

## Oregon

 by the
# Numbers 

Key measures for
Oregon and its counties


## Acknowledgments

The Production Team wishes to acknowledge faculty and staff at Oregon State University who contribute their time, knowledge and skills to this project

Mark Edwards, Professor of Sociology and Director of OSU Policy Analysis Laboratory (OPAL)
Deborah John, Professor of Population Health
and Extension Specialist
Jeff Sherman, OSU Open Campus Program Leader
Bruce Weber, Emeritus Professor and
Rural Studies Program Director

Production Team
Vince Adams, former Extension Community Educator, Oregon State University
Shannon Caplan, Program Coordinator,
Rural Communities Explorer
David Keyes, Independent Researcher and
Data Visualization Specialist
Elissa Schloesser, Graphic Artis

Kasi Allen, Director of Learning and Knowledge Management, The Ford Family Foundation
Mandy Elder, Communications Specialist, The Ford Family Foundation

Nora Harrison, Communications Consultant, The Ford Family Foundation

September 15, 2020
For more information about this project
The Ford Family Foundation
(541) 957-5574

OBTN@tfff.org
\#OregonByTheNumbers

## Photo credits:

Rodeo Girl: Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, Photographer: Alyssa oographer: Ian Poellet; Crab: Tra Oregon; Worker in Hard Hat, Little Boy at Clinic: istock.com

Welcome to the 2020 edition of Oregon by the Numbers. Our annual indicator report was produced this year in truly historic times - global pandemic, economic crisis, catastrophic fires and increasing national awareness of the structural inequities in our society. Whatever the future holds, we know 2020 is a year like no other in living memory, and our world will never be the same

Because reports like Oregon by the Numbers rely on large datasets and population-level measures, we always experience a time lag between when the data are collected and when they are reported - creating a sense of looking back a few years. However, this report has an even more retrospective feel providing a window into how things were before the seismic shifts during 2020. The starkest example would be the unemployment rate.

Readers of past Oregon by the Numbers reports will notice a few changes thi year: new measures, updated visualizations, some additional color. As with prior years, we hope Oregon by the Numbers helps you learn something about the place where you live as well as other parts of the state. One of our goals is to help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

Looking to the future, we anticipate considerable uncertainty in our communities and many challenging problems to solve. Decision makers across the state will need high-quality data more than ever. The Ford Family Foundation's goal is for this annual publication to help inform those decisions.

We hope you find this year's report as intriguing to read as it was for us to produce. We are eager to learn about how people are using this report and how we can improve it. Please send an email to obtn@tfff.org or connect with us via social media @FordFamilyFound.

Here's to working together to create a more vital and livable Oregon
Sincerely
Anne C. Kubisch
President
The Ford Family Foundation
Roseburg, Oregon

## This report

The original concept for Oregon by the Numbers report stemmed from a single question:

What essential measures should all Oregon decision makers be able to easily access for their community?

Since 2006, The Ford Family Foundation has funded the development of the Rural Communities Explorer (RCE) in hopes o ensuring all Oregonians have access to high quality data about their communities. The RCE (http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/ CommunitiesReporter//) is a free web-based data resource housed at Oregon State University that brings together in one place a rich collection of population-level measures in connection with that original question. The project continues to make community data readily available to residents across the state.

Over the years, experts in a variety of fields have provided their insights on what data matter most, yielding a rich list of indicators that can be organized into the six topic areas that comprise the Oregon by the Numbers report.

Demographics and Land: Measures describing the people and place of each county

Community: Measures of social well-being
Education: Measures of academic achievement available at the county leve
Economic: Measures pertaining to enterprise income, and the exchange of goods and services Health: Measures of individual wellness, aggregated by county
Infrastructure: Measures of resources supporting individual self-reliance and family livelihoods

Much has changed since the Foundation first began investing in the Rural Communities Explorer. At the time, anyone without special training could find it challenging to access public data. However, we are now living in an era of big data and data democracy. The internet makes available a wealth of datasets as well as analysis tools, at no or low cost. For some, the number of choices can prove overwhelming.

Oregon by the Numbers assembles a suite of community measures for use across a range of topics and issues. Comprised of county profiles for all 36 counties as well as corresponding summaries for each measure, with ranking whenever possible, Oregon by the Numbers targets a broad audience. County profiles are designed to display data at a glance, readily accessible to youth and adults alike, enabling al readers to learn something new about the place where they live. Measure summaries provide greater specificity for readers interested in the "why" and "how." Whenever possible, county-level values are compared with Oregon, rural Oregon and urban Oregon

The report relies extensively, but not exclusively, on secondary data from a variety of sources stored on the Communities Reporter Tool database from the Rural Communities Explorer (http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/ CommunitiesReporter/). While each measure in Oregon by the Numbers was selected with practical use in mind, the report is not a diagnostic tool. Instead, Oregon by the Numbers serves as a snapshot of the state and its counties at a given moment in time.

## What's new in this edition?

While we want users to be able to use Oregon by the Numbers year after year and track changes in the measures they care about, we also have a commitment to continuously improving the report. The 2020 edition likely contains more changes than readers will see again in a single year.

In terms of new measures, readers will find quite a few. To start, we have added two to the infographic portion of the county profiles: "Life Expectancy" and "Households in Financial Hardship." Several recent studies have demonstrated the links between geography and longevity or geography and income - both of these measures will help illuminate similarities and differences by county across the state. The "Households in Financial Hardship" measure is based on the ALICE data, a special research project conducted by United Way - documenting the reality of workers who are "Asset Limited, Income Constrained, and Employed" - thus the acronym "ALICE." See https://www.unitedforalice. org/oregon for details. Each of the topic areas also has something new:

- The Social strand has a new name. We now call it Community to better reflect our intention to prioritize measures that describe the social fabric of a county. We also replaced "Child abuse" with "Foster care," based on reader feedback and further consultation with child welfare professionals
- The Education strand has been reworked to better mirror the progression of young people through our K-12 system and beyond. The five measures we now include are: "Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)" (introduced in 2019), "Third grade reading" (new in 2020), "Ninth grade on track" (new in 2020), "Five-year
high school graduation rate" (replaces "High school graduation rate") and "Four-year college degree or greater" (original measure).
- The Economy strand has one new measure We have replaced "Housing cost burden" with "Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath)" because community members told us a more actionable indicator of start-up housing costs would be helpful.
- The Health strand has three new measures all aimed at improving the quality of the data and offering better opportunities for cross-county comparisons: "Adult smoking" has become "Tobacco use." "Physically active adults" has become "Good physical health." "Healthy diet" has been replaced with "Good mental health."
- The Infrastructure strand is the least changed We have moved "Developed or cultivated land" to the infographic portion of the county profile because it pertains to "Demographics and land." This change allowed the addition of a new measure, "Child care" (number of slots per 100 children under age 13). Vehicle Miles Traveled has been expanded to include both total miles and per-capita miles

We live in data-rich times, in a state with a long history of using data to inform regional policies and make decisions. While we cannot include all the good work happening in Oregon in this report, with this edition we want to start a tradition of highlighting new research that readers of Oregon by the Numbers might find valuable and worth exploring further. This year we feature the Oregon Child Integrated Dataset (OCID: pronounced "oh-kid").

Data Highlight: Oregon Child Integrated Dataset https://www.ocid-cebp.org/

The Oregon Child Integrated Dataset (OCID) is an objective, nonpartisan data project to support Oregon's policymakers and community leaders as they work to improve outcomes for the state's children and families. Created in 2019, OCID integrates data from Oregon's state agencies to provide a powerful cross-program and longitudinal view of the well-being of children in Oregon. This information is linked with Oregon birth records to show the trajectory of children's well-being from birth and throughout their development. OCID's publicly available Child Well-being Dashboard displays a selection of key
ndicators of child well-being that span education, health and child welfare. Dashboard users have new access to previously siloed data, which can be filtered by demographic and program information to reveal disparities, interactions or trends. More indicators will be added to the Dashboard over the next year.

OCID Sample: School Attendance Dashboard
(Below) School attendance varies across the state by county. School attendance can be impacted by history of maltreatment, which is also affected by the sex/gender of the child.


## Oregon's Shared Fate

The Ford Family Foundation is a "rural" funder, headquartered in Roseburg, Oregon. What do we mean by "rural"? There is no single definition. In fact, even our federal agencies define "rural" in more than a dozen different ways. The Ford Family Foundation has its own definition, grounded in its explicit commitment to serving rural Oregon and Siskiyou County, California.

We combine multiple factors: size, proximity to a metropolitan area and the nature of the community to discern whether or not we consider it "rural."

While we find county-level "rural" or "urban designations problematic, we view 26 of Oregon's 36 counties as exclusively "rural" for grantmaking purposes. The other 10 counties either comprise the Portland metropolitan area or contain an urban center with more than 50,000 people. Because we have no standard or agreed-upon "rural" definition in the United States, making comparisons across communities for research and policy purposes can prove difficult. Generally, Oregon by the Numbers uses the U.S. Census Bureau's definition of rural: any area or population not included in an urban area; urban areas are defined as densely settled areas of at
${ }^{1}$ For federal data available only at the county level, this report uses the designations of "metropolitan" and "non-metro" from the Office of Management and Budget. According to this definition, three counties that The Ford Family Foundation considers rural, are defined as urban: Columbia, Josephine and Yamhill. This is due to their proximity to larger urban areas in adjacent counties.
least 500 people per square mile that total a population of 2,500 or more

In our experience, this practice tends to underestimate what many perceive of as "rural" in 2020. For example, according to the U.S. Census Bureau's definition, a county like the Foundation's home county (Douglas County) has a population that is only $41 \%$ rural, even though we view it as $100 \%$ rural.

An additional challenge we face in Oregon is the concentration of the state's population in a small number of counties, whereas most of the land is very sparsely populated. We even have "frontier" counties because of their low population density and distance from urban areas.

Not long ago, Oregon's population was roughly distributed between rural and urban with one rural resident for every one urban resident. However, as Oregon's population has grown in recent years, that growth has largely taken place in urban areas, leading to increasing urbanization and growing disparities between the state's rural and urban communities - a trend we see nationwide.

While the exact ratio varies according to the definition of rural you use, Oregon's rural/urban split now stands at one rural resident for every two urban residents. Regardless of whether you live in a rural or urban community, we are all Oregonians. As residents of the same state, we have one shared fate. We hope Oregon by the Numbers will help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

## Oregon's Population Density

by Census Tract


Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2014-2018, 5 -year estimates updated

## Ten things to do with

## Oregon by the Numbers

Oregon by the Numbers is designed to be valuable to all - from legislators to the general public, from community leaders to students. Here are a few suggestions for exploring this report.

1. Look at the map of Oregon's counties on the right. How many of Oregon's 36 counties have you visited?
2. Turn to the page for your "home" county and find something that makes you proud to be from that place. What is something your county could improve on?
3. Find a county in another part of the state that has some similar characteristics to your home county. What is something the two counties do not have in common?
4. Review the Population Denisity map on page 11 and the Total Population Summary on page 95 . What do you notice about how Oregon's population is distributed across its 36 counties?
5. Find the Population Pyramid Summary on page 106. What patterns do you see related to the age of Oregon's rural and urban populations?
6. Life expectancy in Oregon varies across the state. See page 107. In which county are Oregonians expected to live the longest?
7. Oregon has nine federally recognized tribes. How many of them can you name? See a list of the tribes and learn more about their history on page 98.
8. Which industries employ the most Oregonians in each county? Take a guess and then review the data on pages 110-112
9. Not all Oregon counties have broadband access. Explore the data related to this challenge on page 136.
10. Turn to Notable Features on pages 104-105. Have you been to all the notable features in your county? Find a place you hope to visit on a future road trip.


## Data by County

| Baker .......................................................... 18 | Lake ............................................................ 54 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benton...................................................... 20 | Lane.......................................................... 56 |
| Clackamas................................................. 22 | Lincoln ..................................................... 58 |
| Clatsop ...................................................... 24 | Linn ......................................................... 60 |
| Columbia .................................................. 26 | Malheur .................................................... 62 |
| Coos ......................................................... 28 | Marion ..................................................... 64 |
| Crook ........................................................ 30 | Morrow ...................................................... 66 |
| Curry....................................................... 32 | Multnomah............................................... 68 |
| Deschutes.................................................. 34 | Polk.......................................................... 70 |
| Douglas ..................................................... 36 | Sherman.................................................... 72 |
| Gilliam ..................................................... 38 | Tillamook ................................................. 74 |
| Grant ........................................................ 40 | Umatilla .................................................... 76 |
| Harney ..................................................... 42 | Union ....................................................... 78 |
| Hood River ................................................ 44 | Wallowa ................................................... 80 |
| Jackson..................................................... 46 | Wasco ......................................................... 82 |
| Jefferson ................................................... 48 | Washington ............................................... 84 |
| Josephine ................................................... 50 | Wheeler..................................................... 86 |
| Klamath .................................................... 52 | Yamhill..................................................... 88 |

## Data by Measure

Measure Selection .. $\qquad$
Demographics and Land Total population $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{r}\text {... } 95 \\ \hline\end{array}$

Rural population ........................................... 96
Net migration . .96
.97
Federally recognized tribes.................................. 98
Largest communities $\qquad$ Median income

Population pyramid $\qquad$
Life expectancy... $\qquad$ Race/ethnicity... $\qquad$ op employment industries. $\qquad$ .108
industries........................ 110 Land area.. ..... 113Publicly owned lands
$\qquad$ .114
Developed or cultivated land $\qquad$ .11
Economy

Labor force participation rate 127
Job growth ..... 128
Property tax per person.. ..... 129
Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath ..... 130
Health
Low weight births .....  131
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
. 132
Good physical health ..... 133
Good mental health ..... 134
Tobacco use. ..... 135

## Infrastructure

Broadband access........................................ 136
Child care................................................... 137
Transit service ............................................ 138
Mobile homes .......................................... 139
Vehicle miles traveled (total)...................... 140
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita).............. 141

BAKER COUNTY

15,984
Rural population 41\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
59

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


©R KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated Iand 52\% 7\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


46\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.2\%
Asian: 0.9\%
Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.1\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.3 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 1.7\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .

| Q | COMMUNITY | BAKER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 23\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 12 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 23 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 72\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 11 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 48\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9th grade on track | 75\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 81\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 22\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 49\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 13 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,159 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$529 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 70\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 56\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 51\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 40\% | 26\% |  |  |
| (1) | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 72\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 12 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 23\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 15\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 18,455 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^0]BENTON COUNTY

## Total population

89,780
Rural population 19\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 $679 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
 per 1,000 population)
74

## federally recognized tribes



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## OPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 26\% 34\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
17\% $22 \%$
39\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5\%
Asian: 7.1\%
| Black/African American: 1.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.3 \%$
Some other race: $0.2 \%$
Two or more races: 3.2\%
White: $80.6 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


[^1]
## Total population <br> 405,788

Rural population 18\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
93

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME




## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
54\%
21\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


