DESCHUTES | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 . 4}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{8 5 . 3} \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 9.0 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 61.0\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 88.8\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 84.5\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 37.2\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{6 3 . 5 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 3 6 . 1}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 9 8 0}$ |  | $\$ 1,684$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 8 6}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 4 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{6 4 . 1 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 9 . 9 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 8 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{2 3 . 6 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{3 , 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 5}$ | 3,737 |

[^11]
## DOUGLAS COUNTY

Total population
110,015
Rural population
41\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
80

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Douglas \$50,031
Oregon
$\$ 65,667$
Total land area
5,133 mi ${ }^{2}$


Public land
Developed/cultivated land
51\% 9\%

Oregon Dunes
National Recreation Area

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $46 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |
|  | ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.1\%
Asian: 0.8\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 4.2\%
White: 87.3\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Wood product manufacturing


Food services and drinking places


Educational services


Food insecurity
Child poverty* Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) Voter participation

| DOUGLAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 . 7}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 9 . 0 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{4 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 2 . 9 \%}$ | 86.5 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{7 1 . 5 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 6 3}$ |  | $\$ 1,684$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 1 5}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 4 . 4 \%}$ | $97.6 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 2 . 6 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{9 , 3 4 8}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^12]
## GILLIAM COUNTY

Total population
1,896
Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
69

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY


## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
8\%

Developed/cultivated land 29\%

Earl Snell Memorial Park
$\star$ Condon
$\star$ County seat
Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $44 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |
|  | ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 3.4\%
Asian: 0.9\%
Black/African American: 0.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.5\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.6\%
Some other race: $2.1 \%$
Two or more races: 1.7\%

```
                                    White: 86.8%
```


## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



| COMMUNITY | GILLIAM | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.1\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 5.5\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 0.0 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 19.6 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 86.1\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 9.4 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 54.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 95.0\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 84.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 21.7\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.1\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 52.9\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -23.4 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$4,835 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$726 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 68.7\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59.8\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 39.3\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 63.2\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 24.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 0.0\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 17.6\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 81,587 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^13]
## GRANT COUNTY

Total population
7,174
Rural population
100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
17

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



38 Oregon by the Numbers
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Forestry and logging


Hospitals


Educational services

| COMMUNITY | GRANT | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 16.6\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 27.2\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 17.6 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 3.8 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 84.6\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 9.9 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 57.1\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 89.1\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 92.1\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 20.8\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 8.3\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 52.9\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -12.8 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,183 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$629 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 10.9\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 52.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 54.3\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 52.9\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 30.9\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 63.9\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 49.0\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 21.1\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 7,685 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^14]
## HARNEY COUNTY



Harney \$43,387
Oregon \$65,667

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Public land
75\%

Developed/cultivated land
10,228 mi$^{2}$



## POPULATION BY AGE



```
White: 86.6\%
```

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Food services and drinking places


|  | HARNEY | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{8 2 . 9} \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Voter participation |  |  |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 12.0 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | 51.3\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 94.7\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 93.1\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 16.5\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 7 . 0 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 3 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 5 9 8}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{8 . 6 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{6 9 . 8 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 4 . 8 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%}$ | $25.5 \%$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{9 . 3 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 6 5}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^15]
## HOOD RIVER COUNTY

Total population
23,270
Rural population
52\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
99

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

 533 mi $^{2}$


Public land 73\%

Developed/cultivated land


Largest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.7\%
Asian: 1.3\%
|Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 31.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.0\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 2.6\%
White: 63.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Crop production


Food services and drinking places


Professional and technical services


[^16]
## JACKSON COUNTY

Total population
218,781
Rural population
20\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
96

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



44 Oregon by the Numbers
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food services and drinking places


| COMMUNITY | JACKSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.7\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 17.8\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 10.0 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 31.8 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 80.3\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 5.7 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 42.0\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 86.1\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 85.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 28.8\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 7.8\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 58.1\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -22.0 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,391 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$792 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 65.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 60.6\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 55.4\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 27.2\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 96.8\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 12.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 45.6\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 11.9\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,221 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^17]
## JEFFERSON COUNTY

Total population
24,048
Rural population
63\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
68

Total land area
1,792 $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$


FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY


## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
51\%
Developed/cultivated land 8\%

Lake Billy Chinook (*) Madras

- The Cove Palisades State Park
Black Butte
$\star$ County seat
$\bigcirc$ Largest community


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 13.6\%
Asian: 0.5\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 20.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.5\%
Two or more races: 5.8\%
White: 58.5\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Social assistance


## COMMUNITY

Food insecurity
Child poverty* Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) Voter participation

| JEFFERSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 5 . 0 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |

## EDUCATION



| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  | 7.7 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{4 2 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 5 \%}$ | $42.2 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{7 9 . 3} \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4 \%}$ | $34.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5 \%}$ |

## ECONOMY

|  | Unemployment rate | 8.2\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 53.0\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -14.1 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,241 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$739 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 5.6\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 66.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 50.3\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 49.1\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| (1) | Tobacco use | 23.0\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband availability | 98.9\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
|  | Transit service | 21.4\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 18.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,051 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^18]Total population
87,097
Rural population
45\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
91

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

 Josephine \$47,733

Oregon
\$65,667

Total land area
1,641 $\mathbf{m i}^{\mathbf{2}}$


Public land
68\%

Developed/cultivated land 9\%


Sargest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0\%
Asian: 0.8\%
Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.5\%
Two or more races: 3.7\%

```
White: \(85.8 \%\)
```

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Social assistance



COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation

| JOSEPHINE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 9 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 . 6}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 6 . 3 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |

EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 5.9 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 48.3\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 81.9\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 80.9\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 18.1\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{4 8 . 9 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 7}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 0 9}$ |  | $\$ 1,684$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 1 2}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{8 . 0 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5 \%}$ | $60.5 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 2 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{8 9 . 4 \%}$ | $97.6 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ | $7.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 6}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^19]
## KLAMATH COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
60\%

Developed/ cultivated land
8\%


Social assistance

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Lake of the Woods
(大) Klamath Falls
$\star$ County seat
Largest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $48 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 3.6\%
Asian: 1.1\%
| Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 13.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 4.4\%
White: 76.8\%


Winema National Forest Crater Lake National Park


COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
Child poverty* Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) Voter participation

## EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 5.1 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 38.9\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 82.3\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 81.1\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 21.2\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |

ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{8 . 7 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 2 . 6 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 6}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 6 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 4 8}$ |  |  |  |

## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{6 2 . 8 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 9 . 6 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1 \%}$ | $97.6 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{6 , 7 6 4}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^20]
## LAKE COUNTY

Total population
7,896
Rural population
63\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
48

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



52 Oregon by the Numbers


Hospitals

Public land 75\% 3\%

Fremont National Forest

Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge

* Lakeview
$\star$ County seat
Sargest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.8\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.0\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.1\%
White: 84.1\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Wood product


|  | LAKE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7} \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ | 29.7 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8}$ | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{8 1 . 9} \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 8.3 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 40.8\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 81.7\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 93.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 19.4\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 2 . 3 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 4 . 4}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 4}$ |  |  |  |

## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{6 9 . 4 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 \%}$ | $25.5 \%$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{5 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{8 , 3 8 2}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^21]
## LANE COUNTY

Total population
377,749
Rural population
18\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
82

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



54 Oregon by the Numbers
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Ambulatory health care services

| COMMUNITY | LANE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.6\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 18.7\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.5 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 27.9 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 82.3\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 8.2 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 45.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 85.1\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79.2\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 31.9\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 7.9\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.1\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -30.0 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,491 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$893 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 75.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 57.9\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 53.0\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 25.7\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 99.1\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 56.8\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 8.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,752 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^22]
## LINCOLN COUNTY

Total population
49,336
Rural population
38\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
86

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY


## POPULATION BY AGE




| COMMUNITY | LINCOLN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9} \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 6 . 7}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{8 1 . 0} \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |

## EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 7.5 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 35.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 78.2\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 77.8\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 27.7\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 4 5 . 7}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 4 3 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 1 2}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 2 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 7 . 1 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 7 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 4 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 9 . 8 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $15.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^23]
## LINN COUNTY

Total population
127,216
Rural population
32\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
70

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




| COMMUNITY | LINN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{7 8 . 5 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 6.2 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rd grade reading | 42.6\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 76.1\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 79.4\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 19.5\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | 7.8\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | 59.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -25.1 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,370 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$832 |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 9 . 2 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 8 . 7 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3} \%$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{8 , 1 2 2}$ | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^24]
## MALHEUR COUNTY

Total population
30,632
Rural population
48\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
-12

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Malheur \$44,362
Oregon \$65,667

## POPULATION BY AGE




Food manufacturing


Justice, public order and safety activities


Educational services


|  | MALHEUR | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 9 . 5}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{7 2 . 8 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Voter participation |  |  |  |  |



## EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6 \%}$ | 4.7 |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 8 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 8 . 4 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 7}$ | -32.7 | $\mathbf{- 2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 2 4}$ |  | $\$ 1,684$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 5}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 3 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 6 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 3 . 6 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 5 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | 15.0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 5}$ |

[^25]
## MARION COUNTY

Total population
343,742
Rural population
13\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
52

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



62 Oregon by the Numbers


Educational services



Ambulatory health care services

Public land
35\%

Developed/cultivated land
1,191 $\mathbf{m i}^{2}$


$\star$ County seat
Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

|  | $48 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5\%
Asian: 2.2\%
| Black/African American: 0.9\%

Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.0\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 3.8\%
White: 64.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


| COMMUNITY | MARION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{3 8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{7 8 . 9 \%}$ | $82.0 \%$ |  |  |



## EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 4 . 8 \%}$ | $86.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 2 . 0 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{6 1 . 9 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 2 1 . 9}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 7 6 1}$ |  |  |  |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{5 9 . 9 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $15.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{4 , 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 3 7}$ |

[^26]
## MORROW COUNTY

Total population

## 11,425

Rural population
46\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
66

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




[^27]
## MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Total population
809,869
Rural population
1\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
77

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

 $465 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$


## POPULATION BY AGE



66 Oregon by the Numbers

Public land 36\%

## Developed/cultivated land



HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.7\%
Asian: 7.7\%
Black/African American: 5.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 11.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.6\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 4.7\%
White: 68.9\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Professional and technical services


| COMMUNITY | MULTNOMAH | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.2\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 16.8\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8.8 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 51.6 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 82.2\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 8.2 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 46.7\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 86.8\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 82.4\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 46.5\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 8.6\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 69.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -59.1 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,108 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,289 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 70.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 58.4\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 53.8\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 22.8\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 98.9\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 22.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 85.9\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 1.6\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,223 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^28]
## POLK COUNTY

Total population
84,730
Rural population
20\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)

## 81

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



68 Oregon by the Numbers
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Nursing and residential care facilities


[^29]
## SHERMAN COUNTY

Total population
1,686
Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
21

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Oregon
\$65,667

## LIFE EXPECTANCY

Population size limits data by sex


## POPULATION BY AGE



| COMMUNITY | SHERMAN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.4\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 13.6\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | ID | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 32.3 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 85.4\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 10.5 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 40.0\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 78.3\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 92.0\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 20.0\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.1\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -6.2 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$4,266 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$675 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8.7\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 68.7\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59.8\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 39.3\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 73.5\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 0.0\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 26.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 69,838 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## TILLAMOOK COUNTY

Total population
26,782
Rural population
70\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
69

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



| COMMUNITY | TILLAMOOK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.7\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 16.0\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 6.2 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 27.7 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 82.8\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 6.7 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 34.4\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 86.4\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 88.4\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 21.4\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 8.1\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 50.7\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -32.4 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,244 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$698 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 4.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 69.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 60.8\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 55.5\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 23.7\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 98.5\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 7.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 32.0\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 10.4\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,000 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

[^30]
## UMATILLA COUNTY

Total population
77,319
Rural population
29\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
23

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land
27\% 33\%

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $45 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $17 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Below | Below |
| Poverty | ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.5\%
Asian: 0.8\%
Black/African American: 1.0\%
— Hispanic/Latino: 27.2\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 3.1\%
White: 65.0\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Agriculture \& forestry support activity


Food services and drinking places

| COMMUNITY | UMATILLA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.8\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 19.1\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.3 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 25.1 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 73.7\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 6.7 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 43.1\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 84.6\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 81.7\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 17.5\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.8\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -13.2 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,228 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$666 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 5.7\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 67.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 63.1\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 64.5\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 27.1\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 94.6\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 44.6\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 15.7\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,187 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |
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## UNION COUNTY

Total population
26,502
Rural population
42\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
34

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE




HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $44 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.5\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.6\%
White: 88.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Transportation equipment manufacturing

| COMMUNITY | UNION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.5\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.4\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 5.3 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 21.8 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 80.2\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 11.0 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 48.7\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 84.3\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 86.5\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 24.2\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 7.8\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 56.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -14.0 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,050 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$644 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.9\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 70.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 64.4\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 62.4\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 34.6\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 89.5\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 43.9\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 14.3\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,588 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |
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## WALLOWA COUNTY

Total population
7,065
Rural population
100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
59

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
59\%

Developed/cultivated land 3,151 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$



HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $45 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 0.2\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 3.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.3\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 2.5\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES




COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
Child poverty* Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) Voter participation

EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9 \%}$ | $86.5 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6 \%}$ | $85.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9 \%}$ | $83.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ |
|  |  | $34.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 \%}$ |



ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{7 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 \%}$ | $54.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 7 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 8 2}$ |  | -21.1 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 . 9}$ |



## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{1 . 9 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 8 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{6 8 . 3 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{6 3 . 7 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Tobacco use | ID | $25.5 \%$ |  |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{8 5 . 7} \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | 11.0 | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Transit service | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 \%}$ | $53.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $5.9 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{5 , 9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 5}$ | 3,737 |  |  |  |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## WASCO COUNTY

Total population
26,274
Rural population
33\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)

## 85

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECtancy 81

## POPULATION BY AGE



| COMMUNITY | WASCO | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.8\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.6\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8.7 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 31.3 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 79.0\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 4.6 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 44.5\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9th grade on track | 74.5\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 76.3\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 20.4\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 7.1\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 59.6\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -16.8 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,462 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$869 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.0\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 68.7\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59.8\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 39.3\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 89.9\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 19.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 17.8\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 18.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 13,186 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |
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## WASHINGTON COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 15\% 46\%

$\star$ County seat
Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3\%


Black/African American: 2.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 16.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.8\%
White: 64.7\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Computer and electronic product manufacturing


Administrative and support services


Food services and drinking places

| COMMUNITY | WASHINGTON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 8.4\% | 11.5\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 10.4\% | 15.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 3.1 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 19.3 | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Voter participation | 84.8\% | 82.0\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | 9.5 of 26 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 54.9\% | 46.5\% | 42.2\% | 48.0\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 90.5\% | 85.3\% | 82.7\% | 86.5\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 89.1\% | 83.0\% | 79.1\% | 84.7\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 44.9\% | 34.4\% | 24.7\% | 38.5\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.5\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 69.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.8\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -32.7 | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,896 | \$1,684 | \$1,395 | \$1,739 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,289 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 75.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 63.9\% | 60.1\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 62.2\% | 57.3\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 20.3\% | 25.5\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 99.8\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 18.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 56.1\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 2.2\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 2,609 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |
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## WHEELER COUNTY

Total population
1,417
Rural population
100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
59

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW <br> GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Wheeler \$45,354

Oregon
\$65,667

## LIFE EXPECTANCY

Population size limits data by sex

## POPULATION BY AGE



Total land area
1,717 $\mathbf{m i}^{2}$


Public land
29\%

Ochoco National Forest -
$\star$ County seat
Sargest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $56 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 0.8\%
Black/African American: 0.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 9.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 3.8\%
White: 85.8\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Animal production


|  | WHEELER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 \%}$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 7.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | 29.7 | 24.8 | 30.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{9 0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| Voter participation |  |  |  |  |

## EDUCATION

| Kindergarten ready (letter sounds) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{4 8 . 0 \%}$ | 4.7 |  |  |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 \%}$ | 85.5 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 0 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8 \%}$ | $83.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 7 \%}$ |

## ECONOMY

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{4 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 \%}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8 \%}$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 3 0 . 4}$ | -32.7 | -21.1 | -34.9 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 4}$ |  |  |  |

## HEALTH

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{9 . 1 \%}$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | ID | $71.0 \%$ |  |
| Good physical health | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7 \%}$ | $60.1 \%$ |  |
| Good mental health | $\mathbf{5 5 . 7 \%}$ | $57.3 \%$ |  |
| Tobacco use | ID | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |  |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| Broadband availability | 0.0\% | 97.6\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 6.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 16.0 |
| Transit service | 4.7\% | 53.1\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 23.8\% | 7.7\% | 15.7\% | 5.9\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 13,290 | 4,570 | 8,915 | 3,737 |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## YAMHILL COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



86 Oregon by the Numbers
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Public land
17\%

Developed/cultivated land 45\% Bald Peak State Scenic Viewpoint

* McMinnville
Creek Falls

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $54 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $15 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
Asian: 1.4\%
| Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 15.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.3\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 4.0\%
White: 76.7\%


Educational services


Crop production


[^35]
## Data by Measure

## Measure selection

The process of selecting measures for the first edition (2018) of Oregon by the Numbers began with a comprehensive analysis of existing indicator reports followed by a juried ranking for the final selection. The process prioritized inclusion of broadly desired measures, while simultaneously leveraging the knowledge of experts to ensure policy relevance. To begin, researchers at Oregon State University used a crossover matrix of measures and reports to generate a short list of candidate measures for Oregon by the Numbers (based on data already available in the Communities Reporter Tool). They then reviewed the short list to determine causal or covariant relationships of the measures, prioritizing those with central influence and/or those that best function as overall indicators of societal progress.

From there, the list went to the Board of Directors and staff of The Ford Family Foundation for review and revision based on internal research and input from rural residents. Each year, the production team updates the text and measures based on reader feedback and new data that may have become available, populating each topic area with a sufficient number and type of measures to ensure value for all of Oregon's 36 counties. While the production team prioritizes continuity of measures from one year to the next, we also want to ensure that the report incorporates the best available data. So, there will be changes from time to time.

## American Community Survey

The federal government has collected information about the American population since 1790 with the decennial census. Currently, the decennial census asks a very limited number of questions. To provide more timely information across a broader range of topics, the American Community Survey (ACS) has been collected on a continuous basis since 2005. In 2009, the U.S. Census Bureau released the first
annual installment of data from the ACS about the economic, demographic, housing and social characteristics of America's people and households. Due to the population sizes of many of Oregon's counties, Oregon by the Numbers presents five-year estimates from ACS. Starting in 2022, we can see the influence of COVID-19 in ACS data, but it is important to recognize that these five-year estimates include multiple years of data collected before the pandemic.

While ACS data provide unprecedented access to information about key issues for real-time decision making, there are limitations. Some people do not respond to the ACS due to concerns about confidentiality. The results are estimates based on an ongoing survey, not direct counts, and therefore subject to statistical error. The Census Bureau publishes the margin of error associated with each ACS estimate. For small communities and/ or for sub-groups within the population (e.g. age categories, racial/ethnic groups), the margin of error can be large relative to the estimate. In this report, any necessary cautions about margin of error are noted on the measure summary page. Despite the shortcomings noted above, ACS results help determine how our government systems distribute billions of dollars each year. The data can also suggest opportunities for community engagement.

## A note about rounding

In this report, data are often rounded from their original sources. Generally, we round to the nearest tenth place. However, county profiles have some values rounded to the nearest whole number to help with readability. This practice can occasionally yield confusing results when comparing values on county profiles to measure pages. For example, a value of 2.49 rounds to 2.5 when rounded to the tenth place but rounds to 2 when rounded to the nearest whole number.

## TOTAL POPULATION

## Definition: The total number of individuals living within a county's designated boundaries.

Population size provides insight into the nature of a county's residential communities. This measure is also important for tracking growth or declines within a specific county and making comparisons across counties. Changes in population occur through births, deaths and migration. Shifts in total population can affect funding from state and federal agencies. Such changes also suggest the extent to which the county is attracting new residents or whether the economy is prospering or struggling. As a measure, Total Population is useful in planning for current and future community needs.


| Rank | County | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 4,176,346 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 2,970,984 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 1,205,362 |
| 1 | Multnomah | 809,869 |
| 2 | Washington | 595,761 |
| 3 | Clackamas | 415,084 |
| 4 | Lane | 377,749 |
| 5 | Marion | 343,742 |
| 6 | Jackson | 218,781 |
| 7 | Deschutes | 191,749 |
| 8 | Linn | 127,216 |
| 9 | Douglas | 110,015 |
| 10 | Yamhill | 106,087 |
| 11 | Benton | 92,168 |
| 12 | Josephine | 87,097 |
| 13 | Polk | 84,730 |
| 14 | Umatilla | 77,319 |
| 15 | Klamath | 67,606 |
| 16 | Coos | 64,175 |
| 17 | Columbia | 52,117 |
| 18 | Lincoln | 49,336 |
| 19 | Clatsop | 39,656 |
| 20 | Malheur | 30,632 |
| 21 | Tillamook | 26,782 |
| 22 | Union | 26,502 |
| 23 | Wasco | 26,274 |
| 24 | Jefferson | 24,048 |
| 25 | Crook | 23,733 |
| 26 | Hood River | 23,270 |
| 27 | Curry | 22,889 |
| 28 | Baker | 16,090 |
| 29 | Morrow | 11,425 |
| 30 | Lake | 7,896 |
| 31 | Harney | 7,310 |
| 32 | Grant | 7,174 |
| 33 | Wallowa | 7,065 |
| 34 | Gilliam | 1,896 |
| 35 | Sherman | 1,686 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 1,417 |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## RURAL POPULATION

Definition: The percentage of people who reside outside of urban areas or clusters in a given county, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Rural communities, especially those defined as rural according to the U.S. Census guidelines, present significantly different contexts from their urban and suburban counterparts. The strengths, needs and capacities of rural communities differ accordingly. In Oregon, where there are only 12 cities with population greater than 50,000 , knowing the proportion of the rural population in a county allows decision makers to develop more balanced strategies to support different types of communities (see "Oregon's Shared Fate," page 8). Because this measure makes use of Census data released every decade, researchers are exploring alternatives for future reports.

* The U.S. Census Bureau has not yet released the 2020 data for this measure.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Grant | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Sherman | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wallowa | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wheeler | 100.0\% |
| 6 | Tillamook | 69.6\% |
| 7 | Lake | 63.3\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 63.1\% |
| 9 | Hood River | 52.2\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 48.4\% |
| 11 | Crook | 48.0\% |
| 12 | Morrow | 45.9\% |
| 13 | Josephine | 45.0\% |
| 14 | Harney | 44.3\% |
| 15 | Columbia | 43.6\% |
| 16 | Union | 42.1\% |
| 17 | Douglas | 41.2\% |
| 18 | Baker | 41.0\% |
| 19 | Clatsop | 39.0\% |
| 20 | Curry | 38.7\% |
| 21 | Coos | 38.4\% |
| 22 | Klamath | 37.6\% |
| 22 | Lincoln | 37.6\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 33.1\% |
| 25 | Linn | 31.6\% |
| 26 | Umatilla | 29.1\% |
| 27 | Deschutes | 27.6\% |
| 28 | Yamhill | 22.6\% |
| 29 | Jackson | 20.1\% |
| 30 | Polk | 19.9\% |
|  | Oregon | 19.0\% |
| 31 | Benton | 18.8\% |
| 32 | Clackamas | 18.1\% |
| 33 | Lane | 17.5\% |
| 34 | Marion | 13.1\% |
| 35 | Washington | 5.6\% |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.3\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, Decennial
Census, Table P2, 2010, updated decennially. Released 2012.

## NET MIGRATION

Definition: Net migration is the change in population per 1,000 residents due to people moving in or out of a given area over a specified time period.

Positive net migration means more people are moving into a county than are leaving, while a negative value means more people are moving out of a county than moving in. It is important for businesses and local leaders to understand net migration in order to anticipate the county's future demands. Population change resulting from migration requires different resources than change caused by births and deaths. Understanding the various contributors to population change is important for long-range planning.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Deschutes | 201.1 |
| 2 | Crook | 128.5 |
| 3 | Curry | 119.3 |
| 4 | Clackamas | 107.8 |
| 5 | Hood River | 98.7 |
| 6 | Jackson | 95.8 |
| 7 | Washington | 92.7 |
| 8 | Josephine | 91.4 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 89.1 |
| 9 | Lincoln | 86.0 |
| 10 | Wasco | 85.1 |
| 11 | Benton | 84.3 |
|  | Oregon | 84.0 |
| 12 | Lane | 81.7 |
| 13 | Polk | 81.1 |
| 14 | Douglas | 79.5 |
| 15 | Multnomah | 77.0 |
| 16 | Baker | 76.0 |
| 17 | Yamhill | 70.4 |
| 18 | Linn | 70.2 |
| 19 | Gilliam | 68.9 |
| 19 | Tillamook | 68.9 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 68.3 |
| 22 | Morrow | 66.3 |
| 23 | Columbia | 66.2 |
| 24 | Clatsop | 60.4 |
| 25 | Wheeler | 59.0 |
| 26 | Wallowa | 58.9 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 58.0 |
| 27 | Marion | 52.4 |
| 28 | Coos | 50.6 |
| 29 | Lake | 48.3 |
| 30 | Union | 34.1 |
| 31 | Umatilla | 23.3 |
| 32 | Klamath | 22.3 |
| 33 | Sherman | 20.8 |
| 34 | Grant | 16.9 |
| 35 | Harney | -7.9 |
| 36 | Malheur | -12.2 |
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## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.

BP<br>Burns Paiute Tribe<br>COW<br>Cow Creek Band of<br>Umpqua Tribe

## CLUS

Confederated Tribes of Coos,
Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians

## GR

Confederated Tribes of
Grande Ronde

UMA
Confederated Tribes of
Umatilla Indian Reservation
WSP
Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs

Each county profile displays the collection of nine ovals shown above. An oval is shaded dark green if, according to the definition above, a federally recognized tribe has presence in the county. The oval is shaded gray if it does not. Researchers at Oregon State University assembled this data for the first Oregon by the Numbers report. We acknowledge that this definition tends to underrepresent the presence of people in Oregon who identify as Indigenous or American Indian as well as Indian-affiliated organizations that are not associated with one of the federally recognized tribes. This visualization does not represent the ancestral or traditional homelands of these tribes. We continue to work towards an alternative and welcome input from readers with suggestions.

Dozens of Indigenous tribes and bands once inhabited the land now known as Oregon - and did so successfully for thousands of years until the arrival of Europeans in the 18th century. In 1797, the U.S. Congress passed the Northwest Ordinance, establishing the goal of westward expansion. Despite language indicating that lands and property would never be taken from native people without their consent, history would prove otherwise. Ultimately, European settlers acquired nearly 3 million acres of Indian land in Oregon.

The term "federally recognized" refers to the government-to-government relationships between the United States and Indian tribes, managed in
large part by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. In 1954, during the Termination Era, the U.S. Congress terminated every tribe and band in Western Oregon. The 1970s and 1980s served as an era of rebuilding tribal communities and land bases. The majority of Oregon's nine federally recognized tribes were restored through legal action at the federal level during that time.