43\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 4.1\%
| Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.3 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.2\%
White: $82.3 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Food services and
drinking places


Educational
services

Professional and

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .

| Ro | COMMUNITY | CLACKAMAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 10\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 11\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 71\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 9 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 55\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9th grade on track | 87\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5-year high school graduation rate | 85\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 37\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| 5 ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 65\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 11 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,855 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,132 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 75\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 61\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 59\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 24\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 回金 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 95\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 45\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 6\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,500 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^2]38,562
Rural population

## 39\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
53

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


©R KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{80} 7$


Total land area 1,084 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$


Public land Developed/cultivated land 26\% 6\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
10\% 36\%
46\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3\%
| Asian: 1.0\%
| Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.3 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 3.5\%
White: $85.7 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $\Omega^{2}$ | COMMUNITY | CLATSOP | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 13\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 9\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 10 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 31 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 69\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3 rd grade reading | 46\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 83\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 74\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 25\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 58\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,961 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$706 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 69\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 60\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 32\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 84\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 41\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 6\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,416 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^3]
## Total population

50,851
Rural population
44\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
39
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
(BP ClUS Coo cow
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE


$688 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$


Public land
8\% 14\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
12\% 35\%
47\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.2 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 3.4\%
White: $88.8 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| 8, | COMMUNITY | COLUMBIA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 12\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 15\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 13 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 6 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 68\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 40\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 83\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 85\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 18\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$ ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 57\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,311 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,132 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 59\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 57\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 30\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 78\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 28\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 15\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,067 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^4]
## 63,308

Rural population 38\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
39

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

CLUS Coa cowGR KLA SLZ UMA WSP
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
29\%

Developed/
cultivated land
7\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
17\% 32\%

49\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.1\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 6.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 4.3\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services

Administrative
and services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| 08 | COMMUNITY | COOS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 15\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 27\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 22 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 23 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 67\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 6 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 39\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9th grade on track | 69\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 63\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 18\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$ | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 51\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,002 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$636 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 54\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 59\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 37\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 89\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 30\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 16\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,041 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^5]22337
Rural population 48\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
94
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
(BP) Clus coo coll
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{81} 76$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 50\% 4\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


56\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
Asian: 0.4\%
Black/African American: 0.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.4 \%$
Some other race: $0.0 \%$
Two or more races: 2.4\%
White: $88.3 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Wood product

ducationa

Definitions of indicators can be found on page $9 \mathbf{9 3}$.

|  | COMMUNITY | CROOK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 23\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 8 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 68\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3 rd grade reading | 51\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 87\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 72\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 17\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$ ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 52\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -3 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,269 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$579 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 74\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 73\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 66\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 35\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 94\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 19 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 7\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 14\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,099 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^6]22,50

## Rural population

 39\%Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
94

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Clus coo cowGR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{79}$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
55\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
14\% 33\%
47\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.5\%
Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 6.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.0 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.9\%
White: $86.5 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES




| R | COMMUNITY | CURRY | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 15\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 17 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 10 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 70\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 7 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 47\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 92\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 73\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 24\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 42\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 3 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,131 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$689 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 59\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 54\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 56\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 30\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 94\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 15 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 9\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 18\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,704 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

## 180,640

## Rural population

 28\%Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
163
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


GR KLA SIZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated Iand 78\% 5\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
10\% 25\%
35\% Below Poverty Level and Allce Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.4\%
Asian: 1.2\%
Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: $2.3 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Administrative and
support services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .

| 9 | COMMUNITY | DESCHUTES | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 12\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 14\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 23 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 73\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 9 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 61\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 89\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 83\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 34\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 63\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 3 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,852 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$806 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 64\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 60\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 25\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 99\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 19 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 25\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 7\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,022 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

total population
108,323
Rural population 41\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
63

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Clus coo cowGR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## population by age



5,134 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$


Public land 52\% 9\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13\% 33\%
46\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.6\%
White: $88.1 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Food services and
drinking places

Educationa
services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .

|  | COMMUNITY | DOUGLAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 24\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 24 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 29 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 65\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 6 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 43\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 9th grade on track | 82\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 70\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 17\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 51\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 3 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$882 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$571 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 72\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 58\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 57\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 32\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 붐 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 91\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 33\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 20\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 10,222 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^7]Total population
$\mathbf{1 , 9 0 7}$

Rural population 100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
66

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


(GR KLA SIZ UMA WSP
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


Gilliam
$\$ 42,976$
Oregon


## OPULATION BY AGE



1,223 $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$


Public land
8\%
29\%

household in financial hardship
13\% 31\%
44\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 4.3\%
| Asian: 0.5\%
Black/African American: $0.0 \%$
Hispanic/Latino: 6.2\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.7 \%$
Some other race: $0.0 \%$
Two or more races: 0.4\%
White: $87.9 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \Omega \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | COMMUNITY | GILLIAM | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 12\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 4\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 29 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 1 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 78\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 40\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 96\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 91\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 20\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 52\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$4,531 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$559 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 24\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 72\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 69\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 60\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 39\% | 26\% |  |  |
| ํํํํ | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 61\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 22 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 0\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 15\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 89,471 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

## 7,183

Rural population 100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
19
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


GR KLA SIZ UMA WSP
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 62\% 1\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
16\%

## 29\%

45\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.4\%
Asian: 0.4\%
Black/African American: 0.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 3.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 2.6\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

 Educational
services


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| 8, | COMMUNITY | GRANT | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 13 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 3 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 75\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 11 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 34\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 88\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 88\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 19\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$ ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 7\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 54\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,082 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$607 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 67\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 54\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 53\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 31\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 움 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 61\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 20\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 22\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 7,878 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^8]Total population
7,228
Rural population
44\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
-1

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

BP ClUs Coo Cow
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{80} 76$


POPULATION BY AGE

10,226 mi ${ }^{2}$


Public land Developed/cultivated land 75\%

## 4\%



HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
14\% 33\%
47\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.6\%
Asian: 0.0\%

Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
| Some other race: 0.7\%
Two or more races: 5.6\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Hospitals

23,131
Rural population 52\%

Net migration, 2010-2018
Total land area $533 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$
 (per 1,000 population)
91

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 73\% 9\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
$7 \%$ 38\%
45\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 1.5\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 31.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.0 \%$
Some other race: $0.0 \%$
Two or more races: 2.1\%
White: $63.8 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


214,267
Rural population
20\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
75
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 52\% 11\%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13\% $24 \%$
37\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
| Asian: 1.3\%
| Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 12.5\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacificiclislander: 0.3\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.0\%
White: $81.2 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $8$ | COMMUNITY | JACKSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 13\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 22\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 13 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 41 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 68\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 7 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 43\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 90\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 80\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 27\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 57\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 8 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,309 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$676 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 61\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 55\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 27\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 园合 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 94\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 39\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 13\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,549 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^9]23

## Rural population

## 63\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
52
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS Coo cow


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY 80 years $\overbrace{1}^{\circ} 75$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 51\% 8\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
15\%
38\%
53\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: $16.0 \%$
Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 20.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 2.3\%
White: $60.2 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



$\stackrel{\text { Crop }}{ }$

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $8$ | COMMUNITY | JEFFERSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 13\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 26\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 12 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 19 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 63\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 4 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 40\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 80\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 76\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 18\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 52\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,139 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$607 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 73\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 50\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 49\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 23\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 园侖 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 95\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 28 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 24\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 21\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,654 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^10]85,481
Rural population

## 45\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population)
78
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 68\% 9\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
16\% 30\%
46\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
| Asian: 1.0\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.1\%
White: $87.1 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $8$ | COMMUNITY | JOSEPHINE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 15\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 27\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 18 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 24 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 64\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 6 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 45\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 79\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 73\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 17\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 48\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 11 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$882 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$697 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 70\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 59\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 58\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 38\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 园合 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 79\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 30\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 17\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,998 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^11]66,310
66,310
Rural population 38\%

Net migration, 2010-2018

per 1,000 population
18

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

(BP CIUS Coo Cow
GR KLA SLIZ UMA WSP
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{80} \overbrace{i 1}^{\circ} 74$


POPULATION BY AGE

Public land


Developed/ cultivated land
8\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
19\% 29\%
48\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 3.6\%
Asian: 1.0\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 12.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: $0.0 \%$
Two or more races: 3.5\%
White: 78.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Wood product

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

|  | COMMUNITY | KLAMATH | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 28\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 17 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 13 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 65\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 4 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 40\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 82\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 76\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 19\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$. ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 52\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 1 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$998 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$576 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 10\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 79\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 63\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 60\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 33\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 85\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 54\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 17\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 7,301 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^12]
## Total population

7,843
Rural population

## 63\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
46

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 75\% 3\%
8,358 $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$



HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

## 19\%

$34 \%$
53\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.3\%
Asian: 1.0\%
Black/African American: 0.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.0 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: $3.7 \%$
White: $84.7 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Hospitals


Educational services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | COMMUNITY | LAKE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 16\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 7 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 7 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 72\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 12 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3 rd grade reading | 54\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 84\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 80\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 15\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 6\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 51\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 11 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,636 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$539 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 66\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 60\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 69\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 20\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 49\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 3 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 0\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 22\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,725 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^13]
## LANE COUNTY

## 368,882

Rural population 18\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
62

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



ClUs coa cow


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY 82

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 58\% 10\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


28\%
45\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
Asian: 2.6\%
| Black/African American: 1.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.2 \%$
Some other race: $0.2 \%$
Two or more races: 4.4\%
White: $82.1 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


| 08 | COMMUNITY | LANE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 15\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 15 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 28 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 70\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 48\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 9th grade on track | 85\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5-year high school graduation rate | 76\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 30\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 59\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,347 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$727 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 77\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 58\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 53\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 26\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 93\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 57\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 9\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,189 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^14]Total population
$\mathbf{4 7}, 881$

Rural population 38\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population)
73

## Eederally recognized tribes



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{81} 75$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
29\%
Developed/
cultivated land
6\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
15\% 34\%
49\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.6\%
| Asian: 1.1\%
|Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 9.1\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.2 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 3.9\%
White: $82.6 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .


[^15]
## LINN COUNTY

## 122,870

Rural population 32\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population)
57

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{80} 76$

## POPULATION BY AGE



## Public land Developed/cultivated land

 40\% 27\%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
12\% 29\%
41\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.3\%
White: $85.4 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES




Primary metal
Primary metal

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| COMMUNITY | LINN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 18\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 24 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
| Voter participation | 64\% | 70\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 5 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 41\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 76\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 20\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 58\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,262 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$689 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68\% | 73\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 59\% | 60\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59\% | 57\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 30\% | 26\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband access | 98\% | 94\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| Transit service | 32\% | 52\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 12\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,499 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^16]MALHEUR COUNTY


TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Justice, public drer and safety
activities
Total population

Rural population

## 13\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
45
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
(BP ClUS COO Cow
(GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{82} 77$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 35\% 47\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13\% 35\%
48\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5\%
Asian: 2.0\%
|Black/African American: 1.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 26.3\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.9\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.2\%
White: $65.8 \%$


TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Food services and
drinking places


Educational
services

Ambulatory healt care services

| R | COMMUNITY | MARION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 11\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 7 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 39 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 66\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 5 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 35\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 83\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 23\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
|  | ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 61\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 7 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,102 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$668 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 76\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 60\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 57\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 25\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 99\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 56\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 9\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,436 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^17]MORROW COUNTY


TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

|  | COMMUNITY | MORROW | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 8\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 23\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 20 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 63\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3 rd grade reading | 35\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 92\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 83\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 11\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 59\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 28 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$2,670 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$524 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 10\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2 -year-olds | 75\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 48\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 71\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 37\% | 26\% |  |  |
|  | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 78\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 10 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 4\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 31\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 17,282 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^18]
## Total population <br> 798,647

Rural population 1\%

Net migration, 2010-2018

## Total land area

466 mi $^{2}$
 (per 1,000 population)
62

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

(BP) ClUS Coo Cow
©R KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY 82

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 36\% 42\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
11\% $32 \%$
43\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%

- Asian: 7.2\%

Black/African American: 5.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 11.4\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.6 \%$
Some other race: $0.3 \%$
Two or more races: 4.5\%
White: $70.0 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $0^{\circ}$ | COMMUNITY | MULTNOMAH | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 10 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 47 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 73\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3 rd grade reading | 46\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 th grade on track | 85\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 45\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| \$ ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 69\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 15 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,968 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,132 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 58\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 54\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 23\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 움 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 99\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 21 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 86\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 2\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,834 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^19]POLK COUNTY

## 81,427 <br> Rural population <br> 20\% <br> Net migration, 2010-2018

 (per 1,000 population)64

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY 82

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land 12\%

Developed/cultivated land 43\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


37\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.7\%
Asian: 1.9\%
Black/African American: 1.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 13.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 2.8\%
White: 78.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Food services and
drinking places


Nursing and
esidential care esidential care
facilities

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| COMMUNITY | POLK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12\% | 12\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 15\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 5 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 16 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
| Voter participation | 68\% | 70\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 7 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 35\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 86\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 83\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 30\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 1 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,050 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$668 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 73\% | 73\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 56\% | 60\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 56\% | 57\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 19\% | 26\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband access | 98\% | 94\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 12 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| Transit service | 33\% | 52\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 8\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,245 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^20]

## TILLAMOOK COUNTY



HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13\% $34 \%$
47\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: $0.7 \%$
Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 10.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.4 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 3.0\%
White: $84.5 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food services and
Educationa
services drinking places

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


[^21]UMATILLA COUNTY

## Total population <br> 76,898

Rural population
29\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
20
federally recognized tribes
(BP) Clus Coo Cow
(GR KLA SIZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



LIFE EXPECTANCY $8 \underset{\text { years }}{81} 77$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 27\% 33\%


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



45\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: $2.5 \%$
Asian: 0.8\%
| Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 26.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.2 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.7\%
White: $66.4 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES
 services



Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


[^22]Total population
26,028
Rural population
42\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population)
32
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{81} 78$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 49\% 13\%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
16\% 28\%
44\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
| Asian: 1.1\%
| Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.7\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.2\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.4\%
White: $89.0 \%$
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services

Wood product
manufacturing

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| COMMUNITY | UNION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 15\% | 12\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 19\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
| Voter participation | 68\% | 70\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 9 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 46\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 86\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 86\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 25\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 58\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$983 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$559 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 69\% | 73\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 64\% | 60\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 62\% | 57\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 35\% | 26\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband access | 71\% | 94\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| Transit service | 40\% | 52\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 16\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 10,039 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^23]
## 6,924

Rural population 100\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
55

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


©R KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## LIFE EXPECTANCY $\underset{\text { years }}{83} 77$

## POPULATION BY AGE




HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
15\% 30\%
45\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0\%
Asian: 0.3\%
Black/African American: 0.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 2.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.1 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.1\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


[^24]ID: Insufficient data per source

## 25,866

Rural population 33\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
79

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE EXPECTANCY 81 years 75

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 44\% 11\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13\% 35\%
48\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 3.4\%
Asian: 0.7\%
Black/African American: 0.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: $17.8 \%$
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.6 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 2.2\%

White: 75.0\%
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

$\stackrel{\text { Crop }}{\text { production }}$


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.