Estimates suggest between 45,000 and 50,000 Native Americans presently reside in Oregon; there are Indians in every county. A significant portion of Native Americans in Oregon are affiliated with tribes other than those that are federally recognized as being located in Oregon. In addition, federally recognized tribes in neighboring states may have land and relationships within Oregon that are not represented here. For example, the Nez Perce tribe, federally recognized in Idaho, has bought back thousands of acres of traditional homeland in Wallowa County, Oregon.

This report highlights Oregon's federally recognized Indian tribes as an indication of potential government-to-government or other official relationships in Oregon's counties and across the state. Each federally recognized tribe is a distinct sovereign nation, with its own political and legal status described in the U.S. Constitution. Tribal members are U.S. citizens as well as citizens of their tribal nations.

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.

BP
Burns Paiute Tribe


Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe

## (2)

## CLUS <br> Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians



## GR

Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde


## UMA

Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation


COQ
Coquille Indian Tribe


KLA
Klamath Tribes


WSP
Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs


## LARGEST COMMUNITY

Definition: The name, location and population of the largest community within a county's boundaries.

The largest community is identified by comparing Census-designated population clusters within the county. Highlighting the size and location of the largest community within a county provides insight into how population is distributed within a particular county. Often the largest community in a county is also the county seat, but not always. The table on the right shows both. Two metropolitan areas are the largest communities for multiple counties: Portland for Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington; Salem for Marion and Polk. Because of the way Oregon's population is concentrated in a few counties, many of Oregon's largest communities do not appear in the county table to the right because there is a community of greater size in the same county. Examples include Beaverton, Gresham, Hillsboro and Springfield. The map below shows how Oregon's 100 largest communities are distributed across the state.


| County | Largest comumunity | Population | County seat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | Baker City | 9,786 | Baker City |
| Benton | Corvallis | 58,612 | Corvallis |
| Clackamas | Portland | 650,380 | Oregon City |
| Clatsop | Astoria | 9,929 | Astoria |
| Columbia | St. Helens | 13,779 | St. Helens |
| Coos | Coos Bay | 16,326 | Coquille |
| Crook | Prineville | 10,429 | Prineville |
| Curry | Brookings | 6,475 | Gold Beach |
| Deschutes | Bend | 97,032 | Bend |
| Douglas | Roseburg | 23,237 | Roseburg |
| Gilliam | Condon | 764 | Condon |
| Grant | John Day | 1,740 | Canyon City |
| Harney | Burns | 2,766 | Burns |
| Hood River | Hood River | 7,745 | Hood River |
| Jackson | Medford | 82,098 | Medford |
| Jefferson | Madras | 6,934 | Madras |
| Josephine | Grants Pass | 37,938 | Grants Pass |
| Klamath | Klamath Falls | 21,509 | Klamath Falls |
| Lake | Lakeview | 2,559 | Lakeview |
| Lane | Eugene | 170,457 | Eugene |
| Lincoln | Newport | 10,767 | Newport |
| Linn | Albany | 54,442 | Albany |
| Malheur | Ontario | 11,031 | Vale |
| Marion | Salem | 171,806 | Salem |
| Morrow | Boardman | 3,529 | Heppner |
| Multnomah | Portland | 650,380 | Portland |
| Polk | Salem | 171,806 | Dallas |
| Sherman | Wasco | 453 | Moro |
| Tillamook | Tillamook | 5,308 | Tillamook |
| Umatilla | Hermiston | 17,512 | Pendleton |
| Union | La Grande | 13,380 | La Grande |
| Wallowa | Enterprise | 2,181 | Enterprise |
| Wasco | The Dalles | 15,518 | The Dalles |
| Washington | Portland | 650,380 | Hillsboro |
| Wheeler | Fossil | 422 | Fossil |
| Yamhill | McMinnville | 34,466 | McMinnville |
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## MEDIAN INCOME

## Definition: The household income value at which 50\% of households in the county earn less and 50\% earn more.

Median household income in this report provides a measure of the typical or "middle" income level in a county as well as the overall economic well-being for residents. One drawback is that this measure treats all households equally regardless of the number of people in the household. The size of the household has an impact on how the income is distributed to individuals. However, median household income remains a broadly used measure. It is useful in tracking income growth, which is associated with the ability of residents to meet their needs, and comparing economic conditions across counties.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Washington | \$86,626 |
| 2 | Clackamas | \$82,911 |
| 3 | Hood River | \$72,418 |
| 4 | Multnomah | \$71,425 |
| 5 | Deschutes | \$68,937 |
| 6 | Columbia | \$68,170 |
| 7 | Yamhill | \$67,296 |
|  | Oregon | \$65,667 |
| 8 | Polk | \$65,665 |
| 9 | Benton | \$65,142 |
| 10 | Marion | \$61,817 |
| 11 | Linn | \$59,547 |
| 12 | Crook | \$59,000 |
| 13 | Umatilla | \$57,973 |
| 14 | Clatsop | \$57,466 |
| 15 | Morrow | \$56,572 |
| 16 | Jackson | \$56,327 |
| 17 | Jefferson | \$55,844 |
| 18 | Lane | \$54,942 |
| 19 | Wasco | \$54,725 |
| 20 | Tillamook | \$54,268 |
| 21 | Union | \$53,940 |
| 22 | Wallowa | \$53,423 |
| 23 | Curry | \$53,174 |
| 24 | Sherman | \$51,472 |
| 25 | Lincoln | \$50,775 |
| 26 | Douglas | \$50,031 |
| 27 | Coos | \$49,445 |
| 28 | Klamath | \$48,560 |
| 29 | Grant | \$48,202 |
| 30 | Josephine | \$47,733 |
| 31 | Baker | \$46,250 |
| 32 | Wheeler | \$45,354 |
| 33 | Malheur | \$44,362 |
| 34 | Lake | \$44,237 |
| 35 | Harney | \$43,387 |
| 36 | Gilliam | \$41,838 |
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## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

Definition: The percentage of households in a county with annual incomes below what is needed to cover the basic costs of living in the 21st century.

ALICE is an acronym that stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. ALICE describes the growing number of households in our country that do not earn enough income to afford the basic necessities. The ALICE research group, supported by United Way, defines basic household necessities as: food, housing, transportation, health care, child care and a smartphone plan. More than 20 states now participate as partners in the ALICE effort. Oregon is among them.

The ALICE research quantifies the number of households at the county level that are experiencing daily financial hardship. The research helps raise awareness about a growing population that does not qualify as poor but faces impossible choices day to day. ALICE households cannot save or build wealth because they do not earn enough to survive financially in our modern economy.

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, Oregon saw unemployment fall to historic lows while gross domestic product (GDP) grew. However, the costs associated with basic needs, especially housing, increased sharply while wages increased modestly, with significant consequences for working families. Using 2018 data, researchers estimated that income in $44 \%$ of Oregon's more than 1.6 million households was not enough to afford basic necessities. A closer look indicates that $12 \%$ of these households were living below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and another 32\% were ALICE households. Due to challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the ALICE data did not update in 2022.

To the right is a ranked table listing the percentage of "households in financial hardship" (poverty + ALICE) for each Oregon county. In addition, a set of maps shows how the ALICE threshold varies across the state. Geography is a determining factor, as is the age of the people in the household. Households in which the head of household is 65 or older tend to require less income to meet basic needs than households in which the head of household is younger than 65.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crook | 56\% |
| 1 | Wheeler | 56\% |
| 3 | Malheur | 54\% |
| 3 | Yamhill | 54\% |
| 5 | Jefferson | 53\% |
| 5 | Lake | 53\% |
| 7 | Coos | 49\% |
| 7 | Lincoln | 49\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 48\% |
| 9 | Klamath | 48\% |
| 9 | Marion | 48\% |
| 9 | Wasco | 48\% |
| 12 | Columbia | 47\% |
| 12 | Curry | 47\% |
| 12 | Harney | 47\% |
| 12 | Tillamook | 47\% |
| 16 | Baker | 46\% |
| 16 | Clatsop | 46\% |
| 16 | Douglas | 46\% |
| 16 | Josephine | 46\% |
| 20 | Grant | 45\% |
| 20 | Hood River | 45\% |
| 20 | Lane | 45\% |
| 20 | Sherman | 45\% |
| 20 | Umatilla | 45\% |
| 20 | Wallowa | 45\% |
|  | Oregon | 44\% |
| 26 | Gilliam | 44\% |
| 26 | Union | 44\% |
| 26 | Washington | 44\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 43\% |
| 29 | Clackamas | 43\% |
| 29 | Multnomah | 43\% |
| 31 | Morrow | 42\% |
| 32 | Linn | 41\% |
| 33 | Benton | 39\% |
| 34 | Jackson | 37\% |
| 34 | Polk | 37\% |
| 36 | Deschutes | 35\% |

Source: United Way ALICE Project, 2018. Released 2020.

[^39]If head of household is:
under age 65
有
age 65 or older

Then the minimum income needed for basic necessities is:
\$35,000
$\$ 40,000$
\$45,000
\$50,000
\$60,000
\$75,000

## NOTABLE FEATURES

## Definition: Prominent natural and community features that serve as points of interest for residents and visitors.

Researchers from Oregon State University Extension Service generated the original data for this indicator in 2018. Using multiple tools, they examined the online presence of named attractions within a particular county. In 2022, county-level reviewers made some modifications to the original data set based on their place-based experience, better reflecting what residents of a particular county find notable. Features reported here tend to be popular destinations for locals as well as tourists. These county destinations can help stimulate local economies through tourism dollars and civic engagement. In Oregon, parks figure prominently as county features. Readers of Oregon by the Numbers are encouraged to contact the production team with their recommendations for Notable Features to include in future editions of this report.

## Baker

Anthony Lakes Ski Area
Hells Canyon National Recreation Area
Wallowa Whitman National Forest

## Benton

Alsea Falls Recreational Site
Corvallis Watershed Wild Animal Refuge
Marys Peak

## Clackamas

Mount Hood National Forest
Trillium Lake
Willamette Falls

## Clatsop

Fort Stevens State Park
Haystack Rock
Lewis and Clark National and State Historical Parks

## Columbia

Collins Beach
Forest Grove District State Forest
Multnomah Channel

## Coos

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area
Shore Acres State Park
Sunset Bay State Park

## Crook

Ochoco National Forest
Ochoco Wayside State Park
Prineville Reservoir Wildlife Area

Curry
Cape Blanco State Park
Floras Lake State Natural Area
Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest

Deschutes
Lava River Cave
Mount Bachelor Ski Area
Smith Rock State Park

## Douglas

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area Umpqua National Forest Wildlife Safari

Gilliam
Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Area
Earl Snell Memorial Park
J S Burres State Park

Grant
John Day Fossil Beds National Monument
Malheur National Forest
Umatilla National Forest

## Harney

Malheur National Forest
Malheur National Wildlife Refuge
Steens Mountain

Hood River
Eagle Creek
Mount Hood Meadows Ski Area
Mount Hood National Forest

## Jackson

Bear Creek Greenway
Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument
Oregon Vortex

## Jefferson

Black Butte
The Cove Palisades State Park
Lake Billy Chinook

## Josephine

Indian Mary Park
Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserves
Rogue River-Siskiyou National Park

## Klamath

Crater Lake National Park
Lake of the Woods
Winema National Forest

## Lake

Derrick Cave
Fremont National Forest
Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge

## Lane

Row River Trail
Sea Lion Caves
Three Sisters

## Lincoln

D River State Recreation Site
Oregon Coast Aquarium
Yaquina Bay State Recreation Site

## Linn

Middle Santiam Wilderness
Mount Washington
Willamette National Forest

## Malheur

Lake Owyhee State Park
Ontario State Recreation Site
Succor Creek Natural Area

## Marion

Enchanted Forest
Oregon State Capitol
Silver Falls State Park

## Morrow

Umatilla National Forest
Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge
Willow Creek Dam

## Multnomah

Mark O Hatfield Wilderness
Mount Hood National Forest
Multnomah Falls

## Polk

Basket Slough National Wildlife Refuge
Sarah Helmick State Recreation Site
Valley of the Giants

## Sherman

Cottonwood Canyon State Park
Deschutes River State Recreation Area
John Day Dam

Tillamook
Cape Meares National Wildlife Refuge
Nehalem Bay State Park
Tillamook State Forest

## Umatilla

Bridge Creek Wildlife Area
Hat Rock State Park
Umatilla National Forest

## Union

Eagle Cap Mountain Peak
Mount Emily Recreation Area
Umatilla National Forest

## Wallowa

Wallowa Lake State Park
Wallowa Whitman National Forest
Zumwalt Prairie

## Wasco

Celilo Falls
Deschutes River Recreation Site
Mount Hood National Forest

## Washington

L L Stub Stewart State Park
Tualatin Hills Nature Park
Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

## Wheeler

Ochoco National Forest
Painted Hills
Umatilla National Forest

## Yamhill

Bald Peak State Scenic Viewpoint
Mount Hebo
Pheasant Creek Falls

POPULATION PYRAMID

Definition: Population pyramids show the men and women, population growth patterns, and the demand for specific types of goods and services. While named for their typically pyramidal shape, the graphs demonstrate that distribution of a population by age and by sex.

The population pyramid graphically represents the population. It can provide insights into the distribution of age groups, differences between many counties in Oregon have larger populations in higher age categories and will not follow this shape. The graphs on this page show population distributions for the entire state (right) followed by separate distributions for rural and urban populations (below).