[^25]
## WASHINGTON COUNTY

## 581,821

Rural population 6\%

Net migration, 2010-2018 per 1,000 population
79
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
(BP) ClUs Coo cow
GR NLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

## 

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 15\% 46\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
$8 \% \quad 36 \%$
44\% Below Poverty Level and ALCE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3\%

```Asian: 10.1\%
```

Black/African American: 1.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 16.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.4 \%$
Some other race: $0.2 \%$
Two or more races: $4.2 \%$
White: $66.3 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Computer and
electronic
Administrative and $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Food services and } \\ \text { support services }\end{gathered}$ electronic product
manufacturing
support services

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93 .

| Ro | COMMUNITY | WASHINGTON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 10\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 12\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 71\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 10 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 56\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9th grade on track | 88\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5-year high school graduation rate | 88\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 44\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| 5 ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 69\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 8 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,755 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,132 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 76\% | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 64\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 62\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | 20\% | 26\% |  |  |
| 回金 | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 97\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 56\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 2\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,048 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^26]Total population
1,426
Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
56

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

(BP) Cus Coo cow
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Wheeler $\$ 33,456$
Oregon
\$59,393

## LIFE EXPECTANCY <br> 

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 29\% 1\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

## 16\%

$40 \%$
56\% Below Poverty Level and ALCE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.7\%
Asian: $0.4 \%$
Black/African American: 0.0\%

- Hispanic/Latino: 9.7\%

Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.0 \%$
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 4.0\%
White: $85.2 \%$

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

| $\Omega_{0}^{\circ}$ | COMMUNITY | WHEELER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food insecurity | 14\% | 12\% |  |  |
|  | Child poverty* | 35\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
|  | Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 0 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
|  | Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 2 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
|  | Voter participation | 84\% | 70\% |  |  |
|  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 13 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 3rd grade reading | 53\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
|  | 9 9th grade on track | 33\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
|  | 5 -year high school graduation rate | 27\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
|  | 4 -year college degree or greater | 14\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
|  | Labor force participation rate | 43\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
|  | Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 9 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Property tax (per person) | \$1,597 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
|  | Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$537 |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low weight births | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
|  | Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | ID | 73\% |  |  |
|  | Good physical health | 30\% | 60\% |  |  |
|  | Good mental health | 56\% | 57\% |  |  |
|  | Tobacco use | ID | 26\% |  |  |
| (10) | INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Broadband access | 0\% | 94\% |  |  |
|  | Child care (slots per 100 children) | 26 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  | Transit service | 7\% | 52\% |  |  |
|  | Mobile homes | 20\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
|  | Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 14,000 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^27]ID: Insufficient data per source
 per 1,000 popuation)
62
FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
(BP) CUP) Coo Cow
बR (IIAA SIT (IMA USP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 17\% 45\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
15\% $39 \%$
54\% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
| American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0\%
Asian: 1.4\%
| Black/African American: 0.8\%
Hispanic/Latino: 15.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: $0.3 \%$
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 3.3\%
White: 77.3\%
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES
 services


$\underset{\text { crop }}{\text { croction }}$

| COMMUNITY | YAMHILL | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11\% | 12\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4 | 9 | 15 | 8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 21 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
| Voter participation | 70\% | 70\% |  |  |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) | 8 of 26 | 8 |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 49\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 83\% | 85\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 86\% | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 26\% | 33\% | 23\% | 37\% |
| ECONOMY |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60\% | 62\% | 55\% | 65\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,224 | \$1,548 | \$1,280 | \$1,600 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,132 |  |  |  |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 76\% | 73\% |  |  |
| Good physical health | 60\% | 60\% |  |  |
| Good mental health | 59\% | 57\% |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 32\% | 26\% |  |  |
| INFRASTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband access | 89\% | 94\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| Transit service | 48\% | 52\% |  |  |
| Mobile homes | 11\% | 8\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,449 | 5,190 | 9,640 | 4,326 |

[^28]Data by Measure

The process of selecting measures for the first edition (2018) of Oregon by the Numbers began with a comprehensive analysis of existing indicator reports followed by a juried ranking for the final selection. The process helped guarantee inclusion of the most broadly desired measures, while simultaneously leveraging the knowledge of experts to ensure relevance. To begin, researchers at Oregon State University used a crossover matrix of measures and reports to generate a short list of candidate measures for Oregon by the Numbers (based on data already available in the Communities Reporter Tool). They then reviewed the short list to determine causal or covariant relationships of the measures, prioritizing those with central influence and/or those that best function as overall indicators of societal progress

Each year since, the production team has taken great care to review the quality of the data available, explore additional options based on new research, attend to user feedback, and populate each topic area with a sufficient number and type of measures to ensure relevance to al Oregon counties. While we want to prioritize continuity of measures from one year to the next, we also want to ensure that the report incorporates the best available data. So, there will be changes from time to time, as is the case this year.

American Community Survey
Oregon by the Numbers relies on multiple measures from the American Community Survey (ACS). The federal government has collected information about the American population since 1790 with the decennial census and began asking questions about housing and other topics in the mid-20th century. Collected on a continuous
basis starting in 2005, the ACS is intended to give the American public a sense of how people in the country are doing, where they are working, whether they have access to the services they need and so on. In 2009, the U.S. Census Bureau released the first annual installment of data from the ACS about the economic, demographic, housing and social characteristics of America's people and households.

ACS data provide decision makers unprecedented access to timely information about key issues. The results help determine how billions of dollars of government funds are distributed each year. The data also can illuminate opportunities for community engagement as well as state-level research.

## Margin of error

Because the American Community Survey is a sample survey, involving 3.5 million households every year, its estimates are subject to statistical error. The Census Bureau publishes the margin of error associated with each ACS estimate. The margin of error associated with estimates for small communities and/or for sub-groups within the population (e.g. age categories, racial/ethnic groups, people with disabilities) can be large relative to the estimate. In these cases, users should interpret results with caution. In this report, any necessary cautions about margin of error are noted on the measure page in question. The margins of error for the measures in this report are available on the Communities Reporter Tool from the Rural Communities Explorer (http://oregonexploreriinfo/rural) or the U.S. Census Bureau (http://www.census.gov).

Definition: The total number of individuals living within a county's designated boundaries.

Population size provides insight into the nature of a county's residential communities. This measure is also important for tracking growth or declines within a specific county and making comparisons across counties. Changes in population occur through births, deaths and migration. Shifts in total population can affect funding from state and federal agencies. Such changes also suggest the extent to which the county is attracting new residents or whether the economy is prospering or struggling. As a measure, Total Population is useful in planning for current and future community needs


| Rank | County | Population |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Oregon | $4,081,943$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $2,812,221$ |
|  | Rural Oregon | $1,269,722$ |
| 1 | Multnomah | 798,647 |
| 2 | Washington | 581,821 |
| 3 | Clackamas | 405,788 |
|  | Lane | 368,882 |
| 5 | Marion | 335,553 |
| 6 | Jackson | 214,267 |
| 7 | Deschutes | 180,640 |
| 8 | Linn | 122,870 |
| 9 | Douglas | 108,323 |
| 10 | Yamhill | 103,820 |
| 11 | Benton | 89,780 |
| 12 | Josephine | 85,481 |
| 13 | Polk | 81,427 |
| 14 | Umatilla | 76,898 |
| 15 | Klamath | 66,310 |
| 16 | Coos | 63,308 |
| 17 | Columbia | 50,851 |
| 18 | Lincoln | 47,881 |
| 19 | Clatsop | 38,562 |
| 20 | Malheur | 30,431 |
| 21 | Tillamook | 26,076 |
| 22 | Union | 26,028 |
| 23 | Wasco | 25,866 |
| 24 | Jefferson | 23,143 |
| 25 | Hood River | 23,131 |
| 26 | Curry | 22,507 |
| 27 | Crook | 22,337 |
| 28 | Baker | 15,984 |
| 29 | Morrow | 11,215 |
| 30 | Lake | 7,843 |
| 31 | Harney | 7,228 |
| 32 | Grant | 7,183 |
| 33 | Wallowa | 6,924 |
| 34 | Gilliam | 1,907 |
| 35 | Sherman | 1,605 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 1,426 |
|  |  |  |

[^29] annually. Released 2019.

## RURAL POPULATION

Definition: The percentage of people who reside outside of urban areas or clusters in a given county, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Rural communities, especially those defined as rural according to the U.S. Census guidelines, present significantly different contexts from their urban and suburban counterparts. The strengths, needs and capacities of rural communities differ accordingly. In Oregon, where there are only 12 cities with population greater than 50,000 , knowing the proportion of the rural population in a county allows decision makers to develop more balanced strategies to support different types of communities (see "Oregon's Shared Fate," page 10). Because this measure makes use of data released every decade, researchers are exploring alternatives for future reports.


Top third $\square$ Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Grant | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Sherman | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wallowa | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wheeler | 100.0\% |
| 6 | Tillamook | 69.6\% |
| 7 | Lake | 63.3\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 63.1\% |
| 9 | Hood River | 52.2\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 48.4\% |
| 11 | Crook | 48.0\% |
| 12 | Morrow | 45.9\% |
| 13 | Josephine | 45.0\% |
| 14 | Harney | 44.3\% |
| 15 | Columbia | 43.6\% |
| 16 | Union | 42.1\% |
| 17 | Douglas | 41.2\% |
| 18 | Baker | 41.0\% |
| 19 | Clatsop | 39.0\% |
| 20 | Curry | 38.7\% |
| 21 | coos | 38.4\% |
| 22 | Lincoln | 37.6\% |
| 22 | Klamath | 37.6\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 33.1\% |
| 25 | Linn | 31.6\% |
| 26 | Umatilla | 29.1\% |
| 27 | Deschutes | 27.6\% |
| 28 | Yamhill | 22.6\% |
| 29 | Jackson | 20.1\% |
| 30 | Polk | 19.9\% |
|  | Oregon | 19.0\% |
| 31 | Benton | 18.8\% |
| 32 | Clackamas | 18.1\% |
| 33 | Lane | 17.5\% |
| 34 | Marion | 13.1\% |
| 35 | Washington | 5.6\% |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.3\% |

Definition: Net migration is the change in population due to people moving in or out of a given area over a specified time period per 1,000 residents.

Positive net migration means more people are moving into a county than are leaving, while a negative value means more people are moving out of a county than moving in. It is important for businesses and local leaders to understand net migration in order to anticipate the county's future demands. Population change resulting from migration requires different resources than change caused by births and deaths. Understanding the various contributors to population change is important for long-range planning.


|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Rank | County | Amount |
| 1 | Deschutes | 162.9 |
| 2 | Curry | 94.3 |
| 2 | Crook | 94.3 |
| 4 | Clackamas | 92.8 |
| 5 | Hood River | 90.7 |
| 6 | Washington | 79.3 |
| 7 | Wasco | 79.0 |
| 8 | Josephine | 78.0 |
| 9 | Jackson | 75.2 |
| 10 | Benton | 74.2 |
| 11 | Lincoln | 73.3 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 73.2 |
|  | Oregon | 68.8 |
| 12 | Gilliam | 66.4 |
| 13 | Polk | 63.8 |
| 14 | Douglas | 62.8 |
| 15 | Lane | 62.0 |
| 16 | Multnomah | 61.9 |
| 17 | Yamhill | 61.8 |
| 18 | Tillamook | 59.3 |
| 19 | Baker | 58.7 |
| 20 | Linn | 56.9 |
| 21 | Wheeler | 56.0 |
| 22 | Wallowa | 54.6 |
| 23 | Clatsop | 52.9 |
| 24 | Jefferson | 52.0 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 46.9 |
| 25 | Lake | 46.0 |
| 26 | Marion | 44.5 |
| 27 | Columbia | 39.4 |
| 28 | Coos | 39.1 |
| 29 | Union | 31.7 |
| 30 | Umatilla | 20.3 |
| 31 | Grant | 19.1 |
| 32 | Klamath | 18.0 |
| 33 | Sherman | 17.5 |
| 34 | Morrow | 1.6 |
| 35 | Harney | -0.8 |
| 36 | Malheur | -12.4 |
|  |  |  |

## Source: Portland State University, Population Research Center, Annu <br> Population Research Center, Annual Population Repopt 2010 Miguration since 2010, update

 annually. Released 2019.
## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.Burns Paiute Tribe
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe
CLUS Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw IndiansConfederated Tribes of Grande Ronde Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian ReservationCoquille Indian TribeKlamath Tribes

Confederated Tribes of

Oregon is Indian country. Dozens of indigenous tribes and bands once inhabited the land now known as Oregon - and did so successfully for thousands of years until the arrival of Europeans in the 18th century. In 1797, the U.S. Congress passed the Northwest Ordinance, establishing the goal of westward expansion. Despite language indicating that lands and property would never be taken from native people without their consent, history would prove otherwise. Ultimately, European settlers acquired nearly 3 million acres of Indian land in Oregon.

The term "federally recognized" refers to the government-to-government relationships between the United States and Indian tribes, managed in large part by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. In 1954, during the Termination Era, the U.S. Congress terminated every tribe and band in Western Oregon. The 1970s and 1980s served as an era of rebuilding tribal communities and land bases. The majority of Oregon's nine federally recognized tribes were restored through legal action at the federal level during that time.
This report highlights Oregon's federally recognized Indian tribes as an indication of potential government-to-government or other official relationships in Oregon's counties and across the state. Each federally recognized tribe is a distinct sovereign nation, with its own political
and legal status described in the U.S. Constitution. Tribal members are U.S. citizens as well as citizens of their tribal nations.

Numerous Indian-affiliated organizations operate throughout Oregon that are not associated with the state's nine federally recognized tribes, so the information provided here does not represent the diverse Native American presence within a given county or throughout Oregon. Estimates suggest between 45,000 and 50,000 Native Americans presently reside in Oregon; there are Indians in every county. A significant portion of Native Americans in Oregon are affiliated with tribes other than those that are federally recognized as being located in Oregon. In addition, federally recognized tribes in neighboring states may have land and relationships within Oregon that are not represented here. For example, the Nez Perce tribe, federally recognized in Idaho, has bought back thousands of acres of traditional homeland in Wallowa County, Oregon.

Ultimately, Indian history is Oregon history. Oregon Senate Bill 13 directs the Department of Education to develop curriculum about the Native American experience in Oregon and to provide professional development for all teachers in support of that curriculum. This effort will provide an opportunity for all students to learn our state's full history, beginning with the experiences of Oregon's first human inhabitants.

Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.

## BP <br> Burns Paiute Tribe



## CLUS <br> Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians

COQ Coquille Indian Tribe
 KLA Klamath TribesConfederated Tribes of Confederated
Grande Ronde


Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation


WSP Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs


## LARGEST COMMUNITIES

Definition: The name, location and population of the largest community within a county's boundaries.