Rural

Oregon



Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01001, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## LIFE EXPECTANCY

## Definition: The average number of years a person can expect to live.

Life expectancy is one measure of overall community health. Increasingly, researchers report strong connections between life expectancy and geography because so many social, environmental, behavioral and biological factors contribute to this outcome. Better access to health care and healthy activities can increase life expectancy. Higher rates of risky and unhealthy behaviors can lower life expectancy. Diet, tobacco and alcohol use, frequency of preventive health behaviors, employment in dangerous industries, and biological factors like cardiovascular disease can contribute to differences in life expectancy for men and women. In Oregon, men have a life expectancy of 77 years, while women have a life expectancy of 82 years. The separate calculations for men and women appear on most county profiles earlier in this report. Separate calculations may not be possible in counties with smaller populations.


| Rank | County | Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | 84.0 |
| 2 | Benton | 83.8 |
| 3 | Gilliam | 83.4 |
| 4 | Washington | 82.6 |
| 5 | Hood River | 82.0 |
| 6 | Wallowa | 81.6 |
| 7 | Deschutes | 81.2 |
| 8 | Clackamas | 81.1 |
| 9 | Morrow | 80.9 |
| 10 | Grant | 80.4 |
| 11 | Sherman | 80.3 |
| 12 | Yamhill | 79.8 |
|  | Oregon | 79.8 |
| 13 | Polk | 79.6 |
| 14 | Columbia | 79.3 |
| 14 | Lane | 79.3 |
| 14 | Marion | 79.3 |
| 14 | Multnomah | 79.3 |
| 18 | Crook | 79.2 |
| 18 | Jackson | 79.2 |
| 20 | Union | 78.9 |
| 21 | Clatsop | 78.8 |
| 22 | Malheur | 78.5 |
| 22 | Tillamook | 78.5 |
| 24 | Wasco | 78.3 |
| 25 | Harney | 78.1 |
| 25 | Umatilla | 78.1 |
| 27 | Baker | 78.0 |
| 28 | Lake | 77.7 |
| 28 | Linn | 77.7 |
| 30 | Douglas | 77.5 |
| 30 | Lincoln | 77.5 |
| 32 | Curry | 77.0 |
| 33 | Coos | 76.8 |
| 33 | Josephine | 76.8 |
| 35 | Jefferson | 76.6 |
| 36 | Klamath | 76.1 |
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## RACE/ETHNICITY

Definition: The percentage of a county's total population in each racial and ethnic group.

Oregon is becoming an increasingly diverse state. Understanding the distribution of racial and ethnic groups within communities is essential for promoting equitable opportunity and for better serving marginalized populations. Tracking race and ethnicity is important for building inclusive communities, implementing programs and accessing funding. Reporting race and ethnicity data may be required under state and federal statute. Rural and urban breakouts and the maps to the right provide additional insights about the distribution of racial and ethnic groups across the state.

Federal and state policies and economic forces have shaped Oregon's demographics since the state's founding. From Black exclusion laws enacted in the 1800s to immigration and labor policy to tribal termination, the racial and ethnic make-up of our state has a rich history worthy of further learning and exploration.

## Oregon

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
Asian: 4.4\%
Black/African American: 1.8\%
Hispanic/Latino: 13.2\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.1\%
White: 74.9\%

## Rural

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.4\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 9.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 3.4\%
White: 83.2\%

## Urban

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 5.8\%
Black/African American: 2.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 14.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.5\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 4.4\%

## White: 71.5\%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B03002, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## RACE/ETHNICITY

These maps show counties where the population of each race/ethnicity group is above the state average.


## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition：The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county，using the 3－digit North American Industry Classification System（NAICS）codes．

Identifying the top three employment industries in each county provides insight about the structure of the local economy．Employment industries have different average wage levels，so the top three figure prominently in determining the total wage earnings of a county．Examining this indicator across the state and between counties suggests notable employment trends and could point to policy opportunities． Each county profile shows the top three employment industries in ranked order from left to right．
＊This measure does not portray the full employment picture．For example，there can be significant job losses in a particular sector and it may still show as a major employment industry．In addition，employment data are not available when fewer than four establishments of that industry are in a county．Therefore，if a county has a single business that is one of the top three employers in the county，such as a hospital，it may not appear here．
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## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.


Food and beverage stores


Forestry and
logging


## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition：The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county，using the 3－digit North American Industry Classification System（NAICS）codes．


Social
assistance

and remediation services


Source：Oregon Employment Department，
Economic Data，2020，updated annually．
Released 2021.

## LAND AREA

Definition: The total land area within the boundary of each county, measured in square miles.

Every 10 years, the U.S. Census Bureau uses Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology to delineate jurisdictional and census boundaries. As a measure, land area communicates the amount of physical space a county has as a resource. It also suggests the scope of demand for infrastructure on local governments and provides insight about the distances residents may need to travel to access employment, education, resources or services.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 98,379 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 76,756 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 21,623 |
| 1 | Harney | 10,228 |
| 2 | Malheur | 9,930 |
| 3 | Lake | 8,358 |
| 4 | Klamath | 6,137 |
| 5 | Douglas | 5,133 |
| 6 | Lane | 4,722 |
| 7 | Grant | 4,528 |
| 8 | Umatilla | 3,232 |
| 9 | Wallowa | 3,151 |
| 10 | Baker | 3,088 |
| 11 | Deschutes | 3,054 |
| 12 | Crook | 2,987 |
| 13 | Jackson | 2,801 |
| 14 | Wasco | 2,395 |
| 15 | Linn | 2,309 |
| 16 | Morrow | 2,048 |
| 17 | Union | 2,039 |
| 18 | Curry | 1,989 |
| 19 | Clackamas | 1,883 |
| 20 | Coos | 1,806 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 1,792 |
| 22 | Wheeler | 1,716 |
| 23 | Josephine | 1,641 |
| 24 | Tillamook | 1,332 |
| 25 | Gilliam | 1,223 |
| 26 | Lincoln | 1,195 |
| 27 | Marion | 1,191 |
| 28 | Clatsop | 1,084 |
| 29 | Sherman | 831 |
| 30 | Polk | 744 |
| 31 | Washington | 727 |
| 32 | Yamhill | 718 |
| 33 | Columbia | 689 |
| 34 | Benton | 678 |
| 35 | Hood River | 533 |
| 36 | Multnomah | 465 |

Source: US Census Bureau, Gazetteer
Files, Decennial Census, 2020,
updated decennially. Released 2021.

## PUBLICLY OWNED LANDS

Definition: The percentage of total land area in a county publicly held rather than privately owned.

Publicly owned land area is calculated by aggregating lands managed by federal, state and local governments. Much of the western United States is held publicly - protected for natural resources, open space and recreational areas. The economic history of Oregon is closely tied to the state's large proportion of public lands, especially federal lands that comprise more than $50 \%$ of the state. These publicly held lands have significant economic impacts on the Oregon counties that contain them.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 78.4\% |
| 2 | Deschutes | 77.9\% |
| 3 | Lake | 75.3\% |
| 4 | Harney | 74.9\% |
| 5 | Hood River | 73.0\% |
| 6 | Josephine | 68.1\% |
| 7 | Grant | 61.7\% |
| 8 | Klamath | 60.2\% |
| 9 | Wallowa | 58.8\% |
| 10 | Lane | 58.4\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 57.3\% |
|  | Oregon | 55.7\% |
| 11 | Curry | 54.9\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 54.5\% |
| 13 | Tillamook | 53.3\% |
| 14 | Jackson | 52.2\% |
| 15 | Baker | 51.7\% |
| 16 | Douglas | 51.4\% |
| 17 | Jefferson | 50.8\% |
| 18 | Crook | 50.5\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 49.9\% |
| 19 | Union | 48.7\% |
| 20 | Wasco | 43.9\% |
| 21 | Linn | 39.6\% |
| 22 | Multnomah | 36.0\% |
| 23 | Marion | 34.6\% |
| 24 | Lincoln | 29.2\% |
| 25 | Wheeler | 29.0\% |
| 26 | Coos | 28.4\% |
| 27 | Umatilla | 26.8\% |
| 28 | Benton | 26.4\% |
| 29 | Clatsop | 26.0\% |
| 30 | Morrow | 16.7\% |
| 31 | Yamhill | 16.5\% |
| 32 | Washington | 15.3\% |
| 33 | Sherman | 12.3\% |
| 34 | Polk | 11.9\% |
| 35 | Gilliam | 8.4\% |
| 36 | Columbia | 8.0\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Forestry:
Land Management Layer, 2020,
collected annually. Released 2020.

## DEVELOPED OR CULTIVATED LAND

## Definition: The percentage of total land cover classified as developed or cultivated (includes pasture) according to the National Land Cover Database.

This measure tracks the conversion and designation of land for human purposes. Over time, shifts change the provision of ecosystem services such as climate regulation, natural hazard regulation, water purification, waste management, pollination or pest control. The conversion of land to developed or cultivated status also can indicate economic growth in a county. However, growth always comes with additional consequences that communities must continuously examine and balance.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Marion | 46.9\% |
| 2 | Washington | 45.7\% |
| 3 | Yamhill | 44.8\% |
| 4 | Sherman | 44.3\% |
| 5 | Polk | 42.8\% |
| 6 | Multnomah | 41.8\% |
| 7 | Benton | 34.2\% |
| 8 | Umatilla | 32.8\% |
| 9 | Morrow | 30.0\% |
| 10 | Gilliam | 28.8\% |
| 11 | Linn | 27.0\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 21.3\% |
| 13 | Columbia | 13.7\% |
| 14 | Union | 13.2\% |
| 15 | Wasco | 10.9\% |
| 16 | Jackson | 10.8\% |
|  | Oregon | 10.5\% |
| 17 | Lane | 10.2\% |
| 18 | Hood River | 9.4\% |
| 19 | Douglas | 8.7\% |
| 19 | Josephine | 8.7\% |
| 21 | Klamath | 8.1\% |
| 22 | Jefferson | 7.6\% |
| 23 | Baker | 7.3\% |
| 24 | Coos | 6.7\% |
| 25 | Tillamook | 6.5\% |
| 26 | Lincoln | 6.4\% |
| 27 | Clatsop | 5.7\% |
| 28 | Deschutes | 5.3\% |
| 29 | Malheur | 4.4\% |
| 30 | Harney | 4.3\% |
| 31 | Crook | 3.8\% |
| 32 | Wallowa | 3.7\% |
| 33 | Curry | 3.4\% |
| 34 | Lake | 3.2\% |
| 35 | Wheeler | 1.4\% |
| 36 | Grant | 1.2\% |

Source: US Geological Survey,
Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics Consortium, National Land Cover Database, 2016, updated every five years. Released 2019.

## FOOD INSECURITY

## Definition: The estimated percentage of individuals who have limited or uncertain access to adequate food.

Food insecurity has profound negative impacts on the well-being and success of individuals, families and communities. It is one way to represent households at social and economic risk. Because there are no direct measures of food insecurity available at the county level, researchers for Feeding America have developed an estimate using a mathematical model that combines food security data from the Current Population Survey with other household demographic and economic information. Food-secure households have consistent access to safe and nutritional foods without needing to resort to emergency food sources, scavenging, stealing or other coping strategies.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grant | 16.6\% |
| 2 | Lake | 15.7\% |
| 3 | Klamath | 15.4\% |
| 4 | Coos | 15.2\% |
| 5 | Josephine | 15.0\% |
| 6 | Lincoln | 14.4\% |
| 7 | Douglas | 14.0\% |
| 8 | Malheur | 13.9\% |
| 9 | Curry | 13.8\% |
| 9 | Jefferson | 13.8\% |
| 11 | Wallowa | 13.7\% |
| 12 | Lane | 13.6\% |
| 12 | Wheeler | 13.6\% |
| 14 | Sherman | 13.4\% |
| 15 | Baker | 13.1\% |
| 15 | Crook | 13.1\% |
| 17 | Harney | 12.8\% |
| 17 | Umatilla | 12.8\% |
| 19 | Jackson | 12.7\% |
| 19 | Linn | 12.7\% |
| 19 | Tillamook | 12.7\% |
| 22 | Union | 12.5\% |
| 23 | Gilliam | 12.1\% |
| 24 | Clatsop | 11.8\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 11.8\% |
|  | Oregon | 11.5\% |
| 26 | Columbia | 11.4\% |
| 27 | Marion | 11.2\% |
| 27 | Multnomah | 11.2\% |
| 29 | Benton | 10.8\% |
| 30 | Polk | 10.5\% |
| 31 | Deschutes | 10.2\% |
| 31 | Morrow | 10.2\% |
| 31 | Yamhill | 10.2\% |
| 34 | Clackamas | 8.4\% |
| 34 | Washington | 8.4\% |
| 36 | Hood River | 6.6\% |

Source: Feeding America, Map the Meal Gap, 2019, updated annually. Released 2021.

## CHILD POVERTY

Definition: The child poverty rate is the percentage of individuals in a county under 18 years of age and living in families whose income falls below the Federal Poverty Level for their family size.

Child poverty is a key predictor of negative social outcomes and increased demand for government services. Poverty can limit a child's social, educational and personal development due to reduced access to basic necessities and opportunities. Children experiencing poverty are less likely to be successful in school, are more likely to have negative health outcomes, have greater difficulty accessing the job market later in life, and are more likely to commit crimes, all of which result in harm to the individual and a greater demand for public services. High rates of child poverty can limit community progress.