The largest community is identified by comparing Census-designated population clusters within the county. Highlighting the size and location of the largest community within a county provides insight into how population is distributed within a particular county and across the state. Two metropolitan areas are the largest communities for multiple counties: Portland for Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington; Salem for Marion and Polk.


| \# | Community | County | Pop | \# | Community | County | Pop | \# | Community | County | Pop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Portland | Clackamas | 639,387 | 13 | Roseburg | Douglas | 22,988 | 25 | Hood River | Hood River | 7,688 |
| 2 | Portland | Multnomah | 639,387 | 14 | Klamath Falls | Klamath | 21,138 | 26 | Madras | Jefferson | 6,674 |
| 3 | Portland | Washington | 639,387 | 15 | Hermiston | Umatilla | 17,346 | 27 | Brookings | Curry | 6,413 |
| 4 | Salem | Marion | 166,756 | 16 | Coos Bay | Coos | 16,176 | 28 | Tillamook | Tillamook | 5,176 |
| 5 | Salem | Polk | 166,756 | 17 | The Dalles | Wasco | 15,320 | 29 | Boardman | Morrow | 3,340 |
| 6 | Eugene | Lane | 165,997 | 18 | St. Helens | Columbia | 13,446 | 30 | Lakeview | Lake | 2,765 |
| 7 | Bend | Deschutes | ,500 | 19 | La Grande | Union | 13,103 | 31 | Burns | Harney | 2,756 |
| 8 | Medford | Jackson | 80,051 | 20 | Ontario | Malheur | 10,997 | 32 | John Day | Grant | 2,251 |
| 9 | Corvallis | Benton | 57,213 | 21 | Newport | Lincoln | 10,381 | 33 | Enterprise | Wallowa | 1,990 |
| 10 | Albany | Linn | 52,736 | 22 | Prineville | Crook | 9,748 | 34 | Condon | Gilliam | 655 |
| 11 | Grants Pass | Josephine | 37,201 | 23 | Astoria | Clatsop | 9,747 | 35 | Fossil | Wheeler | 503 |
| 12 | McMinnville | Yamhill | 33,662 | 24 | Baker City | Baker | 9,738 | 36 | Wasco | Sherman | 371 |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community
Survey, Table B01003, 2014-2018, 5-year estimates Survey, Table B01003, 2014 -2018,
updated annually. Released 2019 .

## MEDIAN INCOME

Definition: The household income value at which $50 \%$ of households in the county earn less and 50\% earn more.

Median household income in this report provides a measure of the typical or "middle" income level in a county as well as the overall economic well-being for residents. One drawback is that this measure treats all households equally regardless of the number of people in the household. The size of the household has an impact on how the income is distributed to individuals. However, median household income remains a broadly used measure. It is useful in tracking income growth, which is associated with the ability of residents to meet their needs, and comparing economic conditions across counties


Top third Middle third Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Washington | \$78,010 |
| 2 | Clackamas | \$76,597 |
| 3 | Multnomah | \$64,337 |
| 4 | Deschutes | \$63,680 |
| 5 | Hood River | \$62,935 |
| 6 | Columbia | \$59,714 |
| 7 | Yamhill | \$59,484 |
|  | Oregon | \$59,393 |
| 8 | Benton | \$58,655 |
| 9 | Polk | \$58,344 |
| 10 | Marion | \$56,097 |
| 11 | Morrow | \$55,440 |
| 12 | Clatsop | \$52,583 |
| 13 | Linn | \$2,097 |
| 14 | Umatilla | \$51,887 |
| 15 | Wasco | \$50,973 |
| 16 | Jackson | \$50,851 |
| 17 | Jefferson | \$50,339 |
| 18 | Lane | \$49,958 |
| 19 | Union | \$48,617 |
| 20 | Sherman | \$48,056 |
| 21 | Tillamook | \$47,500 |
| 22 | Curry | \$46,396 |
| 23 | Lincoln | \$46,061 |
| 24 | Douglas | \$45,812 |
| 25 | Grant | \$45,357 |
| 26 | Wallowa | \$44,953 |
| 27 | Crook | \$44,524 |
| 28 | Baker | \$43,921 |
| 29 | Klamath | \$43,522 |
| 30 | Coos | \$43,308 |
| 31 | Josephine | \$43,046 |
| 32 | Gilliam | \$42,976 |
| 33 | Malheur | \$42,478 |
| 34 | Harney | \$41,797 |
| 35 | Lake | \$36,627 |
| 36 | Wheeler | \$33,4 |

Community Survey, Table B19013, 2014-2018, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2019 .

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

Definition: The percentage of households in a county with annual incomes above the Federal Poverty Level but below the ALICE threshold, the amount needed to cover the basic costs of living.

ALICE is an acronym that stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. ALICE describes the growing number of households in our country that do not earn enough income to afford the basic necessities. The ALICE research group, supported by United Way, defines basic household necessities in 2020 as: food, housing, transportation, health care, child care and a smartphone plan. There are now more than 20 states participating as partners in the ALICE effort, and Oregon is among them.

The ALICE research quantifies the number of households at the county level that are experiencing daily financial hardship. The research helps raise awareness about a growing population that do not qualify as poor but face impossible choices day to day. ALICE households cannot save or build wealth because they do not earn enough to survive financially in our modern economy

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, Oregon saw unemployment fall to historic lows while GDP grew. However, the costs associated with basic needs, especially housing, increased sharply while wages increased modestly, with significant consequences for working families. In 2018, researchers estimated that income in 44\% of Oregon's more than 1.6 million households was not enough to afford basic necessities. A closer look indicates that $12 \%$ of these households were living below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and another 32\% were ALICE households.

To the right is a ranked table listing the percentage of "households in financial hardship" (poverty + ALICE) for each Oregon county. n addition, a set of maps shows how the ALICE threshold varies across the state. Geography is a determining factor, as is the age of the people in the household. Households in which the head of household is 65 or older tend to require less income to meet basic needs than households in which the head of household is younger than 65.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crook | 56\% |
| 1 | Wheeler | 56\% |
| 3 | Malheur | 54\% |
| 3 | Yamhill | 54\% |
| 5 | Jefferson | 53\% |
| 5 | Lake | 53\% |
| 7 | Coos | 49\% |
| 7 | Lincoln | 49\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 48\% |
| 9 | Klamath | 48\% |
| 9 | Marion | 48\% |
| 9 | Wasco | 48\% |
| 12 | Columbia | 47\% |
| 12 | Curry | 47\% |
| 12 | Harney | 47\% |
| 12 | Tillamook | 47\% |
| 16 | Baker | 46\% |
| 16 | Clatsop | 46\% |
| 16 | Douglas | 46\% |
| 16 | Josephine | 46\% |
| 20 | Grant | 45\% |
| 20 | Hood River | 45\% |
| 20 | Lane | 45\% |
| 20 | Sherman | 45\% |
| 20 | Umatilla | 45\% |
| 20 | Wallowa | 45\% |
|  | Oregon | 44\% |
| 25 | Gilliam | 44\% |
| 25 | Union | 44\% |
| 25 | Washington | 44\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 43\% |
| 28 | Clackamas | 43\% |
| 28 | Multnomah | 43\% |
| 31 | Morrow | 42\% |
| 32 | Linn | 41\% |
| 33 | Benton | 39\% |
| 34 | Jackson | 37\% |
| 34 | Polk | 37\% |
| 36 | Deschutes | 35\% |

Source: United Way ALICE Project,
2018, Released 2020. 2018, Released 2020
\$40,000

Then the minimum income needed for basic neccessities is:


## NOTABLE FEATURES

Definition: Prominent natural and community features that serve as points of interest for residents and visitors.

Researchers from Oregon State University Extension Service generated the data for this indicator by examining the online presence of named attractions within a particular county Features tend to be popular recreational destinations for local populations and tourists. These destinations can help stimulate local economies through tourism dollars and civic engagement. In Oregon, parks figure prominently as county features.

Baker
Wallowa Whitman National Forest
Hells Canyon National Recreation Area
Anthony Lakes Ski Area

## Benton

Corvallis Watershed Wild Animal Refuge
Marys Peak
Alsea Falls Recreational Site

## Clackamas

Mount Hood National Forest
Timberline Lodge
Trillium Lake
Clatsop
ewis and Clark National and State Historical Parks Haystack Rock
Fort Stevens State Park
orest Grove District State Forest
Collins Beach
Multnomah Channel
regon Dunes National Recreation Area
sunset Bay State Park
Shore Acres State Park

## Crook

Ochoco National Forest
Prineville Reservoir Wildlife Area
Ochoco Wayside State Park

## Curry

Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest
Cape Blanco State Park
Floras Lake State Natural Area

## Deschutes

Mount Bachelor Ski Area
Tumalo Falls
Lava River Cave

## Douglas

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Are
Wildlife Safari
Umpqua National Forest

## Gillim

Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Area
J Burres State Park
Earl Snell Memorial Park

## Grant

John Day Fossil Beds National Monument Umatilla National Forest
Malheur National Forest
arney
Malheur National Wildlife Refuge
Alvord Hot Springs

## Hood River

Mount Hood National Forest
Mount Hood Meadows Ski Area
Eagle Creek

## ackson

Jear Creek Greenway
Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument
Oregon Vortex

## efferson

The Cove Palisades State Park
Black Butte
Lake Billy Chinook

## Josephine

Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve ndian Mary Park
Rogue River-Siskiyou National Park

## Klamath

Crater Lake National Park
Winema National Forest
Klamath Marsh National Wildlife Refuge

## Lake

Fremont National Forest
Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge Derrick Cave

## Lane

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area
Sea Lion Caves
Three Sisters
Lincoln
Oregon Coast Aquarium
Yaquina Bay State Recreation Site
South Beach State Park

Mount Washington
Middle Santiam Wilderness
Willamette National Fores

## Malheur

Lake Owyhee State Park
Succor Creek Natural Area
Ontario State Recreation Site

## Marion

Silver Falls State Park
Enchanted Forest
Oregon State Capitol

## Morrow

Umatilla National Forest
Umatilla National Wild life Refuge
Willow Creek Dam

## Multnoma

Multnomah Falls
Mount Hood National Forest
Mark O Hatfield Wilderness

## Polk

Basket Slough National Wildlife Refuge Valley of the Giants
Sarah Helmick State Recreation Site

Deschutes River State Recreation Area Cottonwood Canyon State Park
John Day Dam

## Tlamook

Tillamook State Forest
Nehalem Bay State Park
Cape Meares National Wildlife Refuge

## Umatilla

Umatilla National Forest
Bridge Creek Wildlife Area
Hat Rock State Park
Union
Umatilla National Forest
Eagle Cap Mountain Peak Hilgard Junction State Park

## Wallowa

Wallowa Lake State Park
Zumwalt Prairie
Wallowa Whitman National Forest

Wasco
Mount Hood National Forest
Deschutes River Recreation Site
Memaloose State Park

## Washington

L L Stub Stewart State Park
Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge
Tualatin Hills Nature Park

## Wheeler

Ochoco National Fores
Painted Hills
Umatilla National Forest

## Yamhill

Mount Hebo
Pheasant Creek Falls
Bald Creek State Scenic Viewpoint
Source: Rural Communities Explorer 2019
Released 2019.

## POPULATION PYRAMID

## Definition：Population pyramids show the dis－

 tribution of a population by age and by sex．The population pyramid graphically represents the population．It can provide insights into the distribution of age groups，differences between men and women，population growth patterns， and the demand for specific types of goods and services．While named for their typically pyramidal shape，the graphs demonstrate that many counties in Oregon have larger populations in higher age categories and will not follow this shape．The graphs on this page show population distributions for the entire state（right）and broken down into rural and urban populations （below）．




## LIFE EXPECTANCY

Definition：The average number of years a person can expect to live．

Life expectancy is one measure of overall community health． Increasingly，researchers report strong connections between life expectancy and geography because so many social，environmental， behavioral and biological factors contribute to this outcome． Better access to health care and healthy activities can increase life expectancy．Higher rates of risky and unhealthy behaviors can lower life expectancy．Diet，tobacco and alcohol use，frequency of preventative health behaviors，employment in dangerous industries，and biological factors like cardiovascular disease can contribute to differences in life expectancy for men and women．In Oregon，men have a life expectancy of 77 years，while women have a life expectancy of 82 years．The separate calculations for men and women appear on most county profiles earlier in this report．Separate calculations may not be possible in counties with smaller populations．


| Rank | County | Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 83 |
| 2 | Wheeler | 82 |
| 2 | Washington | 82 |
| 2 | Grant | 82 |
| 2 | Hood River | 82 |
| 6 | Gilliam | 81 |
| 6 | Clackamas | 81 |
| 6 | Deschutes | 81 |
| 6 | Morrow | 81 |
|  | Oregon | 80 |
| 10 | Wallowa | 80 |
| 10 | Polk | 80 |
| 10 | Union | 80 |
| 10 | Yamhill | 80 |
| 10 | Sherman | 80 |
| 15 | Lane | 79 |
| 15 | Marion | 79 |
| 15 | Columbia | 79 |
| 15 | Multnomah | 79 |
| 15 | Lake | 79 |
| 15 | Jackson | 79 |
| 15 | Umatilla | 79 |
| 15 | Baker | 79 |
| 15 | Tillamook | 79 |
| 15 | Crook | 79 |
| 25 | Malheur | 78 |
| 25 | Wasco | 78 |
| 25 | Clatsop | 78 |
| 25 | Linn | 78 |
| 25 | Harney | 78 |
| 25 | Lincoln | 78 |
| 31 | Douglas | 77 |
| 31 | Jefferson | 77 |
| 31 | Josephine | 77 |
| 31 | Klamath | 77 |
| 31 | Coos | 77 |
| 36 | Curry | 76 |

[^30]
## RACE／ETHNICITY

Definition：The percentage of a county＇s total population in each racial and ethnic group．

Understanding the distribution of racial and ethnic groups within communities is essential for promoting equal opportunity and addressing policies and practices that create barriers for underserved populations．Tracking race and ethnicity is important for community situational awareness，implementing programs and accessing funding．Reporting race and ethnicity data may be required under state and federal statute．Rural and urban breakouts and the maps provide additional insights about the distribution of racial and ethnic groups across the state．

Federal and state policies and economic forces have shaped Oregon＇s demographics since the state＇s founding．For example：
－Black exclusion laws during the early days of the state deterred Black／African Americans from settling in Oregon．
－The federal Bracero Program，during World War II，brought Mexican men to alleviate farm－labor shortages and to work on railroad maintenance．
－Today，immigration policies continue to shape Oregon＇s demographics．

## Oregon

｜American Indian／Alaska Native：0．9\％
Asian：4．2\％
Black／African American：1．8\％
Hispanic／Latino：12．8\％
Native Hawaiian／Pacific Islander： $0.4 \%$
Some other race：0．2\％
Two or more races：3．7\％
White：76．0\％

Rural
American Indian／Alaska Native：1．5\％
｜Asian：1．3\％
｜Black／African American：0．5\％
Hispanic／Latino：9．8\％
Native Hawaiian／Pacific Islander： $0.1 \%$
Some other race：0．1\％
Two or more races：2．8\％
White：83．9\％

## Urban

｜American Indian／Alaska Native：0．6\％
Asian：5．6\％
Black／African American：2．4\％
Hispanic／Latino：14．2\％
｜Native Hawaiian／Pacific Islander： $0.5 \%$
Some other race： $0.2 \%$
Two or more races：4．0\％
White： $72.5 \%$

Source：US Census Bureau，American Community Survey， Table B03002，2014－2018， 5 －year estimates updated annually Released 201

## RACE／ETHNICITY

These maps show counties where the population of each race／ethnicity group is above the state average．



Black／African American



Hispanic／Latino


## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.

Identifying the top three employment industries in each county provides insight about the structure of the local economy. Employment industries have different average wage levels, so the top three figure prominently in determining the total wage earnings of a county. Examining this indicator across the state and between counties suggests notable employment trends and could point to policy opportunities. (Note: Each county profile shows the top three employment industries in ranked order from left to right.)


응 Educational service
Food services and frod services and
drinking places


Justice, public order
and safety activities


Executive, legislative and general government


Forestry and logging

(1) Hospitals

-.. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary metal } \\ & \text { manufacturing }\end{aligned}$

2020

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3 -digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.


## LAND AREA

Definition: The total land area within the boundary of each county, measured in square miles.

Every 10 years the U.S. Census Bureau uses Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology to delineate jurisdictional and census boundaries. As a measure, land area communicates the amount of physical space a county has as a resource. It also suggests the scope of demand for infrastructure on local governments and provides insight about the distances residents may need to travel in order to access employment, education, resources and services.

$\square$
Top thirdMiddle thirdBottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Oregon | 98,378 |
|  | Rural Oregon | $\mathbf{7 6 , 7 5 3}$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 2 5}$ |
| 1 | Harney | 10,226 |
| 2 | Malheur | 9,929 |
| 3 | Lake | 8,358 |
| 4 | Klamath | 6,135 |
| 5 | Douglas | 5,133 |
| 6 | Lane | 4,721 |
| 7 | Grant | 4,529 |
| 8 | Umatilla | 3,231 |
| 9 | Wallowa | 3,151 |
| 10 | Baker | 3,088 |
| 11 | Deschutes | 3,054 |
| 12 | Crook | 2,987 |
| 13 | Jackson | 2,801 |
| 14 | Wasco | 2,395 |
| 15 | Linn | 2,309 |
| 16 | Morrow | 2,048 |
| 17 | Union | 2,038 |
| 18 | Curry | 1,988 |
| 19 | Clackamas | 1,882 |
| 20 | Coos | 1,806 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 1,790 |
| 22 | Wheeler | 1,715 |
| 23 | Josephine | 1,641 |
| 24 | Tillamook | 1,332 |
| 25 | Gilliam | 1,222 |
| 26 | Lincoln | 1,193 |
| 27 | Marion | 1,192 |
| 28 | Clatsop | 1,084 |
| 29 | Sherman | 831 |
| 30 | Polk | 743 |
| 31 | Washington | 726 |
| 32 | Yamhill | 718 |
| 33 | Columbia | 688 |
| 34 | Benton | 678 |
| 35 | Hood River | 533 |
| 36 | Multnomah | 465 |
|  |  |  |

[^31] updated decennially. Released 2012

## PUBLICLY OWNED LANDS

Definition：The percentage of total land area in a county publicly held rather than privately owned．

Publicly owned land area is calculated by aggregating lands managed by federal，state and local governments．Much of the western United States is held publicly－protected for natural resources，open spaces and recreational areas．The economic history of Oregon is closely tied to the state＇s large proportion of public lands，especially federal lands that comprise more than $50 \%$ of the state．These publicly held lands have significant economic impacts on the Oregon counties that contain them．

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 78．4\％ |
| 2 | Deschutes | 78．0\％ |
| 3 | Lake | 75．4\％ |
| 4 | Harney | 74．9\％ |
| 5 | Hood River | 73．0\％ |
| 6 | Josephine | 68．2\％ |
| 7 | Grant | 61．7\％ |
| 8 | Klamath | 60．3\％ |
| 9 | Wallowa | 58．8\％ |
| 10 | Lane | 58．4\％ |
|  | Rural Oregon | 57．4\％ |
|  | Oregon | 55．8\％ |
| 11 | Curry | 55．0\％ |
| 12 | Clackamas | 54．5\％ |
| 13 | Tillamook | 53．2\％ |
| 14 | Jackson | 52．3\％ |
| 15 | Baker | 51．7\％ |
| 16 | Douglas | 51．5\％ |
| 17 | Jefferson | 50．9\％ |
| 18 | Crook | 50．5\％ |
|  | Urban Oregon | 49．9\％ |
| 19 | Union | 48．7\％ |
| 20 | Wasco | 43．9\％ |
| 21 | Linn | 39．7\％ |
| 22 | Multnomah | 36．0\％ |
| 23 | Marion | 34．6\％ |
| 24 | Lincoln | 29．2\％ |
| 25 | Wheeler | 29．0\％ |
| 26 | Coos | 28．5\％ |
| 27 | Umatilla | 26．7\％ |
| 28 | Benton | 26．4\％ |
| 29 | Clatsop | 26．0\％ |
| 30 | Morrow | 16．7\％ |
| 31 | Yamhill | 16．5\％ |
| 32 | Washington | 15．3\％ |
| 33 | Sherman | 12．3\％ |
| 34 | Polk | 11．9\％ |
| 35 | Gilliam | 8．4\％ |
| 36 | Columbia | 8．0\％ |
| Source：Oregon Department of Forestry：Land Management Layer， 2019，collected annually．Released 2019. |  |  |

## DEVELOPED OR CULTIVATED LAND

Definition：The percentage of total land cover classified as developed or cultivated（includes pasture）according to the National Land Cover Database．

This measure tracks the conversion and designation of land for human purposes．Over time，shifts change the provision of ecosystem services such as climate regulation，natural hazard regulation，water purification，waste management，pollination or pest control．The conversion of land to developed or cultivated staus also can indicate economic growth in a county．


Top third Middle thirdBottom third

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Marion | 46．9\％ |
| 2 | Washington | 45．7\％ |
| 3 | Yamhill | 44．8\％ |
| 4 | Sherman | 44．3\％ |
| 5 | Polk | 42．8\％ |
| 6 | Multnomah | 41．8\％ |
| 7 | Benton | 34．2\％ |
| 8 | Umatilla | 32．8\％ |
| 9 | Morrow | 30．0\％ |
| 10 | Gilliam | 28．8\％ |
| 11 | Linn | 27．0\％ |
| 12 | Clackamas | 21．3\％ |
| 13 | Columbia | 13．7\％ |
| 14 | Union | 13．2\％ |
| 15 | Wasco | 10．9\％ |
| 16 | Jackson | 10．8\％ |
|  | Oregon | 10．5\％ |
| 17 | Lane | 10．2\％ |
| 18 | Hood River | 9．4\％ |
| 19 | Douglas | 8．7\％ |
| 19 | Josephine | 8．7\％ |
| 21 | Klamath | 8．1\％ |
| 22 | Jefferson | 7．6\％ |
| 23 | Baker | 7．3\％ |
| 24 | Coos | 6．7\％ |
| 25 | Tillamook | 6．5\％ |
| 26 | Lincoln | 6．4\％ |
| 27 | Clatsop | 5．7\％ |
| 28 | Deschutes | 5．3\％ |
| 29 | Malheur | 4．4\％ |
| 30 | Harney | 4．3\％ |
| 31 | Crook | 3．8\％ |
| 32 | Wallowa | 3．7\％ |
| 33 | Curry | 3．4\％ |
| 34 | Lake | 3．2\％ |
| 35 | Wheeler | 1．4\％ |

[^32]
## FOOD INSECURITY

Definition：The estimated percentage of individuals who have limited or uncertain access to adequate food．

Food insecurity has profound negative impacts on the well－being and success of individuals，families and communities．It is one way to represent households at social and economic risk．Because there are no direct measures of food insecurity available at the county level，researchers for Feeding America develop an estimate using a mathematical model that combines food security data from the Current Population Survey with other household demographic and economic information．Food－secure households have consistent access to safe and nutritional foods without needing to resort to emergency food sources，scavenging，stealing or other coping strategies．


Top third $\square$ Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Lake | $15.8 \%$ |
| 2 | Harney | $14.9 \%$ |
| 3 | Coos | $14.8 \%$ |
| 3 | Union | $14.8 \%$ |
| 5 | Josephine | $14.7 \%$ |
| 6 | Benton | $14.5 \%$ |
| 6 | Lane | $14.6 \%$ |
| 8 | Klamath | $14.3 \%$ |
| 9 | Douglas | $14.2 \%$ |
| 9 | Lincoln | $14.2 \%$ |
| 11 | Baker | $14.1 \%$ |
| 11 | Crook | $14.1 \%$ |
| 11 | Curry | $14.1 \%$ |
| 14 | Grant | $14.0 \%$ |
| 14 | Wallowa | $14.0 \%$ |
| 14 | Wheeler | $14.0 \%$ |
| 17 | Multnomah | $13.8 \%$ |
| 18 | Sherman | $13.7 \%$ |
| 19 | Linn | $13.5 \%$ |
| 20 | Jackson | $13.3 \%$ |
| 21 | Jefferson | $13.1 \%$ |
| 22 | Malheur | $13.0 \%$ |
| 23 | Clatsop | $12.5 \%$ |
| 23 | Tillamook | $12.5 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $12.3 \%$ |
| 25 | Columbia | $12.3 \%$ |
| 26 | Polk | $12.2 \%$ |
| 27 | Deschutes | $11.9 \%$ |
| 27 | Gilliam | $11.9 \%$ |
| 29 | Umatila | $11.5 \%$ |
| 30 | Wasco | $11.3 \%$ |
| 31 | Marion | $11.0 \%$ |
| 32 | Yamhill | $10.8 \%$ |
| 33 | Clackamas | $10.2 \%$ |
| 34 | Washington | $10.1 \%$ |
| 35 | Hood River | $8.3 \%$ |
| 35 | Morrow | $8.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Source：Feeding America，Map the
Meal Gap，2017，updated annually． Meal Gap， $2019, ~$
Released 2019.

## CHILD POVERTY

Definition：The child poverty rate is the percentage of individuals in a county under 18 years of age and living in families whose income falls below the Federal Poverty Level（FPL）for their family size．

Child poverty is a key predictor of negative social outcomes and increased demand for government services．Poverty can limit a child＇s social，educational and personal development due to reduced access to basic necessities and opportunities．Children experiencing poverty are less likely to be successful in school，are more likely to have negative health outcomes，have greater difficulty accessing the job market later in life，and are more likely to commit crimes，all of which result in a greater demand for services．High rates of child poverty can limit community progress．
＊Because people younger than 18 years old are a relatively narrow group of the population，the child poverty estimates for small counties （population under 10，000）may have wide margins of error，and readers should use caution when interpreting these statistics．


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 34．7\％ |
| 2 | Klamath | 28．2\％ |
| 3 | Umatilla | 27．2\％ |
| 4 | Wallowa＊ | 26．9\％ |
| 5 | Josephine | 26．8\％ |
| 6 | Coos | 26．7\％ |
| 7 | Jefferson | 26．3\％ |
| 8 | Lincoln | 26．2\％ |
| 9 | Harney＊ | 25．2\％ |
| 10 | Douglas | 24．2\％ |
| 11 | Baker | 23．5\％ |
| 12 | Morrow | 22．8\％ |
| 13 | Crook | 22．7\％ |
| 14 | Tillamook | 22．5\％ |
| 15 | Jackson | 22．4\％ |
| 16 | Marion | 21．3\％ |
| 17 | Lane | 20．8\％ |
| 18 | Yamhill | 19．8\％ |
| 19 | Multnomah | 19．0\％ |
| 20 | Lake＊ | 18．8\％ |
| 21 | Grant＊ | 18．7\％ |
| 21 | Union | 18．7\％ |
|  | Urban Oregon | 18．4\％ |
| 23 | Linn | 18．4\％ |
|  | Oregon | 18．0\％ |
|  | Rural Oregon | 17．1\％ |
| 24 | Wasco | 17．1\％ |
| 25 | Polk | 14．9\％ |
| 26 | Columbia | 14．6\％ |
| 27 | Curry | 14．5\％ |
| 28 | Deschutes | 13．7\％ |
| 29 | Benton | 12．9\％ |
| 30 | Washington | 12．3\％ |
| 31 | Hood River | 12．1\％ |
| 32 | Clackamas | 10．6\％ |
| 33 | Clatsop | 9．4\％ |
|  | Gilliam＊ | ID |
|  | Sherman＊ | ID |
|  | Wheeler＊ | ID |

## FOSTER CARE

Definition: The number of children in a county in foster care per 1,000 in the population under 18 years of age.

Children may enter Oregon's foster care system when they cannot safely remain at home. Children in foster care may have experienced physical abuse (including sexual abuse), neglect (including abandonment), and/or mental abuse. In addition to the trauma of instability at home, children in foster care often encounter instability in many facets of their life - education, for example. They may experience compromised educational outcomes compared to their peers, including but not limited to: attendance, test scores and high school completion. Data reported here are point-in-time counts on September 30, 2018. Children must rely on the adults in their communities to protect them from abuse and neglect.


Top third $\square$ Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 28.9 |
| 2 | Harney | 26.9 |
| 3 | Malheur | 25.6 |
| 4 | Douglas | 23.8 |
| 5 | Coos | 21.5 |
| 6 | Lincoln | 19.9 |
| 7 | Josephine | 17.7 |
| 8 | Curry | 17.1 |
| 9 | Klamath | 16.8 |
| 10 | Lane | 15.4 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 14.6 |
| 11 | Columbia | 13.1 |
| 12 | Grant | 13.0 |
| 13 | Jackson | 12.9 |
| 14 | Baker | 12.3 |
| 15 | Jefferson | 11.8 |
| 15 | Wasco | 11.8 |
| 17 | Wallowa | 10.1 |
| 18 | Multnomah | 10.0 |
| 19 | Clatsop | 9.9 |
|  | Oregon | 8.8 |
| 20 | Crook | 8.4 |
| 21 | Linn | 7.8 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 7.7 |
| 22 | Lake | 7.5 |
| 23 | Umatilla | 7.4 |
| 24 | Marion | 7.0 |
| 25 | Tillamook | 6.6 |
| 26 | Hood River | 5.7 |
| 27 | Morrow | 5.3 |
| 28 | Benton | 4.9 |
| 28 | Polk | 4.9 |
| 30 | Yamhill | 4.4 |
| 30 | Deschutes | 4.4 |
| 32 | Union | 4.1 |
| 33 | Clackamas | 3.9 |
| 34 | Washington | 3.6 |
| 35 | Sherman | 0.0 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 0.0 |

Source: Oregon Department of Human Source: Oregon Department of Human
Services, Population Research Center Services,
at Portand Statate University, 2018 ,
updated annually. Released 2019.