* Because people younger than 18 years old are a relatively narrow group of the population, the child poverty estimates for small counties (population under 10,000) may have wide margins of error, and readers should use caution when interpreting these statistics.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 30.3\% |
| 2 | Grant* | 27.2\% |
| 3 | Klamath | 25.9\% |
| 4 | Lincoln | 24.9\% |
| 5 | Coos | 23.2\% |
| 6 | Morrow | 20.9\% |
| 7 | Baker | 20.1\% |
| 8 | Josephine | 19.9\% |
| 9 | Umatilla | 19.1\% |
| 10 | Lane | 18.7\% |
| 11 | Douglas | 18.6\% |
| 12 | Marion | 18.3\% |
| 13 | Jackson | 17.8\% |
| 14 | Multnomah | 16.8\% |
| 15 | Lake* | 16.5\% |
| 16 | Yamhill | 16.3\% |
| 17 | Tillamook | 16.0\% |
| 18 | Crook | 15.7\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 15.4\% |
|  | Oregon | 15.0\% |
| 19 | Benton | 14.8\% |
| 20 | Curry | 14.7\% |
| 21 | Wasco | 14.6\% |
| 22 | Union | 14.4\% |
| 23 | Linn | 14.2\% |
| 24 | Jefferson | 14.0\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 14.0\% |
| 25 | Polk | 13.0\% |
| 26 | Deschutes | 11.9\% |
| 27 | Columbia | 11.7\% |
| 28 | Washington | 10.4\% |
| 29 | Clatsop | 10.3\% |
| 30 | Clackamas | 7.1\% |
|  | Gilliam* | ID |
|  | Harney* | ID |
|  | Hood River | ID |
|  | Sherman* | ID |
|  | Wallowa* | ID |
|  | Wheeler* | ID |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table S1701, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

ID: Insufficient data for
comparison/ranking

## FOSTER CARE

## Definition: The number of children in a county in foster care per 1,000 residents under 18 years of age.

Children may enter Oregon's foster care system when they cannot safely remain at home. Children in foster care may have experienced physical abuse (including sexual abuse), neglect (including abandonment), and/or mental abuse. In addition to the trauma of instability at home, children in foster care often encounter instability in many facets of their life - education, for example. They may experience compromised educational outcomes compared to their peers, including but not limited to attendance, test scores and high school completion. Data reported here are point-in-time counts conducted on the same date across the state. Children must rely on the adults in their communities to protect them from abuse and neglect.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Harney | 28.0 |
| 2 | Malheur | 27.2 |
| 3 | Grant | 17.6 |
| 4 | Douglas | 17.4 |
| 5 | Lincoln | 16.1 |
| 6 | Coos | 13.6 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 12.5 |
| 7 | Josephine | 12.2 |
| 8 | Curry | 11.7 |
| 9 | Lake | 11.5 |
| 9 | Lane | 11.5 |
| 11 | Baker | 11.4 |
| 12 | Umatilla | 11.3 |
| 13 | Klamath | 10.9 |
| 14 | Columbia | 10.1 |
| 15 | Jackson | 10.0 |
| 16 | Jefferson | 9.9 |
| 17 | Wallowa | 9.3 |
| 18 | Clatsop | 9.2 |
| 19 | Multnomah | 8.8 |
| 20 | Wasco | 8.7 |
| 21 | Hood River | 7.3 |
|  | Oregon | 7.3 |
| 22 | Crook | 6.7 |
| 23 | Morrow | 6.5 |
| 24 | Linn | 6.4 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.4 |
| 25 | Tillamook | 6.2 |
| 26 | Marion | 5.5 |
| 27 | Union | 5.3 |
| 28 | Polk | 5.1 |
| 29 | Deschutes | 4.6 |
| 30 | Clackamas | 3.8 |
| 31 | Yamhill | 3.5 |
| 32 | Benton | 3.2 |
| 33 | Washington | 3.1 |
| 34 | Gilliam | 0.0 |
| 34 | Wheeler | 0.0 |
|  | Sherman | ID |

Source: Oregon Department of Human
Services, Population Research Center
at Portland State University, 2020,
updated annually. Released 2021.
ID: Insufficient data per source

## INDEX CRIME

Definition: The annual number of index crime offenses per 1,000 residents in a county. Index crimes include willful murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft and arson.

Crime rates are a measure of the relative safety of an area, but crime also has important social and economic influences on communities. High rates of crime are associated with population mobility, weaker attachment of residents to their community, less local involvement, and lower home values. The index crime rate is created to provide a standard measure of particularly important crimes against people and property across the United States. Tracking crime informs law enforcement operations, public safety budgeting and local community development efforts.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 51.6 |
| 2 | Marion | 38.0 |
| 3 | Sherman | 32.3 |
| 4 | Jackson | 31.8 |
| 5 | Wasco | 31.3 |
| 6 | Clatsop | 30.9 |
| 7 | Douglas | 30.7 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 30.6 |
| 8 | Baker | 30.5 |
|  | Oregon | 29.7 |
| 9 | Malheur | 29.5 |
| 10 | Benton | 28.7 |
| 11 | Lane | 27.9 |
| 12 | Tillamook | 27.7 |
| 13 | Coos | 27.6 |
| 14 | Lincoln | 26.7 |
| 15 | Linn | 26.4 |
| 16 | Umatilla | 25.1 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 24.8 |
| 17 | Josephine | 22.6 |
| 18 | Union | 21.8 |
| 19 | Deschutes | 21.4 |
| 20 | Klamath | 20.8 |
| 21 | Gilliam | 19.6 |
| 22 | Washington | 19.3 |
| 23 | Clackamas | 18.7 |
| 24 | Hood River | 18.3 |
| 25 | Morrow | 17.9 |
| 26 | Yamhill | 17.6 |
| 27 | Jefferson | 17.3 |
| 28 | Curry | 16.0 |
| 29 | Polk | 15.5 |
| 30 | Crook | 14.9 |
| 31 | Lake | 13.9 |
| 32 | Wallowa | 11.5 |
| 33 | Columbia | 10.6 |
| 34 | Harney | 10.4 |
| 35 | Grant | 3.8 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 0.7 |

Source: Oregon State Police,
Population Research Center at Portland State University, 2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

## VOTER PARTICIPATION

Definition: The percentage of registered voters who participated in the 2020 biennial general elections.

Voter participation has long served as a secondary measure of social capital. The relationship between voting and social connections has been heavily researched, with little consensus. In the absence of an alternative measure, voter participation continues as an important proxy for civic engagement and community social capital. This in turn reflects community capacity. The state of Oregon has implemented multiple policies over the years to increase voter participation, such as mail-in ballots and automatic voter registration. The state reports some of the highest voter participation rates in the country.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | 90.2\% |
| 2 | Benton | 88.9\% |
| 3 | Wallowa | 87.9\% |
| 4 | Gilliam | 86.1\% |
| 5 | Sherman | 85.4\% |
| 6 | Deschutes | 85.3\% |
| 7 | Clackamas | 84.9\% |
| 8 | Hood River | 84.8\% |
| 8 | Washington | 84.8\% |
| 10 | Grant | 84.6\% |
| 11 | Harney | 82.9\% |
| 12 | Tillamook | 82.8\% |
| 13 | Lane | 82.3\% |
| 14 | Multnomah | 82.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 82.0\% |
| 15 | Lake | 81.9\% |
| 16 | Yamhill | 81.8\% |
| 17 | Crook | 81.5\% |
| 18 | Columbia | 81.4\% |
| 19 | Clatsop | 81.3\% |
| 20 | Curry | 81.0\% |
| 20 | Lincoln | 81.0\% |
| 22 | Polk | 80.9\% |
| 23 | Baker | 80.7\% |
| 24 | Jackson | 80.3\% |
| 25 | Union | 80.2\% |
| 26 | Coos | 79.3\% |
| 27 | Douglas | 79.0\% |
| 27 | Wasco | 79.0\% |
| 29 | Marion | 78.9\% |
| 30 | Linn | 78.5\% |
| 31 | Morrow | 77.3\% |
| 32 | Klamath | 76.4\% |
| 33 | Josephine | 76.3\% |
| 34 | Jefferson | 75.0\% |
| 35 | Umatilla | 73.7\% |
| 36 | Malheur | 72.8\% |

Source: Office of the Oregon Secretary of State, 2020, updated biennially. Released 2020.

## KINDERGARTEN READY (LETTER SOUNDS)

## Definition: The average number of letter sounds in the English alphabet that children identify when shown paired upper and lowercase letters. Scores are reported out of 26 possible.

Decades of educational research suggest that particular early achievement measures can predict readiness for learning, longterm academic outcomes and emotional development. Oregon's kindergarten readiness assessment includes measures in three areas: early literacy, early math and approaches to learning. It intentionally aligns with Oregon's Early Learning Standards, Kindergarten Common Core State Standards and those used in Head Start classrooms. Children cannot pass or fail the assessment as it simply provides a snapshot of the skills and knowledge students possess when entering kindergarten. Unlike standardized tests used in the later grades, teachers administer the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment through a series of low-stakes, unpressured teacher-student conversations. The letter sounds score is highly correlated with reading ability and future academic success.


| Rank | County | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wallowa | 13.8 |
| 2 | Wheeler | 12.5 |
| 3 | Harney | 12.0 |
| 4 | Union | 11.0 |
| 5 | Baker | 10.8 |
| 6 | Sherman | 10.5 |
| 7 | Benton | 10.4 |
| 8 | Grant | 9.9 |
| 9 | Washington | 9.5 |
| 10 | Gilliam | 9.4 |
| 11 | Clackamas | 9.3 |
| 12 | Deschutes | 9.0 |
| 13 | Clatsop | 8.3 |
| 13 | Lake | 8.3 |
| 15 | Lane | 8.2 |
| 15 | Multnomah | 8.2 |
|  | Oregon | 7.7 |
| 17 | Lincoln | 7.5 |
| 18 | Columbia | 7.3 |
| 18 | Crook | 7.3 |
| 20 | Yamhill | 7.1 |
| 21 | Morrow | 7.0 |
| 22 | Malheur | 6.8 |
| 23 | Tillamook | 6.7 |
| 23 | Umatilla | 6.7 |
| 25 | Douglas | 6.2 |
| 25 | Linn | 6.2 |
| 27 | Hood River | 6.0 |
| 28 | Coos | 5.9 |
| 28 | Josephine | 5.9 |
| 30 | Jackson | 5.7 |
| 30 | Polk | 5.7 |
| 32 | Curry | 5.5 |
| 33 | Klamath | 5.1 |
| 34 | Marion | 5.0 |
| 35 | Jefferson | 4.7 |
| 36 | Wasco | 4.6 |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, Oregon Kindergarten Assessment, 2019-2020, updated annually. Released 2020.

[^42]
## THIRD GRADE READING

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wallowa | 70．0\％ |
| 2 | Deschutes | 61．0\％ |
| 3 | Grant | 57．1\％ |
| 4 | Washington | 54．9\％ |
| 5 | Clackamas | 54．5\％ |
| 5 | Gilliam | 54．5\％ |
| 7 | Benton | 53．7\％ |
| 8 | Harney | 51．3\％ |
| 9 | Yamhill | 50．0\％ |
| 10 | Union | 48．7\％ |
| 11 | Josephine | 48．3\％ |
| 12 | Hood River | 48．2\％ |
| 13 | Wheeler | 48．0\％ |
|  | Urban Oregon | 48．0\％ |
| 14 | Crook | 47．6\％ |
| 15 | Baker | 47．1\％ |
| 16 | Multnomah | 46．7\％ |
|  | Oregon | 46．5\％ |
| 17 | Lane | 45．5\％ |
| 18 | Wasco | 44．5\％ |
| 19 | Umatilla | 43．1\％ |
| 20 | Linn | 42．6\％ |
| 21 | Coos | 42．5\％ |
|  | Rural Oregon | 42．2\％ |
| 22 | Jefferson | 42．1\％ |
| 23 | Jackson | 42．0\％ |
| 24 | Curry | 41．2\％ |
| 25 | Clatsop | 41．1\％ |
| 26 | Columbia | 40．9\％ |
| 27 | Douglas | 40．8\％ |
| 27 | Lake | 40．8\％ |
| 29 | Sherman | 40．0\％ |
| 30 | Klamath | 38．9\％ |
| 31 | Malheur | 37．6\％ |
| 32 | Morrow | 37．4\％ |
| 33 | Marion | 36．4\％ |
| 34 | Lincoln | 35．5\％ |
| 35 | Tillamook | 34．4\％ |
| 36 | Polk | 30．6\％ |

Source：Oregon Department of
Education，2018－2019，updated annually．Released 2020.

[^43]
## NINTH GRADE ON TRACK

## Definition: The percentage of ninth graders who have attended school regularly and successfully completed all required courses during their first year of high school.

The ninth grade academic year serves as a pivotal point of transition for students. Being academically on track in ninth grade predicts future academic success, especially a greater likelihood of high school completion across all demographics. Students who are not on track at the end of ninth grade start tenth grade behind, making them less likely to graduate on time or perhaps at all. Identifying students who need extra support early in their high school careers allows for more timely interventions. As a state, Oregon is an early implementer of the "ninth grade on track" indicator as part of its high school accountability system.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 95.0\% |
| 2 | Harney | 94.7\% |
| 3 | Morrow | 94.6\% |
| 4 | Curry | 91.7\% |
| 5 | Benton | 91.4\% |
| 6 | Washington | 90.5\% |
| 7 | Wallowa | 89.9\% |
| 8 | Grant | 89.1\% |
| 9 | Deschutes | 88.8\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 88.5\% |
| 11 | Clackamas | 88.3\% |
| 12 | Crook | 87.3\% |
| 13 | Multnomah | 86.8\% |
| 14 | Clatsop | 86.7\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 86.5\% |
| 15 | Hood River | 86.4\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 86.4\% |
| 17 | Jackson | 86.1\% |
|  | Oregon | 85.3\% |
| 18 | Polk | 85.2\% |
| 19 | Lane | 85.1\% |
| 20 | Marion | 84.8\% |
| 21 | Umatilla | 84.6\% |
| 22 | Union | 84.3\% |
| 23 | Douglas | 82.9\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 82.7\% |
| 24 | Klamath | 82.3\% |
| 25 | Josephine | 81.9\% |
| 26 | Lake | 81.7\% |
| 27 | Yamhill | 81.2\% |
| 28 | Columbia | 80.3\% |
| 29 | Jefferson | 79.3\% |
| 30 | Sherman | 78.3\% |
| 31 | Lincoln | 78.2\% |
| 32 | Linn | 76.1\% |
| 33 | Coos | 74.9\% |
| 34 | Wasco | 74.5\% |
| 35 | Baker | 73.2\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 50.8\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, 2018-2019, updated annually. Released 2020.