## INDEX CRIME

Definition: The annual number of index crime offenses per 1,000 residents in a county. Index crimes include willful murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft and arson.

Crime rates are a measure of the relative safety of an area, but crime also has important social and economic influences on communities. High rates of crime are associated with population mobility, weaker attachment of residents to their community, less local involvement and lower home values. The index crime rate is created to provide a standard measure of particularly important crimes against people and property across the United States. Tracking crime supports law enforcement operations, public safety budgeting and local community development efforts


| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 47.4 |
| 2 | Jackson | 40.8 |
| 3 | Marion | 39.3 |
| 4 | Clatsop | 31.3 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 29.3 |
| 5 | Douglas | 28.9 |
| 6 | Lincoln | 28.7 |
| 7 | Sherman | 28.6 |
| 8 | Lane | 28.3 |
| 9 | Benton | 28.2 |
|  | Oregon | 28.0 |
| 10 | Umatilla | 25.1 |
| 11 | Wasco | 24.6 |
| 12 | Linn | 24.2 |
| 13 | Josephine | 24.1 |
| 14 | Tillamook | 23.6 |
| 15 | Coos | 23.3 |
| 15 | Baker | 23.3 |
| 17 | Deschutes | 22.6 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 21.5 |
| 18 | Yamhill | 21.2 |
| 19 | Malheur | 20.9 |
| 20 | Morrow | 20.4 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 19.4 |
| 22 | Polk | 16.5 |
| 23 | Union | 15.5 |
| 24 | Clackamas | 15.2 |
| 25 | Washington | 14.7 |
| 26 | Harney | 13.4 |
| 27 | Klamath | 13.1 |
| 28 | Hood River | 11.9 |
| 29 | Curry | 9.7 |
| 30 | Crook | 8.0 |
| 31 | Lake | 7.3 |
| 32 | Columbia | 6.5 |
| 33 | Grant | 3.1 |
| 34 | Wheeler | 2.1 |
| 35 | Gilliam | 1.0 |
| 36 | Wallowa | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Oregon State Police,
Population Research Center at Portland sate University, 2018, updated anually. Released 2019.

## VOTER PARTICIPATION

Definition: The percentage of registered voters who participated in the 2018 biennial general elections.

Voter participation has long served as a secondary measure of social capital. The relationship between voting and social connections has been heavily researched with little consensus. In the absence of an alternative measure, voter participation continues as an important proxy for civic engagement and community social capital. This in turn reflects community capacity. The state of Oregon has implemented multiple policies over the years to increase voter participation, such as mail-in ballots and automatic voter registration. The state reports some of the highest voter participation rates in the country.


Top third $\quad$ Middle third $\quad$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | $83.6 \%$ |
| 2 | Benton | $78.1 \%$ |
| 3 | Gilliam | $78.0 \%$ |
| 4 | Wallowa | $77.2 \%$ |
| 5 | Hood River | $75.7 \%$ |
| 5 | Sherman | $75.7 \%$ |
| 7 | Grant | $74.6 \%$ |
| 8 | Deschutes | $73.0 \%$ |
| 9 | Multnomah | $72.5 \%$ |
| 10 | Baker | $72.4 \%$ |
| 11 | Lake | $71.9 \%$ |
| 12 | Harney | $71.4 \%$ |
| 12 | Washington | $71.4 \%$ |
| 14 | Clackamas | $71.1 \%$ |
| 15 | Tillamook | $70.4 \%$ |
| 16 | Lincoln | $70.0 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $69.7 \%$ |
| 17 | Lane | $69.6 \%$ |
| 18 | Curry | $69.5 \%$ |
| 18 | Yamhill | $69.5 \%$ |
| 20 | Clatsop | $69.0 \%$ |
| 21 | Wasco | $68.6 \%$ |
| 22 | Polk | $68.3 \%$ |
| 23 | Crook | $68.0 \%$ |
| 23 | Jackson | $68.0 \%$ |
| 25 | Union | $67.9 \%$ |
| 26 | Columbia | $67.5 \%$ |
| 27 | Coos | $66.7 \%$ |
| 28 | Marion | $65.6 \%$ |
| 29 | Klamath | $65.3 \%$ |
| 30 | Douslas | $65.1 \%$ |
| 31 | Josephine | $64.2 \%$ |
| 32 | Linn | $64.0 \%$ |
| 33 | Morrow | $63.1 \%$ |
| 34 | Jefferson | $62.5 \%$ |
| 35 | Malheur | $61.3 \%$ |
| 36 | Umatilla | $57.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |



Top third

Middle third

Bottom third

| Rank | County | Score |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | 12.9 |
| 2 | Sherman | 12.0 |
| 3 | Lake | 11.7 |
| 4 | Harney | 11.1 |
| 5 | Baker | 11.0 |
| 6 | Benton | 10.6 |
| 6 | Grant | 10.6 |
| 8 | Wallowa | 10.3 |
| 9 | Washington | 9.6 |
| 10 | Union | 9.4 |
| 11 | Deschutes | 9.2 |
| 12 | Clackamas | 9.0 |
| 13 | Morrow | 8.5 |
| 14 | Multnomah | 8.2 |
| 14 | Lane | 8.2 |
| 14 | Crook | 8.2 |
| 17 | Clatsop | 8.1 |
| 18 | Gilliam | 7.8 |
|  | Oregon | 7.7 |
| 19 | Yamhill | 7.7 |
| 20 | Columbia | 7.6 |
| 21 | Polk | 6.7 |
| 21 | Jackson | 6.7 |
| 23 | Curry | 6.6 |
| 24 | Malheur | 6.5 |
| 24 | Lincoln | 6.5 |
| 26 | Tillamook | 6.3 |
| 27 | Coos | 6.0 |
| 27 | Josephine | 6.0 |
| 29 | Douglas | 5.7 |
| 29 | Wasco | 5.7 |
| 31 | Linn | 5.4 |
| 32 | Umatilla | 5.3 |
| 33 | Hood River | 5.2 |
| 34 | Marion | 4.8 |
| 35 | Klamath | 4.4 |
| 36 | Jefferson | 4.3 |
|  |  |  |

## THIRD GRADE READING

Definition: The percentage of third graders who meet or exceed required reading scores on state standardized tests.

In third grade, students are expected to begin reading independently, if they are not doing so already. For the rest of their schooling, students' ability to read confidently and proficiently will directly impact their likelihood of learning successfully in every subject area. Bridging the reading achievement gap becomes harder as students get older, so third grade serves as a critical point for assessing needs and getting students the supports they need. Research shows that reading scores at younger grades predict measures of future academic success, especially high school graduation rates. Standardized test scores provide one window into a student's early reading abilities and a community's need to provide additional reading supports for children in general.


|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Rank | County | Amount |
| 1 | Sherman | $75.0 \%$ |
| 2 | Deschutes | $60.8 \%$ |
| 3 | Washington | $56.1 \%$ |
| 4 | Clackamas | $55.0 \%$ |
| 5 | Lake | $53.9 \%$ |
| 6 | Wallowa | $53.0 \%$ |
| 7 | Wheeler | $52.8 \%$ |
| 8 | Benton | $51.8 \%$ |
| 9 | Crook | $50.9 \%$ |
| 10 | Yamhill | $48.6 \%$ |
| 11 | Baker | $48.3 \%$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $48.0 \%$ |
| 12 | Lane | $47.9 \%$ |
| 13 | Curry | $47.1 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $47.0 \%$ |
| 14 | Tillamook | $47.0 \%$ |
| 15 | Multnomah | $46.2 \%$ |
| 16 | Union | $45.9 \%$ |
| 17 | Clatsop | $45.5 \%$ |
| 18 | Josephine | $45.4 \%$ |
| 19 | Harney | $44.3 \%$ |
| 20 | Hood River | $43.5 \%$ |
| 21 | Jackson | $43.2 \%$ |
| 22 | Douglas | $42.7 \%$ |
| 23 | Rural Oregon | $42.2 \%$ |
| 23 | Umatilla | $42.2 \%$ |
| 24 | Linn | $41.5 \%$ |
| 25 | Jefferson | $40.4 \%$ |
| 26 | Klamath | $40.0 \%$ |
| 26 | Gilliam | $40.0 \%$ |
| 28 | Wasco | $39.9 \%$ |
| 29 | Columbia | $39.8 \%$ |
| 30 | Cooos | $39.3 \%$ |
| 31 | Lincoln | $36.7 \%$ |
| 32 | Morrow | $34.7 \%$ |
| 33 | Polk | $34.6 \%$ |
| 33 | Marion | $34.6 \%$ |
| 35 | Grant | $34.4 \%$ |
| 36 | Malheur | $33.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Source: Oregon Department of
Education, 2017-2018, updated Education, 20l7-2018, 1

Definition: The percentage of ninth graders who have attended school regularly and successfully completed all required courses during their first year of high school.

The ninth grade academic year serves as a pivotal point of transition for students. Being academically on track in ninth grade predicts future academic success, especially a greater likelihood of high school completion across all demographics. Students who are not on track at the end of ninth grade start tenth grade behind, making them less likely to graduate on time or perhaps at all. Identifying struggling students early in their high school careers allows for more timely interventions As a state, Oregon has been one of the early implementers in using the "ninth grade on track" indicator as part of its high school accountability system.


FIVE-YEAR HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE
Definition: The percentage of students in a high school cohort who earned a standard high school diploma within five years of starting high school.

High school graduation rate indicates a community's well-being as well as the skill of its workforce. Over the last few decades, the gap in wages between those with a high school diploma and those without one has provided strong incentive for students to complete high school. Still, across Oregon, a significant group of students require more time to graduate. The reasons are many - from family struggles to academic issues. Such students need adequate support to complete their secondary education in a timely fashion. Students who do not achieve this benchmark will likely face significant challenges as adults, such as gaining employment or continuing their education. Tracking the fiveyear high school graduation rate provides communities with a clearer measure of high school completion. It also encourages policies and practices that will support students who need more time to finish their high school requirements.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Wallowa | $93.1 \%$ |
| 2 | Gilliam | $91.2 \%$ |
| 3 | Grant | $88.1 \%$ |
| 4 | Benton | $87.9 \%$ |
| 5 | Washington | $87.8 \%$ |
| 6 | Harney | $87.4 \%$ |
| 7 | Hood River | $86.9 \%$ |
| 7 | Malheur | $86.6 \%$ |
| 9 | Union | $88.1 \%$ |
| 10 | Yamhill | $85.8 \%$ |
| 11 | Clackamas | $85.3 \%$ |
| 12 | Columbia | $85.0 \%$ |
| 13 | Tillamook | $83.8 \%$ |
| 14 | Polk | $83.4 \%$ |
| 15 | Morrow | $83.2 \%$ |
| 16 | Deschutes | $82.9 \%$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $81.9 \%$ |
| 17 | Lincoln | $80.9 \%$ |
| 18 | Baker | $80.5 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $80.0 \%$ |
| 19 | Jackson | $80.0 \%$ |
| 20 | Lake | $79.8 \%$ |
| 21 | Multnomah | $79.3 \%$ |
| 22 | Linn | $79.2 \%$ |
| 23 | Marion | $78.7 \%$ |
| 24 | Umatilla | $77.9 \%$ |
| 25 | Wasco | $77.6 \%$ |
| 26 | Jefferson | $76.4 \%$ |
| 27 | Lane | $76.3 \%$ |
| 28 | Klamath | $75.6 \%$ |
|  | Rural Oregon | $74.8 \%$ |
| 29 | Clatsop | $73.5 \%$ |
| 29 | Josephine | $73.5 \%$ |
| 31 | Sherman | $73.1 \%$ |
| 32 | Curry | $72.8 \%$ |
| 33 | Crook | $72.3 \%$ |
| 34 | Douglas | $69.6 \%$ |
| 35 | Coos | $62.8 \%$ |
| 36 | Wheeler | $27.0 \%$ |
| Source: Oregon Department of |  |  |
| Education, $2017-2018$, updated |  |  |
| annually. Released 2019. |  |  |

Definition: The percentage of the county population, age 25 or older, that has earned a four-year or other more advanced college degree. Individuals included are those with a four-year (bachelor's) degree, a master's degree, a professional degree or a doctorate.

Those who earn a four-year college degree or higher generally experience increased lifetime earnings, enhanced worker benefits, more social mobility and improved health. This measure is an important indicator of human capital at the county level. It is frequently used to describe a community's labor force for purposes of economic development.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 53.6\% |
| 2 | Multnomah | 44.5\% |
| 3 | Washington | 43.7\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 37.4\% |
| 4 | Clackamas | 36.8\% |
| 5 | Deschutes | 34.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 32.9\% |
| 6 | Hood River | 31.6\% |
| 7 | Polk | 30.1\% |
| 8 | Lane | 29.6\% |
| 9 | Jackson | 27.4\% |
| 10 | Yamhill | 26.5\% |
| 11 | Union | 24.8\% |
| 12 | Wallowa | 24.7\% |
| 13 | Clatsop | 24.6\% |
| 14 | Lincoln | 24.1\% |
| 15 | Curry | 24.0\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 23.4\% |
| 16 | Marion | 22.8\% |
| 17 | Baker | 22.3\% |
| 18 | Tillamook | 21.0\% |
| 19 | Wasco | 20.5\% |
| 20 | Gilliam | 20.2\% |
| 21 | Linn | 19.5\% |
| 21 | Sherman | 19.5\% |
| 23 | Klamath | 19.4\% |
| 24 | Grant | 18.8\% |
| 25 | Jefferson | 18.4\% |
| 26 | Coos | 17.9\% |
| 27 | Columbia | 17.8\% |
| 28 | Josephine | 17.2\% |
| 28 | Douglas | 17.2\% |
| 30 | Harney | 17.0\% |
| 31 | Crook | 16.6\% |
| 32 | Umatilla | 16.2\% |
| 33 | Lake | 15.4\% |
| 34 | Wheeler | 14.0\% |
| 35 | Malheur | 13.8\% |
| 36 | Morrow | 10.9\% |
| Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table DPO2, 2014-2018, 5 -year estimates updated |  |  |

Definition：The percentage of the population who do not have a job，are currently available for work and are actively seeking work．

Unemployment has an impact on the individuals who are without work，their families and their communities．The purchasing power of those workers is lost，as are the goods and services they might have produced．People who are unemployed are also at a higher risk of social challenges．The unemployment rate serves as both a measure of labor availability and an overall indicator of a county＇s economic health．While labor availability is an important factor in economic development，high rates of unemployment are considered unfavorable． These rates represent Oregon prior to the COVID－19 pandemic．