[^44]
## FIVE-YEAR HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE

## Definition: The percentage of students in a high school cohort who earned a standard high school diploma within five years of starting high school.

High school graduation rate indicates a community's well-being as well as the skill of its workforce. Over the last few decades, the gap in wages between those with a high school diploma and those without one has provided strong incentive for students to complete high school. Still, across Oregon, a significant group of students require more time to graduate. The reasons are many - from family struggles to academic issues. Such students need adequate support to complete their secondary education in a timely fashion. Students who do not achieve this benchmark will likely face significant challenges attaining adult milestones such as gaining employment or continuing their education. Tracking the five-year high school graduation rate provides communities with a clearer measure of high school completion. It also encourages policies and practices that will support students who need more time to finish their high school requirements.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wallowa | 94.6\% |
| 2 | Lake | 93.2\% |
| 3 | Harney | 93.1\% |
| 4 | Grant | 92.1\% |
| 5 | Sherman | 92.0\% |
| 6 | Yamhill | 89.9\% |
| 7 | Morrow | 89.4\% |
| 8 | Washington | 89.1\% |
| 9 | Clackamas | 88.6\% |
| 10 | Benton | 88.4\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 88.4\% |
| 10 | Tillamook | 88.4\% |
| 13 | Hood River | 88.3\% |
| 14 | Union | 86.5\% |
| 15 | Columbia | 85.6\% |
| 15 | Jefferson | 85.6\% |
| 17 | Jackson | 85.2\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 84.7\% |
| 18 | Deschutes | 84.5\% |
| 19 | Polk | 84.4\% |
| 20 | Gilliam | 84.2\% |
| 21 | Clatsop | 84.0\% |
|  | Oregon | 83.0\% |
| 22 | Multnomah | 82.4\% |
| 23 | Marion | 82.0\% |
| 24 | Umatilla | 81.7\% |
| 25 | Klamath | 81.1\% |
| 26 | Josephine | 80.9\% |
| 27 | Baker | 79.6\% |
| 28 | Linn | 79.4\% |
| 29 | Curry | 79.2\% |
| 29 | Lane | 79.2\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 79.1\% |
| 31 | Lincoln | 77.8\% |
| 32 | Wasco | 76.3\% |
| 33 | Crook | 73.4\% |
| 34 | Douglas | 71.5\% |
| 35 | Coos | 70.2\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 43.8\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, 2019-2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

## FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE DEGREE OR GREATER

Definition: The percentage of the county population, age 25 or older, that has earned a four-year or other more advanced college degree. Individuals included are those with a four-year (bachelor's) degree, a master's degree, a professional degree or a doctorate.

Those who earn a four-year college degree or higher generally experience increased lifetime earnings, enhanced worker benefits, more social mobility and improved health. This measure is an important indicator of human capital at the county level. It is frequently used to describe a community's labor force for purposes of economic development.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 53.3\% |
| 2 | Multnomah | 46.5\% |
| 3 | Washington | 44.9\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 38.5\% |
| 4 | Clackamas | 38.0\% |
| 5 | Deschutes | 37.2\% |
| 6 | Hood River | 34.7\% |
|  | Oregon | 34.4\% |
| 7 | Lane | 31.9\% |
| 8 | Polk | 30.5\% |
| 9 | Jackson | 28.8\% |
| 10 | Lincoln | 27.7\% |
| 11 | Yamhill | 27.3\% |
| 12 | Wallowa | 26.9\% |
| 13 | Baker | 25.0\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 24.7\% |
| 14 | Union | 24.2\% |
| 15 | Marion | 24.1\% |
| 16 | Clatsop | 24.0\% |
| 17 | Curry | 23.5\% |
| 18 | Gilliam | 21.7\% |
| 19 | Jefferson | 21.4\% |
| 19 | Tillamook | 21.4\% |
| 21 | Klamath | 21.2\% |
| 22 | Grant | 20.8\% |
| 23 | Wasco | 20.4\% |
| 24 | Sherman | 20.0\% |
| 25 | Coos | 19.9\% |
| 26 | Linn | 19.5\% |
| 27 | Lake | 19.4\% |
| 28 | Wheeler | 18.9\% |
| 29 | Crook | 18.8\% |
| 30 | Douglas | 18.5\% |
| 31 | Josephine | 18.1\% |
| 32 | Columbia | 18.0\% |
| 33 | Umatilla | 17.5\% |
| 34 | Harney | 16.5\% |
| 35 | Malheur | 14.9\% |
| 36 | Morrow | 9.1\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table DPO2, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

## Definition：The percentage of the population who do not have a job，are currently available for work and are actively seeking work．

Unemployment has an impact on the individuals who are without work，their families and their communities．The purchasing power of those workers is lost，as are the goods and services they might have produced．People who are unemployed are also at a higher risk of social challenges．The unemployment rate serves as both a measure of labor availability and an overall indicator of a county＇s economic health．While labor availability is an important factor in economic development，high rates of unemployment are considered unfavorable．

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lincoln | 10．9\％ |
| 2 | Clatsop | 9．6\％ |
| 3 | Crook | 8．8\％ |
| 4 | Curry | 8．7\％ |
| 4 | Klamath | 8．7\％ |
| 6 | Coos | 8．6\％ |
| 6 | Multnomah | 8．6\％ |
| 8 | Grant | 8．3\％ |
| 9 | Jefferson | 8．2\％ |
| 10 | Tillamook | 8．1\％ |
| 11 | Columbia | 7．9\％ |
| 11 | Deschutes | 7．9\％ |
| 11 | Josephine | 7．9\％ |
| 11 | Lane | 7．9\％ |
| 15 | Jackson | 7．8\％ |
| 15 | Linn | 7．8\％ |
| 15 | Union | 7．8\％ |
| 18 | Douglas | 7．7\％ |
|  | Oregon | 7．6\％ |
| 19 | Baker | 7．2\％ |
| 19 | Clackamas | 7．2\％ |
| 21 | Wallowa | 7．1\％ |
| 21 | Wasco | 7．1\％ |
| 23 | Marion | 6．9\％ |
| 24 | Umatilla | 6．8\％ |
| 24 | Yamhill | 6．8\％ |
| 26 | Polk | 6．6\％ |
| 27 | Washington | 6．5\％ |
| 28 | Hood River | 6．3\％ |
| 29 | Gilliam | 6．1\％ |
| 29 | Sherman | 6．1\％ |
| 31 | Harney | 5．9\％ |
| 32 | Benton | 5．6\％ |
| 32 | Lake | 5．6\％ |
| 34 | Malheur | 5．2\％ |
| 34 | Morrow | 5．2\％ |
| 36 | Wheeler | 4．3\％ |

[^45]
## LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE

Definition: The ratio between the size of the civilian labor force and the overall population 16 years of age and older. People in the labor force are those who are employed or are actively seeking work.

The labor force participation rate estimate a county's active work force. It measures the supply side of the labor market, including both those currently working and those seeking work. The labor force participation rate helps detect discouraged unemployed workers during economic downturns and in regions that are economically depressed. Higher rates of labor force participation are generally viewed favorably. However, a wide range of factors, such as the age composition of the population or the availability of social safety net programs, can affect this measure in ways that warrant careful interpretation with respect to context. The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a national decline in the labor force participation rate from which the country is still recovering.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hood River | 70.1\% |
| 2 | Multnomah | 69.8\% |
| 3 | Washington | 69.3\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 65.8\% |
| 4 | Clackamas | 64.0\% |
| 5 | Deschutes | 63.5\% |
|  | Oregon | 62.5\% |
| 6 | Marion | 61.9\% |
| 7 | Benton | 60.7\% |
| 8 | Lane | 60.1\% |
| 9 | Polk | 59.8\% |
| 10 | Wasco | 59.6\% |
| 11 | Linn | 59.3\% |
| 12 | Yamhill | 59.1\% |
| 13 | Columbia | 58.4\% |
| 14 | Jackson | 58.1\% |
| 15 | Umatilla | 57.8\% |
| 16 | Clatsop | 57.5\% |
| 16 | Morrow | 57.5\% |
| 16 | Sherman | 57.5\% |
| 19 | Harney | 57.0\% |
| 20 | Union | 56.5\% |
| 21 | Wallowa | 56.0\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 54.6\% |
| 22 | Jefferson | 53.0\% |
| 23 | Crook | 52.9\% |
| 23 | Gilliam | 52.9\% |
| 23 | Grant | 52.9\% |
| 26 | Klamath | 52.6\% |
| 27 | Lake | 52.3\% |
| 28 | Coos | 51.8\% |
| 29 | Douglas | 50.9\% |
| 30 | Tillamook | 50.7\% |
| 31 | Malheur | 50.0\% |
| 32 | Lincoln | 49.9\% |
| 33 | Baker | 49.3\% |
| 34 | Josephine | 48.9\% |
| 35 | Wheeler | 44.4\% |
| 36 | Curry | 42.6\% |

[^46]JOB GROWTH
Definition: The net change in the estimated number of full-time and part-time jobs being performed between the listed year and the year prior, per 1,000 residents in the county.

Job growth focuses on the change in the number of jobs worked. It does not provide perspective on unfilled or vacant jobs potentially available in communities. Job growth serves as an essential measure of economic vitality and tracks closely with productivity. Taken in combination with unemployment and labor force participation rate, net job growth provides valuable insights on the overall labor market in each county and across the state.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lake | -4.4 |
| 2 | Sherman | -6.2 |
| 3 | Morrow | -7.6 |
| 4 | Columbia | -11.2 |
| 5 | Josephine | -11.7 |
| 5 | Malheur | -11.7 |
| 7 | Harney | -12.1 |
| 8 | Grant | -12.8 |
| 9 | Umatilla | -13.2 |
| 10 | Douglas | -13.3 |
| 11 | Union | -14.0 |
| 12 | Jefferson | -14.1 |
| 13 | Wallowa | -15.1 |
| 14 | Wasco | -16.8 |
| 15 | Crook | -16.9 |
| 16 | Polk | -17.4 |
| 17 | Klamath | -17.6 |
| 18 | Coos | -18.3 |
| 19 | Baker | -19.3 |
|  | Rural Oregon | -21.1 |
| 20 | Curry | -21.7 |
| 21 | Marion | -21.9 |
| 22 | Jackson | -22.0 |
| 23 | Yamhill | -22.6 |
| 24 | Gilliam | -23.4 |
| 25 | Linn | -25.1 |
| 26 | Clackamas | -30.0 |
| 26 | Lane | -30.0 |
| 28 | Wheeler | -30.4 |
| 29 | Benton | -30.8 |
| 30 | Tillamook | -32.4 |
| 31 | Washington | -32.7 |
|  | Oregon | -32.7 |
|  | Urban Oregon | -34.9 |
| 32 | Deschutes | -36.1 |
| 33 | Hood River | -43.7 |
| 34 | Lincoln | -45.7 |
| 35 | Clatsop | -48.7 |
| 36 | Multnomah | -59.1 |

Source: US Bureau of Economic
Analysis, Regional Economic Accounts, CA25N, 2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

## PROPERTY TAX PER PERSON

Definition: The per capita property tax imposed, calculated as the total property tax imposed divided by the number of people in the county.

Property tax serves as an important source of revenue for local governments. Per capita property tax is an indicator of the capacity of local government to provide services such as public safety, roads and other infrastructure, parks and recreation, as well as public health. It is also a measure of relative tax burden. Property tax imposed excludes taxes allocated to urban renewal agencies and special assessments.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | \$4,835 |
| 2 | Sherman | \$4,266 |
| 3 | Morrow | \$2,890 |
| 4 | Lincoln | \$2,436 |
| 5 | Tillamook | \$2,244 |
| 6 | Clatsop | \$2,226 |
| 7 | Multnomah | \$2,108 |
| 8 | Clackamas | \$2,070 |
| 9 | Deschutes | \$1,980 |
| 10 | Washington | \$1,896 |
|  | Urban Oregon | \$1,739 |
| 11 | Wheeler | \$1,721 |
|  | Oregon | \$1,684 |
| 12 | Benton | \$1,653 |
| 13 | Lake | \$1,619 |
| 14 | Lane | \$1,491 |
| 15 | Wasco | \$1,462 |
|  | Rural Oregon | \$1,395 |
| 16 | Jackson | \$1,391 |
| 17 | Columbia | \$1,380 |
| 18 | Crook | \$1,373 |
| 19 | Wallowa | \$1,371 |
| 20 | Linn | \$1,370 |
| 21 | Hood River | \$1,365 |
| 22 | Baker | \$1,310 |
| 23 | Yamhill | \$1,277 |
| 24 | Jefferson | \$1,241 |
| 25 | Marion | \$1,240 |
| 26 | Umatilla | \$1,228 |
| 27 | Grant | \$1,183 |
| 28 | Curry | \$1,154 |
| 29 | Polk | \$1,146 |
| 30 | Coos | \$1,133 |
| 31 | Harney | \$1,132 |
| 32 | Klamath | \$1,068 |
| 33 | Union | \$1,050 |
| 34 | Douglas | \$963 |
| 35 | Malheur | \$924 |
| 36 | Josephine | \$909 |

Source: Oregon Department of Revenue, Property Tax Statistics, Table 1.6, 2019-2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

RENT COSTS (1 BEDROOM/1 BATH)
Definition: The Fair Market Rent (FMR) price for a one-bedroom apartment.