Top third $\square$ Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Grant | $7.3 \%$ |
| 2 | Klamath | $6.4 \%$ |
| 3 | Harney | $6.2 \%$ |
| 4 | Curry | $6.1 \%$ |
| 4 | Wallowa | $6.1 \%$ |
| 6 | Crook | $6.0 \%$ |
| 7 | Lake | $5.7 \%$ |
| 8 | Baker | $5.5 \%$ |
| 8 | Jefferson | $5.5 \%$ |
| 8 | Josephine | $5.5 \%$ |
| 11 | Coos | $5.4 \%$ |
| 11 | Douglas | $5.4 \%$ |
| 11 | Union | $5.4 \%$ |
| 14 | Columbia | $5.1 \%$ |
| 15 | Lincoln | $4.9 \%$ |
| 15 | Umatilla | $4.9 \%$ |
| 17 | Jackson | $4.8 \%$ |
| 18 | Linn | $4.7 \%$ |
| 19 | Malheur | $4.6 \%$ |
| 20 | Lane | $4.5 \%$ |
| 21 | Polk | $4.4 \%$ |
| 21 | Tillamook | $4.4 \%$ |
| 23 | Marion | $4.3 \%$ |
| 23 | Morrow | $4.3 \%$ |
| 23 | Wasco | $4.3 \%$ |
| 26 | Oregon | $4.2 \%$ |
| 26 | Deschutes | $4.2 \%$ |
| 27 | Clatsop | $4.1 \%$ |
| 27 | Sherman | $4.1 \%$ |
| 29 | Gilliam | $3.9 \%$ |
| 30 | Clackamas | $3.8 \%$ |
| 30 | Yamhill | $3.8 \%$ |
| 32 | Multnomah | $3.7 \%$ |
| 33 | Washington | $3.5 \%$ |
| 33 | Wheeler | $3.5 \%$ |
| 35 | Hood River | $3.3 \%$ |
| 36 | Benton | $3.2 \%$ |
| 5 |  |  |

Source：Oregon Employment
Department，Economic Data，2018， Department，EConomic Data，2018，
updated annually．Released 2019．

## LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE

Definition：The ratio between the size of the civilian labor force and the overall population 16 years of age and older．People in the labor force are those who are employed or are actively seeking work．

The labor force participation rate measures the supply side of the labor market，including both those currently working and those seeking work．It is particularly useful in detecting discouraged unemployed workers during economic downturns and in areas that are economically depressed．Higher rates of labor force participation are generally viewed favorably．However，local factors，such as the age structure of the population or the sources of household income， can affect this measure in ways that warrant careful interpretation with respect to context．These rates represent Oregon prior to the COVID－19 pandemic．


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Rank | County | Amount |
| 1 | Multnomah | $69.2 \%$ |
| 2 | Washington | $68.9 \%$ |
| 3 | Hood River | $65.6 \%$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $65.4 \%$ |
| 4 | Clackamas | $64.9 \%$ |
| 5 | Deschutes | $63.0 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $\mathbf{6 2 . 1 \%}$ |
| 6 | Marion | $61.4 \%$ |
| 7 | Yamhill | $60.0 \%$ |
| 8 | Polk | $59.9 \%$ |
| 9 | Benton | $59.7 \%$ |
| 10 | Lane | $59.4 \%$ |
| 11 | Morrow | $59.1 \%$ |
| 12 | Umatilla | $58.6 \%$ |
| 13 | Wasco | $58.4 \%$ |
| 14 | Linn | $58.0 \%$ |
| 15 | Union | $57.9 \%$ |
| 16 | Clatsop | $57.7 \%$ |
| 17 | Jackson | $57.4 \%$ |
| 18 | Columbia | $56.8 \%$ |
| 19 | Harney | $56.2 \%$ |
|  | Rural Oregon | $54.9 \%$ |
| 20 | Wallowa | $54.7 \%$ |
| 21 | Grant | $53.6 \%$ |
| 22 | Sherman | $53.5 \%$ |
| 23 | Klamath | $52.5 \%$ |
| 24 | Gilliam | $52.1 \%$ |
| 25 | Crook | $52.0 \%$ |
| 26 | Jefferson | $51.8 \%$ |
| 27 | Coos | $50.9 \%$ |
| 28 | Douglas | $50.5 \%$ |
| 28 | Lake | $50.5 \%$ |
| 30 | Lincoln | $50.3 \%$ |
| 31 | Tillamook | $50.2 \%$ |
| 32 | Malheur | $49.6 \%$ |
| 33 | Baker | $49.3 \%$ |
| 34 | Josephine | $48.0 \%$ |
| 35 | Wheeler | $43.4 \%$ |
| 36 | Curry | $41.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

$\$$

Source：US Census Bureau，American
Community Survey，Table B23025，
014 annually．Released 2019.

Definition: The net change in the estimated number of full-time and part-time jobs being performed between the listed year and the year prior, per 1,000 residents in the county.

Job growth focuses on the change in the number of jobs worked. It does not provide perspective on unfilled or vacant jobs potentially available in communities. Job growth serves as an essential measure of economic vitality and tracks closely with productivity. Taken in combination with unemployment and labor force participation rate, net job growth provides valuable insights on the overall labor market in a given county and across the state. These rates represent Oregon prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sherman | 45.6 |
| 2 | Hood River | 30.4 |
| 3 | Morrow | 28.0 |
| 4 | Multnomah | 15.2 |
| 5 | Harney | 13.8 |
| 6 | Baker | 12.7 |
| 7 | Josephine | 11.2 |
| 8 | Clackamas | 11.0 |
| 9 | Lake | 10.6 |
| 10 | Tillamook | 10.2 |
| 11 | Wheeler | 9.2 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 8.6 |
| 12 | Washington | 8.4 |
|  | Oregon | 7.9 |
| 13 | Jackson | 7.7 |
| 14 | Marion | 7.2 |
| 15 | Yamhill | 6.1 |
| 16 | Union | 5.7 |
| 16 | Gilliam | 5.7 |
| 18 | Linn | 5.6 |
| 19 | Benton | 5.5 |
| 20 | Coos | 5.4 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 4.9 |
| 22 | Clatsop | 4.7 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 4.6 |
| 23 | Wallowa | 4.6 |
| 23 | Grant | 4.6 |
| 25 | Lane | 3.9 |
| 26 | Columbia | 3.8 |
| 27 | Deschutes | 3.1 |
| 28 | Curry | 2.7 |
| 28 | Douglas | 2.7 |
| 30 | Umatilla | 2.1 |
| 31 | Lincoln | 1.7 |
| 32 | Klamath | 1.3 |
| 33 | Polk | 0.6 |
| 34 | Malheur | 0.5 |
| 35 | Wasco | -2.1 |
| 36 | Crook | -2.6 |

[^33]
## PROPERTY TAX PER PERSON

Definition: The per-capita property tax imposed, calculated as the total property tax imposed divided by the number of people in the county.

Property tax serves as an important source of revenue for local governments. Per-capita property tax is an indicator of the capacity of local government to provide services such as public safety, roads and other infrastructure, parks and recreation, as well as public health. It is also a measure of relative tax burden. Property tax imposed excludes taxes allocated to urban renewal agencies and special assessments.


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

| Rank | Countr | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | $\$ 4,531$ |
| 2 | Sherman | $\$ 3,936$ |
| 3 | Morrow | $\$ 2,670$ |
| 4 | Lincoln | $\$ 2,283$ |
| 5 | Tillamook | $\$ 1,999$ |
| 6 | Multnomah | $\$ 1,968$ |
| 7 | Clatsop | $\$ 1,961$ |
| 8 | Clackamas | $\$ 1,855$ |
| 9 | Deschutes | $\$ 1,852$ |
| 10 | Washington | $\$ 1,755$ |
| 11 | Lake | $\$ 1,636$ |
|  | Urban Oregon | $\$ 1,600$ |
| 12 | Wheeler | $\$ 1,597$ |
|  | Oregon | $\$ 1,548$ |
| 13 | Benton | $\$ 1,447$ |
| 14 | Lane | $\$ 1,347$ |
| 15 | Wasco | $\$ 1,341$ |
| 16 | Columbia | $\$ 1,311$ |
| 17 | Jackson | $\$ 1,309$ |
|  | Rural Oregon | $\$ 1,280$ |
| 18 | Crook | $\$ 1,269$ |
| 19 | Linn | $\$ 1,262$ |
| 20 | Hood River | $\$ 1,256$ |
| 21 | Wallowa | $\$ 1,253$ |
| 22 | Yamhill | $\$ 1,224$ |
| 23 | Baker | $\$ 1,159$ |
| 24 | Jefferson | $\$ 1,139$ |
| 25 | Curry | $\$ 1,131$ |
| 26 | Umatilla | $\$ 1,130$ |
| 27 | Marion | $\$ 1,102$ |
| 28 | Grant | $\$ 1,082$ |
| 29 | Polk | $\$ 1,050$ |
| 30 | Harney | $\$ 1,034$ |
| 31 | Coos | $\$ 1,002$ |
| 32 | Klamath | $\$ 998$ |
| 33 | Union | $\$ 983$ |
| 34 | Malheur | $\$ 894$ |
| 35 | Douglas | $\$ 882$ |
| 35 | Josephine | $\$ 882$ |
|  |  |  |

Source: Oregon Department of Revenue, Property Tax Statistics, Table 2019.

## RENT COSTS (1 BEDROOM/1 BATH)

Definition: The Fair Market Rent (FMR) price for a one-bedroom apartment.

Fair Market Rent (FMR) prices are developed each year for counties and metropolitan areas by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The figures are calculated using the rent prices paid by people who have recently moved and serve as the basis for federal housing assistance programs. FMRs can be used to look at changes in rent costs in an area over time or to compare the cost of rental housing across communities. In Oregon, the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) agency calculates the Portland Metropolitan area (Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington, and Yamhill counties) as a single area. While rent prices vary considerably across each of these counties, the FMR is still important to consider due to its role in determining the amount of housing assistance available to low-income and other vulnerable populations.

$\square$ Top third $\square$ Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rank | County | Amount |
| 1 | Clackamas | $\$ 1,132$ |
| 1 | Columbia | $\$ 1,132$ |
| 1 | Multnomah | $\$ 1,132$ |
| 1 | Washington | $\$ 1,132$ |
| 1 | Yamhill | $\$ 1,132$ |
| 6 | Hood River | $\$ 901$ |
| 7 | Deschutes | $\$ 806$ |
| 8 | Benton | $\$ 801$ |
| 9 | Wasco | $\$ 798$ |
| 10 | Lane | $\$ 727$ |
| 11 | Clatsop | $\$ 706$ |
| 12 | Josephine | $\$ 697$ |
| 13 | Lincoln | $\$ 695$ |
| 14 | Curry | $\$ 689$ |
| 14 | Linn | $\$ 689$ |
| 16 | Jackson | $\$ 676$ |
| 17 | Marion | $\$ 668$ |
| 17 | Polk | $\$ 668$ |
| 19 | Coos | $\$ 636$ |
| 20 | Tillamook | $\$ 632$ |
| 21 | Grant | $\$ 607$ |
| 21 | Jefferson | $\$ 607$ |
| 21 | Sherman | $\$ 607$ |
| 21 | Wallowa | $\$ 607$ |
| 25 | Harney | $\$ 586$ |
| 26 | Crook | $\$ 579$ |
| 27 | Klamath | $\$ 576$ |
| 28 | Umatilla | $\$ 572$ |
| 29 | Douglas | $\$ 571$ |
| 30 | Gilliam | $\$ 559$ |
| 30 | Union | $\$ 559$ |
| 32 | Lake | $\$ 539$ |
| 33 | Malheur | $\$ 537$ |
| 33 | Wheeler | $\$ 537$ |
| 35 | Baker | $\$ 529$ |
| 36 | Morrow | $\$ 524$ |
|  |  |  |

[^34]
## LOW WEIGHT BIRTHS

Definition: The percentage of live babies who weigh less than 2,500 grams ( 5.5 lbs ) at birth.

Low weight births indicate risk factors for both child and maternal health. For the child, low birth weight is a predictor of premature morbidity and death, risk for developmental problems, and respiratory and cardiovascular disease later in life. For the mother, low birth weight indicates a number of concerns including adverse health behavior, limited access to care, socioeconomic and environmental risks.


Top third Middle third Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 23.5\% |
| 2 | Morrow | 10.3\% |
| 3 | Klamath | 9.5\% |
| 4 | Lincoln | 9.1\% |
| 5 | Jefferson | 8.1\% |
| 6 | Columbia | 7.8\% |
| 6 | Deschutes | 7.8\% |
| 8 | Grant | 7.7\% |
| 9 | Yamhill | 7.5\% |
| 9 | Douglas | 7.5\% |
| 11 | Crook | 7.4\% |
| 12 | Curry | 7.3\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 7.2\% |
| 13 | Lane | 7.2\% |
| 14 | Coos | 7.1\% |
| 15 | Harney | 7.0\% |
| 16 | Baker | 6.9\% |
| 17 | Multnomah | 6.8\% |
| 17 | Umatilla | 6.8\% |
|  | Oregon | 6.7\% |
| 19 | Marion | 6.7\% |
| 19 | Josephine | 6.7\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.6\% |
| 21 | Washington | 6.6\% |
| 22 | Clatsop | 6.5\% |
| 22 | Jackson | 6.5\% |
| 24 | Malheur | 6.3\% |
| 25 | Linn | 6.2\% |
| 26 | Hood River | 6.1\% |
| 27 | Polk | 6.0\% |
| 28 | Union | 5.8\% |
| 29 | Clackamas | 5.5\% |
| 30 | Tillamook | 4.8\% |
| 31 | Wasco | 4.5\% |
| 32 | Benton | 4.4\% |
| 33 | Lake | 3.6\% |
| 34 | Wallowa | 3.5\% |
| 35 | Sherman | 0.0\% |
| 35 | Wheeler | 0.0\% |
| Source: Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics, 2018, updated annually. Released 2019 |  |  |

## VACCINATION RATE, 2-YEAR-OLDS

Definition: The percentage of two-year-olds in a given year who have received their required immunizations. Rates of 95\% or greater are suppressed to $95 \%$ to prevent identification of individuals.

In Oregon, children entering preschool, child care or Head Start currently must receive the following vaccinations: four doses Diphtheria/Tetanus/Pertussis (DTaP); three Polio; one Varicella (chickenpox); one Measles/Mumps/Rubella (MMR); three Hepatitis B; two Hepatitis A; and three or four Hib (Haemophilus). Vaccines have prevented countless cases of disease and saved millions of lives. The economic impact of prevented disease due to vaccines and the foregone cost of treatment is significant when compared to vaccination costs.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation. This measure cannot be reported for Wheeler County reliably due to small sample size.