Fair Market Rent (FMR) prices are developed each year for counties and metropolitan areas by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The figures are calculated using the rent prices paid by people who have recently moved and serve as the basis for federal housing assistance programs. FMRs can be used to look at changes in rent costs in an area over time or to compare the cost of rental housing across communities. In Oregon, the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) agency calculates the Portland metropolitan area (Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington and Yamhill counties) as a single area. While rent prices vary considerably across each of these counties, the FMR is still important to consider due to its role in determining the amount of housing assistance available to low-income and other vulnerable populations.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Clackamas | \$1,289 |
| 1 | Columbia | \$1,289 |
| 1 | Multnomah | \$1,289 |
| 1 | Washington | \$1,289 |
| 1 | Yamhill | \$1,289 |
| 6 | Benton | \$991 |
| 7 | Deschutes | \$986 |
| 8 | Hood River | \$909 |
| 9 | Lane | \$893 |
| 10 | Wasco | \$869 |
| 11 | Linn | \$832 |
| 12 | Curry | \$831 |
| 13 | Clatsop | \$825 |
| 14 | Douglas | \$815 |
| 15 | Josephine | \$812 |
| 15 | Lincoln | \$812 |
| 17 | Jackson | \$792 |
| 18 | Marion | \$761 |
| 18 | Polk | \$761 |
| 20 | Jefferson | \$739 |
| 21 | Gilliam | \$726 |
| 22 | Coos | \$712 |
| 23 | Tillamook | \$698 |
| 24 | Crook | \$695 |
| 25 | Wallowa | \$682 |
| 26 | Sherman | \$675 |
| 27 | Umatilla | \$666 |
| 28 | Morrow | \$654 |
| 29 | Klamath | \$648 |
| 30 | Union | \$644 |
| 31 | Lake | \$634 |
| 32 | Grant | \$629 |
| 33 | Malheur | \$625 |
| 34 | Baker | \$617 |
| 35 | Harney | \$598 |
| 36 | Wheeler | \$554 |

[^47]
## LOW WEIGHT BIRTHS

## Definition: The percentage of live babies who weigh less than 2,500 grams (5.5 lbs) at birth.

Low weight births indicate risk factors for both child and maternal health. For the child, low birth weight is a predictor of premature morbidity and death, risk for developmental problems, and respiratory and cardiovascular disease later in life. For the mother, low birth weight indicates multiple concerns including adverse health behavior, limited access to care, and socioeconomic and environmental risks.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Baker | 13.3\% |
| 1 | Lake | 13.3\% |
| 3 | Grant | 10.9\% |
| 4 | Wheeler | 9.1\% |
| 5 | Polk | 8.8\% |
| 6 | Sherman | 8.7\% |
| 7 | Harney | 8.6\% |
| 8 | Curry | 8.5\% |
| 9 | Josephine | 8.0\% |
| 10 | Union | 7.9\% |
| 11 | Crook | 7.8\% |
| 12 | Klamath | 7.7\% |
| 13 | Deschutes | 7.4\% |
| 14 | Coos | 7.0\% |
| 14 | Marion | 7.0\% |
| 16 | Douglas | 6.9\% |
| 17 | Jackson | 6.8\% |
| 17 | Multnomah | 6.8\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 6.7\% |
| 19 | Washington | 6.5\% |
|  | Oregon | 6.5\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.5\% |
| 20 | Malheur | 6.3\% |
| 21 | Lincoln | 6.2\% |
| 22 | Benton | 6.1\% |
| 22 | Lane | 6.1\% |
| 24 | Columbia | 6.0\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 6.0\% |
| 26 | Clatsop | 5.8\% |
| 27 | Morrow | 5.7\% |
| 27 | Umatilla | 5.7\% |
| 29 | Jefferson | 5.6\% |
| 30 | Clackamas | 5.4\% |
| 31 | Linn | 5.2\% |
| 31 | Yamhill | 5.2\% |
| 33 | Tillamook | 4.4\% |
| 34 | Hood River | 4.0\% |
| 35 | Wallowa | 1.9\% |
| 36 | Gilliam | 0.0\% |

[^48]
## VACCINATION RATE, 2-YEAR-OLDS

Definition: The percentage of 2-year-olds in a given year who have received their required immunizations.

In Oregon, the official childhood vaccination series utilized by public health agencies includes the following vaccinations: four doses of Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis (DTaP); three doses of Poliovirus (IPV); one dose of Measles, Mumps, Rubella (MMR); three doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib); three doses of Hepatitis B, one dose of Varicella (Chickenpox); and four doses of Pneumococcal (PCV). With the exception of four doses of Pneumococcal (PCV), all of these vaccinations are required for children entering preschool, child care, Head Start or Oregon public schools.

Vaccines have prevented countless cases of disease and saved millions of lives. The economic impact of prevented disease due to vaccines and the foregone cost of treatment is significant when compared to vaccination costs.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation. This measure cannot be reported for Wheeler County reliably due to small sample size.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wallowa | 78\% |
| 2 | Malheur | 76\% |
| 3 | Crook | 75\% |
| 3 | Lane | 75\% |
| 3 | Washington | 75\% |
| 6 | Clackamas | 74\% |
| 6 | Hood River | 74\% |
| 6 | Marion | 74\% |
| 6 | Yamhill | 74\% |
| 10 | Deschutes | 71\% |
| 10 | Douglas | 71\% |
|  | Oregon | 71\% |
| 12 | Linn | 70\% |
| 12 | Multnomah | 70\% |
| 12 | Polk | 70\% |
| 12 | Union | 70\% |
| 16 | Coos | 69\% |
| 16 | Klamath | 69\% |
| 16 | Morrow | 69\% |
| 16 | Tillamook | 69\% |
| 20 | Gilliam* | 68\% |
| 20 | Lake | 68\% |
| 20 | Sherman* | 68\% |
| 20 | Wasco* | 68\% |
| 24 | Benton | 67\% |
| 24 | Umatilla | 67\% |
| 26 | Jefferson | 66\% |
| 27 | Baker | 65\% |
| 27 | Jackson | 65\% |
| 29 | Columbia | 64\% |
| 29 | Josephine | 64\% |
| 31 | Clatsop | 62\% |
| 32 | Lincoln | 60\% |
| 33 | Harney | 55\% |
| 34 | Curry | 53\% |
| 35 | Grant | 52\% |
|  | Wheeler | ID |

[^49] Released 2021.

ID: Insufficient data per source

## GOOD PHYSICAL HEALTH

## Definition: The percentage of adults reporting that they have had no poor physical health days in the prior month.

Poor physical health can disrupt daily activities, even if the symptoms do not require medical attention. These data are based on a selfassessment, meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention. Adults were asked: "Thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?" Research shows that counties where residents report fewer unhealthy days also tend to have lower rates of disability, unemployment, poverty and mortality.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crook | 73.1\% |
| 2 | Harney | 69.8\% |
| 3 | Gilliam* | 68.7\% |
| 3 | Sherman* | 68.7\% |
| 3 | Wasco* | 68.7\% |
| 6 | Clatsop | 68.6\% |
| 7 | Wallowa | 68.3\% |
| 8 | Union | 64.4\% |
| 9 | Deschutes | 64.1\% |
| 10 | Washington | 63.9\% |
| 11 | Umatilla | 63.1\% |
| 12 | Klamath | 62.8\% |
| 13 | Benton | 61.5\% |
| 14 | Clackamas | 61.4\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 60.8\% |
| 16 | Jackson | 60.6\% |
|  | Oregon | 60.1\% |
| 17 | Marion | 59.9\% |
| 18 | Yamhill | 59.8\% |
| 19 | Lake | 59.5\% |
| 20 | Linn | 59.2\% |
| 21 | Columbia | 58.9\% |
| 22 | Josephine | 58.5\% |
| 23 | Multnomah | 58.4\% |
| 24 | Douglas | 58.0\% |
| 25 | Lane | 57.9\% |
| 26 | Lincoln | 57.1\% |
| 27 | Hood River | 57.0\% |
| 28 | Baker | 56.0\% |
| 28 | Polk | 56.0\% |
| 30 | Coos | 54.3\% |
| 30 | Grant | 54.3\% |
| 32 | Malheur | 53.6\% |
| 33 | Curry | 53.5\% |
| 34 | Jefferson | 50.3\% |
| 35 | Morrow | 47.9\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 29.7\% |

Source: Oregon Health Authority, Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2014-2017, updated biennially. Released 2019.

[^50]
## GOOD MENTAL HEALTH

Definition：The percentage of adults reporting that they had no days of poor mental health in the prior month．

Mental health is key to overall health．Due to stigma and the shortage of mental health providers，many mental health conditions go undiagnosed．These data are based on a self－assessment and are self－reported，meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention．Adults were asked：＂Thinking about your mental health， which includes stress，depression，and problems with emotions，for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good？＂Interventions to address low levels of good mental health should consider access to care．Data reported here are from aggregated sampling across years．
＊For this measure，data for Gilliam，Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District．This value represents the whole district，so each county shows as the same value，but there is likely variation．


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morrow | 70．9\％ |
| 2 | Lake | 69．4\％ |
| 3 | Crook | 65．5\％ |
| 4 | Umatilla | 64．5\％ |
| 5 | Wallowa | 63．7\％ |
| 6 | Union | 62．4\％ |
| 7 | Washington | 62．2\％ |
| 8 | Deschutes | 59．9\％ |
| 9 | Gilliam＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 9 | Sherman＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 9 | Wasco＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 12 | Clatsop | 59．7\％ |
| 13 | Klamath | 59．6\％ |
| 14 | Hood River | 59．0\％ |
| 15 | Clackamas | 58．8\％ |
| 16 | Lincoln | 58．7\％ |
| 16 | Linn | 58．7\％ |
| 16 | Yamhill | 58．7\％ |
| 19 | Coos | 58．5\％ |
| 20 | Josephine | 58．2\％ |
| 21 | Benton | 57．4\％ |
|  | Oregon | 57．3\％ |
| 22 | Columbia | 56．9\％ |
| 23 | Marion | 56．7\％ |
| 24 | Douglas | 56．5\％ |
| 25 | Curry | 56．4\％ |
| 26 | Malheur | 56．2\％ |
| 27 | Wheeler | 55．7\％ |
| 28 | Polk | 55．6\％ |
| 29 | Tillamook | 55．5\％ |
| 30 | Jackson | 55．4\％ |
| 31 | Harney | 54．8\％ |
| 32 | Multnomah | 53．8\％ |
| 33 | Lane | 53．0\％ |
| 34 | Grant | 52．9\％ |
| 35 | Baker | 51．1\％ |
| 36 | Jefferson | 49．1\％ |

Source：Oregon Health Authority，Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System（BRFSS），2014－2017，updated biennially．Released 2019.

[^51]
## TOBACCO USE

Definition: The percentage of adults who report that they currently use tobacco, including cigarettes, e-cigarettes, cigars, hookahs or smokeless tobacco.

Tobacco use is the number-one contributor to preventable death in Oregon. It is a risk factor for developing chronic conditions and worsens outcomes for people with chronic conditions. Tobacco use also has economic consequences, costing billions of dollars in medical expenses, lost productivity and early death each year. While cigarette smoking is currently more common than other forms of tobacco use among adults, research shows that youth are increasingly using alternative forms.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Baker | 39.6\% |
| 2 | Gilliam* | 39.3\% |
| 2 | Sherman* | 39.3\% |
| 2 | Wasco* | 39.3\% |
| 5 | Josephine | 38.3\% |
| 6 | Coos | 36.8\% |
| 7 | Morrow | 36.7\% |
| 8 | Hood River | 35.2\% |
| 9 | Lincoln | 34.9\% |
| 10 | Union | 34.6\% |
| 11 | Crook | 34.5\% |
| 12 | Klamath | 33.1\% |
| 13 | Yamhill | 32.1\% |
| 14 | Clatsop | 31.7\% |
| 15 | Douglas | 31.5\% |
| 16 | Harney | 31.1\% |
| 17 | Grant | 30.9\% |
| 18 | Linn | 30.1\% |
| 19 | Curry | 29.8\% |
| 20 | Columbia | 29.5\% |
| 21 | Malheur | 29.4\% |
| 22 | Jackson | 27.2\% |
| 23 | Umatilla | 27.1\% |
| 24 | Lane | 25.7\% |
|  | Oregon | 25.5\% |
| 25 | Marion | 24.6\% |
| 26 | Deschutes | 24.5\% |
| 27 | Clackamas | 24.4\% |
| 28 | Tillamook | 23.7\% |
| 29 | Jefferson | 23.0\% |
| 30 | Multnomah | 22.8\% |
| 31 | Washington | 20.3\% |
| 32 | Lake | 19.8\% |
| 33 | Polk | 18.8\% |
| 34 | Benton | 11.3\% |
|  | Wallowa | ID |
|  | Wheeler | ID |

Source: Oregon Health Authority, Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2014-2017, updated biennially. Released 2019.

ID: Insufficient data per source

[^52]
## BROADBAND AVAILABILITY

## Definition: The percentage of households that have broadband internet ( 25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload) available for purchase.

The internet has changed the way people work, learn, shop and recreate. Today, access to high volume data transfer rates is a key requirement for economic and community development as well as education. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) defines the advanced telecommunications capability benchmark for broadband, which is currently 25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload. To calculate the percentage of households with broadband available, census blocks are analyzed to determine which ones meet the FCC's advanced broadband benchmark. Households in census blocks that meet the FCC's advanced broadband criteria are aggregated and then divided by the total number of households in a county to calculate the percentage of households with the potential to have broadband internet. The challenge is that "available" broadband is often not "affordable."

This measure reports the percentage of households with broadband available for purchase, not the percentage of households that have subscribed to broadband service, which is a much smaller percentage. Exact data on the percentage of households with subscriptions by county is not available at this time.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 99.9\% |
| 1 | Marion | 99.9\% |
| 3 | Washington | 99.8\% |
| 4 | Polk | 99.7\% |
| 5 | Linn | 99.5\% |
| 6 | Yamhill | 99.3\% |
| 7 | Lane | 99.1\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 98.9\% |
| 8 | Multnomah | 98.9\% |
| 10 | Tillamook | 98.5\% |
| 11 | Deschutes | 98.3\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 98.2\% |
| 12 | Lincoln | 98.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 97.6\% |
| 14 | Clatsop | 97.3\% |
| 15 | Crook | 97.2\% |
| 16 | Hood River | 97.0\% |
| 17 | Jackson | 96.8\% |
| 18 | Curry | 95.7\% |
| 19 | Malheur | 95.1\% |
| 20 | Umatilla | 94.6\% |
| 21 | Columbia | 94.4\% |
| 21 | Douglas | 94.4\% |
| 23 | Klamath | 93.1\% |
| 24 | Coos | 91.9\% |
| 25 | Wasco | 89.9\% |
| 26 | Union | 89.5\% |
| 27 | Josephine | 89.4\% |
| 28 | Wallowa | 85.7\% |
| 29 | Morrow | 78.5\% |
| 30 | Harney | 73.8\% |
| 31 | Sherman | 73.5\% |
| 32 | Baker | 73.1\% |
| 33 | Grant | 63.9\% |
| 34 | Gilliam | 63.2\% |
| 35 | Lake | 50.7\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 0.0\% |

Source: FCC Fixed Broadband Deployment Data, FCC Staff Block Estimates, 2019, updated annually. Released 2020.

NOTE: There are growing efforts to make broadband more affordable for low-income households.
Here is an example in Oregon: https://extension.oregonstate.edu/broadband

## CHILD CARE

Definition: The number of child care slots available per 100 children under 13 years of age.

All 36 Oregon counties are considered child care deserts, which means for every regulated child care slot, there are at least three children who might fill it. As a result, many families across Oregon cannot find care for their children. The data reported here reflect child care slots found in child care centers or family child care homes. Inadequate access to such care prevents parents from participating in the workforce and young children from receiving the benefits of early education. Not every child needs access to formal child care. Some parents can rely on relatives or neighbors to care for children. Couples sometimes work different shifts with no overlapping hours so that they can avoid the need for child care. Still, there is a tremendous shortage of supply - a challenge made significantly worse by the COVID-19 pandemic.


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 24 |
| 2 | Multnomah | 22 |
| 3 | Wasco | 19 |
| 4 | Benton | 18 |
| 4 | Hood River | 18 |
| 4 | Washington | 18 |
| 7 | Jefferson | 16 |
| 7 | Lane | 16 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 16 |
| 9 | Klamath | 15 |
|  | Oregon | 15 |
| 10 | Clackamas | 14 |
| 10 | Sherman | 14 |
| 12 | Deschutes | 13 |
| 12 | Josephine | 13 |
| 12 | Union | 13 |
| 15 | Jackson | 12 |
| 15 | Marion | 12 |
| 17 | Coos | 11 |
| 17 | Polk | 11 |
| 17 | Umatilla | 11 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 11 |
| 20 | Columbia | 10 |
| 20 | Curry | 10 |
| 20 | Douglas | 10 |
| 20 | Lincoln | 10 |
| 20 | Malheur | 10 |
| 20 | Wallowa | 10 |
| 20 | Yamhill | 10 |
| 27 | Clatsop | 9 |
| 27 | Morrow | 9 |
| 29 | Baker | 8 |
| 29 | Grant | 8 |
| 29 | Linn | 8 |
| 32 | Crook | 7 |
| 32 | Tillamook | 7 |
| 34 | Wheeler | 6 |
| 35 | Lake | 5 |
| 36 | Harney | 2 |

Source: Oregon Child Care Research Partnership, 2020, updated biennially. Released 2021.

## TRANSIT SERVICE

## Definition：The percentage of residents served by public transit service，measured as the unduplicated population within a ．25－mile radius of a given stop operated by a transit agency．

Public transit is an essential service for those who do not or cannot drive．It allows individuals without a private means of transportation to remain connected in their communities，travel to their jobs，access medical care and meet other basic needs．Public transit is particularly important in rural communities where travel distances to services are greater，thereby making alternatives，such as bicycles or walking， generally impractical for most residents．


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 85．9\％ |
| 2 | Benton | 65．5\％ |
| 3 | Lane | 56．8\％ |
| 4 | Marion | 56．3\％ |
| 5 | Washington | 56．1\％ |
| 6 | Klamath | 54．5\％ |
|  | Oregon | 53．1\％ |
| 7 | Grant | 49．0\％ |
| 8 | Yamhill | 47．9\％ |
| 9 | Jackson | 45．6\％ |
| 10 | Clackamas | 45．1\％ |
| 11 | Umatilla | 44．6\％ |
| 12 | Union | 43．9\％ |
| 13 | Lincoln | 39．8\％ |
| 14 | Wallowa | 35．1\％ |
| 15 | Clatsop | 34．5\％ |
| 16 | Coos | 33．7\％ |
| 17 | Linn | 33．3\％ |
| 18 | Polk | 33．1\％ |
| 19 | Douglas | 32．6\％ |
| 20 | Tillamook | 32．0\％ |
| 21 | Columbia | 31．7\％ |
| 22 | Malheur | 31．5\％ |
| 23 | Josephine | 30．1\％ |
| 24 | Baker | 25．4\％ |
| 25 | Deschutes | 23．6\％ |
| 26 | Hood River | 21．6\％ |
| 27 | Jefferson | 21．4\％ |
| 28 | Wasco | 17．8\％ |
| 29 | Harney | 9．3\％ |
| 30 | Curry | 9．2\％ |
| 31 | Morrow | 5．8\％ |
| 32 | Crook | 5．3\％ |
| 33 | Wheeler | 4．7\％ |
| 34 | Gilliam | 0．0\％ |
| 34 | Lake | 0．0\％ |
| 34 | Sherman | 0．0\％ |

[^53] collected annually．Released 2020.

## MOBILE HOMES

## Definition: The percentage of housing units reported as mobile homes.

Mobile homes are an often maligned but important source of affordable housing. They represent the largest segment of non-subsidized affordable housing in the United States. These homes, whether single or double wide, provide low-cost housing for millions of people in the United States who often own their mobile home and lease the land it sits on. While mobile homes do present a range of challenges, they also provide housing opportunities for individuals and families experiencing economic hardship, and they have the potential to develop micro-communities when mobile housing is clustered in parks.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morrow | 33.9\% |
| 2 | Sherman | 26.2\% |
| 3 | Wheeler | 23.8\% |
| 4 | Harney | 22.4\% |
| 5 | Grant | 21.1\% |
| 6 | Douglas | 20.5\% |
| 7 | Lake | 18.4\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 18.2\% |
| 8 | Wasco | 18.2\% |
| 10 | Curry | 17.8\% |
| 11 | Gilliam | 17.6\% |
| 12 | Josephine | 16.5\% |
| 13 | Malheur | 16.4\% |
| 14 | Crook | 15.9\% |
| 15 | Coos | 15.7\% |
| 15 | Umatilla | 15.7\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 15.7\% |
| 17 | Baker | 14.4\% |
| 18 | Union | 14.3\% |
| 19 | Lincoln | 14.1\% |
| 20 | Klamath | 13.8\% |
| 21 | Columbia | 13.1\% |
| 21 | Linn | 13.1\% |
| 23 | Wallowa | 12.7\% |
| 24 | Hood River | 12.6\% |
| 25 | Jackson | 11.9\% |
| 26 | Tillamook | 10.4\% |
| 27 | Yamhill | 9.6\% |
| 28 | Lane | 8.2\% |
| 28 | Marion | 8.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 7.7\% |
| 30 | Polk | 7.2\% |
| 31 | Benton | 6.1\% |
| 32 | Deschutes | 6.0\% |
| 33 | Clatsop | 5.9\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 5.9\% |
| 34 | Clackamas | 5.7\% |
| 35 | Washington | 2.2\% |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.6\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table DP04, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (PER CAPITA)

Definition: The number of vehicle miles traveled in a county per person, calculated as the number of vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state-owned highways within a county divided by the total population of the county.

Vehicle miles traveled per capita is used in transportation planning, including decisions on highway expansion, as well as tracking the effectiveness of different land use development strategies. It is important to note that per capita vehicle miles traveled are not directly a reflection of how much county residents drive. Counties with high commercial traffic and smaller populations will end up having a larger per capita vehicle miles traveled.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 81,587 |
| 2 | Sherman | 69,838 |
| 3 | Baker | 17,315 |
| 4 | Morrow | 14,858 |
| 5 | Wheeler | 13,290 |
| 6 | Wasco | 13,186 |
| 7 | Hood River | 12,409 |
| 8 | Harney | 12,365 |
| 9 | Malheur | 10,247 |
| 10 | Union | 9,588 |
| 11 | Douglas | 9,348 |
| 12 | Tillamook | 9,000 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 8,915 |
| 13 | Clatsop | 8,587 |
| 14 | Lake | 8,382 |
| 15 | Umatilla | 8,187 |
| 16 | Linn | 8,122 |
| 17 | Jefferson | 8,051 |
| 18 | Grant | 7,685 |
| 19 | Lincoln | 7,204 |
| 20 | Klamath | 6,764 |
| 21 | Wallowa | 5,947 |
| 22 | Josephine | 5,586 |
| 23 | Curry | 5,047 |
| 24 | Crook | 4,762 |
| 25 | Columbia | 4,732 |
| 26 | Marion | 4,674 |
|  | Oregon | 4,570 |
| 27 | Polk | 4,551 |
| 28 | Coos | 4,517 |
| 29 | Jackson | 4,221 |
| 30 | Yamhill | 3,754 |
| 31 | Lane | 3,752 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 3,737 |
| 32 | Clackamas | 3,723 |
| 33 | Deschutes | 3,593 |
| 34 | Multnomah | 3,223 |
| 35 | Washington | 2,609 |
| 36 | Benton | 2,451 |

Source: Oregon Department of
Transportation, Population Research Center at Portland State University, 2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

## Thank you to the following county reviewers:

Denise Callahan, Baker County<br>Jane Reid, Clackamas County<br>Jen Shafer, Coos County<br>Diana Cooper, Curry County<br>Linda Cline, Deschutes County<br>Susan Martin, Douglas County<br>K'Lynn Lane, Gilliam County<br>Brenda Smith, Harney County<br>Ingrid Fuentes Espinoza, Hood River County<br>Kate Dwyer, Josephine County<br>Lindsey Jones, Josephine County<br>Katie Jameson, Klamath County<br>Jody Rolnick, Lane County<br>Bethany Grace Howe, Lincoln County<br>Aimee Fritsch, Malheur County<br>Elias Villegas, Marion County<br>Heidi Luquette, Tillamook County<br>Maurizio Valerio, Union County<br>Lauren Kramer, Wasco County<br>Denise Holmes, Washington County

## For more information

The data contained in this report are available on the Rural Communities Explorer:
http://oregonexplorerinfo/rural

Explore more data topics by state, county and city:
http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/CommunitiesReporter/

Oregon by the Numbers 2022 is available for PDF download:
http://www.tfff.org/OBTN

Sign up to receive a notification when the next edition
of this report is available:
http://www.tfff.org/OBTN

Send your feedback to:
OBTN@tfff.org
@FordFamilyFound

## \#OregonByTheNumbers

## Connect with Us




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For federal data available only at the county level, this report uses the designations of "metropolitan" and "non-metro" from the Office of Management and Budget. According to this definition, three counties that The Ford Family Foundation considers rural are defined as urban: Columbia, Josephine and Yamhill. This is due to their proximity to larger urban areas in adjacent counties.

[^1]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003,

[^2]:    * Source information for all data, including year, appears on the measure summary pages. Generally, readers should expect a lag of at least two years between data collection and publication in this report.

[^3]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^4]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^5]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^6]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^7]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^8]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^9]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^10]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^11]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^12]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^13]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^14]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^15]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^16]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^17]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^18]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^19]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^20]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^21]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^22]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^23]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^24]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^25]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^26]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^27]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^28]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^29]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^30]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^31]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^32]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^33]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^34]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^35]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^36]:    Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, Annual Population Report, 2010-2020, Migration since 2010, updated annually. Released 2021.

[^37]:    Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^38]:    Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B19013, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^39]:    Data not updated due to the COVID-19 pandemic

[^40]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority, Vital Statistics, Table 11, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2021.

[^41]:    Source：Oregon Employment Department， Economic Data，2020，updated annually． Released 2021.

[^42]:    * The COVID-19 pandemic hindered teachers from implementing the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment during the Fall of 2020. Therefore, we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here.

[^43]:    ＊The COVID－19 pandemic hindered teachers from implementing Oregon＇s Statewide English Language Arts Assessment during the Spring of 2020．Therefore，we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here．

[^44]:    * The COVID-19 pandemic hindered the assessment of on-track status of students for the 2019-2020 academic year. Therefore, we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here.

[^45]:    Source：Oregon Employment
    Department，Economic Data，2020，
    updated annually．Released 2021.

[^46]:    Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B23025, 2016-2020, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^47]:    Source: US Department of Housing
    and Urban Development, Office of
    Policy Development and Research, Fair Market Rents, 2020, updated annually. Released 2020.

[^48]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics, 2020, updated annually. Released 2021.

[^49]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority, Oregon Child Immunization Rates, 2020, updated annually.

[^50]:    * The COVID-19 pandemic hindered Oregon Health Authority from reporting county-level data for this measure. Therefore, we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here.

[^51]:    数 The COVID－19 pandemic hindered Oregon Health Authority from reporting county－level data for this measure． Therefore，we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here．

[^52]:    * The COVID-19 pandemic hindered Oregon Health Authority from reporting county-level data for this measure. Therefore, we repeat data from Oregon by the Numbers 2021 here.

[^53]:    Source：Oregon State University，
    Oregon Department of Transportation， Transit Network Analysis Tool，2019，