Top third
Middle third Bottom third ID

| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Hood River | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | Harney | $80 \%$ |
| 3 | Klamath | $79 \%$ |
| 4 | Lane | $77 \%$ |
| 5 | Marion | $76 \%$ |
| 5 | Washington | $76 \%$ |
| 5 | Yamhill | $76 \%$ |
| 8 | Clackamas | $75 \%$ |
| 8 | Morrow | $75 \%$ |
| 8 | Wallowa | $75 \%$ |
| 11 | Crook | $74 \%$ |
| 11 | Malheur | $74 \%$ |
| 13 | Oregon | $73 \%$ |
| 13 | Jefferson | $73 \%$ |
| 15 | Polk | $73 \%$ |
| 15 | Gilliam | $72 \%$ |
| 15 | Sherman* | $72 \%$ |
| 15 | Wasco* | $72 \%$ |
| 19 | Benton | $72 \%$ |
| 19 | Coos | $71 \%$ |
| 19 | Deschutes | $71 \%$ |
| 19 | Jackson | $71 \%$ |
| 19 | Multnomah | $71 \%$ |
| 24 | Baker | $70 \%$ |
| 24 | Josephine | $70 \%$ |
| 26 | Union | $69 \%$ |
| 27 | Clatsop | $68 \%$ |
| 27 | Columbia | $68 \%$ |
| 27 | Lincoln | $68 \%$ |
| 27 | Linn | $68 \%$ |
| 27 | Tillamook | $68 \%$ |
| 32 | Grant | $67 \%$ |
| 33 | Lake | $66 \%$ |
| 33 | Umatilla | $66 \%$ |
| 35 | Curry | $59 \%$ |
|  | Wheeler | 10 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Oregon Health Authority, Source: Oregon Health Authority,
Oregon Child Immunization Rates, 2018, updated annually. Release 2019.

ID: Insufficient data per source

## GOOD PHYSICAL HEALTH

Definition: The percentage of adults reporting that they have had no poor physical health days in the prior month.

Poor physical health can disrupt daily activities, even if the symptoms do not require medical attention. These data are based on a selfassessment, meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention. Adults were asked: "Thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?" Research shows that counties where residents report fewer unhealthy days also tend to have lower rates of disability, unemployment, poverty and mortality.
*For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.


Top third Middle third $\qquad$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crook | 73.1\% |
| 2 | Harney | 69.8\% |
| 3 | Gilliam* | 68.7\% |
| 3 | Sherman* | 68.7\% |
| 3 | Wasco* | 68.7\% |
| 6 | Clatsop | 68.6\% |
| 7 | Wallowa | 68.3\% |
| 8 | Union | 64.4\% |
| 9 | Deschutes | 64.1\% |
| 10 | Washington | 63.9\% |
| 11 | Umatilla | 63.1\% |
| 12 | Klamath | 62.8\% |
| 13 | Benton | 61.5\% |
| 14 | Clackamas | 61.4\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 60.8\% |
| 16 | Jackson | 60.6\% |
|  | Oregon | 60.1\% |
| 17 | Marion | 59.9\% |
| 18 | Yamhill | 59.8\% |
| 19 | Lake | 59.5\% |
| 20 | Linn | 59.2\% |
| 21 | Columbia | 58.9\% |
| 22 | Josephine | 58.5\% |
| 23 | Multnomah | 58.4\% |
| 24 | Douglas | 58.0\% |
| 25 | Lane | 57.9\% |
| 26 | Lincoln | 57.1\% |
| 27 | Hood River | 57.0\% |
| 28 | Baker | 56.0\% |
| 28 | Polk | 56.0\% |
| 30 | Coos | 54.3\% |
| 30 | Grant | 54.3\% |
| 32 | Malheur | 53.6\% |
| 33 | Curry | 53.5\% |
| 34 | Jefferson | 50.3\% |
| 35 | Morrow | 47.9\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 29.7\% |
| Source: Oregon Health Authority, Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2014-2017, updated biennially. Released 2019. |  |  |

## GOOD MENTAL HEALTH

 sampling across years．Definition：The percentage of adults reporting that they had no days of poor mental health in the prior month．

Mental health is key to overall health．Due to stigma and the shortage of mental health providers，many mental health conditions go undiagnosed．These data are based on a self－assessment and are self－reported，meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention．Adults were asked：＂Thinking about your mental health， which includes stress，depression，and problems with emotions，for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good？＂Interventions to address low levels of good mental health should consider access to care．Data reported here are from aggregated
＊For this measure，data for Gilliam，Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District．This value represents the whole district，so each county shows as the same value，but there is likely variation．


Top third Middle third Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morrow | 70．9\％ |
| 2 | Lake | 69．4\％ |
| 3 | Crook | 65．5\％ |
| 4 | Umatilla | 64．5\％ |
| 5 | Wallowa | 63．7\％ |
| 6 | Union | 62．4\％ |
| 7 | Washington | 62．2\％ |
| 8 | Deschutes | 59．9\％ |
| 9 | Gilliam＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 9 | Sherman＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 9 | Wasco＊ | 59．8\％ |
| 12 | Clatsop | 59．7\％ |
| 13 | Klamath | 59．6\％ |
| 14 | Hood River | 59．0\％ |
| 15 | Clackamas | 58．8\％ |
| 16 | Lincoln | 58．7\％ |
| 16 | Linn | 58．7\％ |
| 16 | Yamhill | 58．7\％ |
| 19 | Coos | 58．5\％ |
| 20 | Josephine | 58．2\％ |
| 21 | Benton | 57．4\％ |
|  | Oregon | 57．3\％ |
| 22 | Columbia | 56．9\％ |
| 23 | Marion | 56．7\％ |
| 24 | Douglas | 56．5\％ |
| 25 | Curry | 56．4\％ |
| 26 | Malheur | 56．2\％ |
| 27 | Wheeler | 55．7\％ |
| 28 | Polk | 55．6\％ |
| 29 | Tillamook | 55．5\％ |
| 30 | Jackson | 55．4\％ |
| 31 | Harney | 54．8\％ |
| 32 | Multnomah | 53．8\％ |
| 33 | Lane | 53．0\％ |
| 34 | Grant | 52．9\％ |
| 35 | Baker | 51．1\％ |
| 36 | Jefferson | 49.1 |

Source：Oregon Health Authority，Adult
Behavioral Risk Factor
Sysurveitem（BRFSS），2014－2017，updated Syster（BRFSSS，2014－2017，updated
biennially．Released 2019．

Definition：The percentage of adults who report that they currently use tobacco，including cigarettes，e－cigarettes，cigars，hookahs or smokeless tobacco．

Tobacco use is the number－one contributor to preventable death in Oregon．It is a risk factor for developing chronic conditions and worsens outcomes for people with chronic conditions．Tobacco use also has economic consequences，costing billions of dollars in medical expenses，lost productivity and early death each year．While cigarette smoking is currently more common than other forms of tobacco use among adults，research shows that youth are increasingly using alternative forms
＊For this measure，data for Gilliam，Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District．This value represents the whole district，so each county shows as the same value，but there is likely variation．
Top third
Middle third $\qquad$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Baker | 39．6\％ |
| 2 | Gilliam＊ | 39．3\％ |
| 2 | Sherman＊ | 39．3\％ |
| 2 | Wasco＊ | 39．3\％ |
| 5 | Josephine | 38．3\％ |
| 6 | Coos | 36．8\％ |
| 7 | Morrow | 36．7\％ |
| 8 | Hood River | 35．2\％ |
| 9 | Lincoln | 34．9\％ |
| 10 | Union | 34．6\％ |
| 11 | Crook | 34．5\％ |
| 12 | Klamath | 33．1\％ |
| 13 | Yamhill | 32．1\％ |
| 14 | Clatsop | 31．7\％ |
| 15 | Douglas | 31．5\％ |
| 16 | Harney | 31．1\％ |
| 17 | Grant | 30．9\％ |
| 18 | Linn | 30．1\％ |
| 19 | Curry | 29．8\％ |
| 20 | Columbia | 29．5\％ |
| 21 | Malheur | 29．4\％ |
| 22 | Jackson | 27．2\％ |
| 23 | Umatilla | 27．1\％ |
| 24 | Lane | 25．7\％ |
|  | Oregon | 25．5\％ |
| 25 | Marion | 24．6\％ |
| 26 | Deschutes | 24．5\％ |
| 27 | Clackamas | 24．4\％ |
| 28 | Tillamook | 23．7\％ |
| 29 | Jefferson | 23．0\％ |
| 30 | Multnomah | 22．8\％ |
| 31 | Washington | 20．3\％ |
| 32 | Lake | 9．8\％ |
| 33 | Polk | 18．8\％ |
| 34 | Benton | 11．3\％ |
|  | Wallowa | ID |
|  | Wheeler | ID |
| Source：Oregon Health Authority，Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System（BRFSS），2014－2017，updated biennially．Released 2019. |  |  |

## Definition：The percentage of households served by broadband

 internet（25 Mbps download／3 Mbps upload）．The internet has changed the way people access information，shop， work，view entertainment and attend school．Today，access to high volume data transfer rates has become a key requirement for economic and community development as well as education．The Federal Communications Commission（FCC）defines the advanced telecommunications capability benchmark for broadband．The existing benchmark speed is 25 Mbps download／3 Mbps upload．To calculate the percentage of households served by broadband，census blocks are analyzed to determine which ones meet the FCC＇s advanced broadband benchmark．Households in census blocks that meet the FCC＇s advanced broadband criteria are aggregated and then divided by the total number of households in a county to calculate the percentage of households served by broadband internet．It is important to recognize that even though broadband is available，the cost may prohibit having a subscription．This measure reports the percentage of households with broadband available for purchase，not the percentage of households that have subscribed to broadband service．


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 99．6\％ |
| 2 | Marion | 98．9\％ |
| 3 | Multnomah | 98．6\％ |
| 3 | Deschutes | 98．6\％ |
| 5 | Polk | 98．2\％ |
| 6 | Linn | 97．8\％ |
| 7 | Washington | 97．3\％ |
| 8 | Hood River | 96．3\％ |
| 9 | Clackamas | 95．3\％ |
| 10 | Jefferson | 94．7\％ |
|  | Oregon | 94．3\％ |
| 11 | Crook | 94．3\％ |
| 12 | Lincoln | 94．2\％ |
| 13 | Curry | 94．0\％ |
| 14 | Jackson | 93．9\％ |
| 14 | Tillamook | 93．9\％ |
| 16 | Lane | 93．3\％ |
| 17 | Wallowa | 91．9\％ |
| 18 | Douglas | 91．4\％ |
| 19 | Yamhill | 88．9\％ |
| 20 | Coos | 88．8\％ |
| 21 | Wasco | 85．8\％ |
| 22 | Umatilla | 85．4\％ |
| 23 | Klamath | 84．6\％ |
| 24 | Clatsop | 83．7\％ |
| 25 | Josephine | 78．6\％ |
| 26 | Columbia | 77．7\％ |
| 27 | Morrow | 77．6\％ |
| 28 | Baker | 71．8\％ |
| 29 | Union | 70．7\％ |
| 30 | Malheur | 69．5\％ |
| 31 | Sherman | 63．0\％ |
| 32 | Gilliam | 60．7\％ |
| 33 | Grant | 60．6\％ |
| 34 | Lake | 48．6\％ |
| 35 | Harney | 45．1\％ |
| 36 | Wheeler | 0．0\％ |
| Source：FCC Fixed Broadband Deployment Data，FCC Staff Block Estimates，2018，updated annually． Released 2019. |  |  |

Definition：The number of child care slots available per 100 children under 13 years of age．

All 36 Oregon counties are considered child care deserts，which means for every regulated child care slot，there are at least three children who might fill it．As a result，many families across Oregon cannot find child care for their children．The data reported here reflect child care slots found in child care centers or family child care homes． Inadequate access to such care prevents parents from participating in the workforce and young children from receiving the benefits of early education．Not every child needs access to formal child care．Some parents can rely on relatives or neighbors to watch children or work different shifts with no overlapping hours．Still，there is a tremendous shortage of supply－a challenge made significantly worse by the COVID－19 crisis．


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

| Rank | County |
| :---: | ---: | Amount

Source：Oregon Child Care Research Partnership，2018，updated biennially．

Definition：The percentage of residents served by public transit service，measured as the unduplicated population within a $.25-m i l e$ radius of a given stop operated by a transit agency．

Public transit is an essential service for those who do not or cannot drive．It allows individuals without a private means of transportation to remain connected in their communities，reach jobs，access medical care and meet other basic needs．Public transit is particularly important in rural communities where travel distances to services are greater thereby making alternatives，such as bicycles，generally impractical for most residents．

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 85．9\％ |
| 2 | Benton | 64．9\％ |
| 3 | Lane | 56．9\％ |
| 4 | Marion | 56．4\％ |
| 5 | Washington | 56．1\％ |
| 6 | Klamath | 54．3\％ |
|  | Oregon | 52．3\％ |
| 7 | Yamhill | 47．7\％ |
| 8 | Clackamas | 44．9\％ |
| 9 | Clatsop | 40．7\％ |
| 10 | Union | 40．3\％ |
| 11 | Lincoln | 39．8\％ |
| 12 | Jackson | 39．1\％ |
| 13 | Wallowa | 35．1\％ |
| 14 | Umatilla | 34．2\％ |
| 15 | Polk | 33．1\％ |
| 16 | Douglas | 32．5\％ |
| 17 | Tillamook | 32．0\％ |
| 18 | Linn | 31．9\％ |
| 19 | Malheur | 31．2\％ |
| 20 | Josephine | 30．4\％ |
| 21 | Coos | 30．2\％ |
| 22 | Columbia | 27．5\％ |
| 23 | Deschutes | 24．7\％ |
| 24 | Jefferson | 24．4\％ |
| 25 | Baker | 22．9\％ |
| 26 | Hood River | 21．7\％ |
| 27 | Grant | 19．9\％ |
| 28 | Harney | 12．0\％ |
| 29 | Curry | 9．2\％ |
| 30 | Crook | 6．9\％ |
| 31 | Wheeler | 6．8\％ |
| 32 | Wasco | 4．9\％ |
| 33 | Morrow | 3．5\％ |
| 34 | Gilliam | 0．0\％ |
| 35 | Lake | 0．0\％ |
| 35 | Sherman | 0．0\％ |

Definition：The percentage of housing units reported as mobile homes．

Mobile homes are an often maligned but important source of affordable housing．They represent the largest segment of non－subsidized affordable housing in the United States．These homes，whether single or double wide，provide low－cost housing for millions of people in the United States who most often own their mobile home and lease the land it sits on．While mobile homes do present a range of challenges， they also provide housing opportunities for individuals and families experiencing economic hardship，and they have the potential to develop micro－communities when mobile housing is clustered in parks．


Top third
Middle third
Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morrow | 30.7 |
| 2 | Harney | 24.2 |
| 3 | Sherman | 22.6 |
| 4 | Lake | 22.0 |
| 5 | Grant | 21.9 |
| 6 | Jefferson | 21.0 |
| 7 | Wheeler | 20.1 |
| 8 | Douglas | 19.8 |
| 9 | Curry | 18.4 |
| 10 | Malheur | 16. |
| 11 | Josephine | 16.8 |
| 12 | Klamath | 16.7 |
| 13 | Coos | 16. |
| 14 | Wasco | 16.0 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 15.8 |
| 15 | Union | 5.8 |
| 16 | Umatilla | 15.3 |
| 17 | Wallowa | 15.2 |
| 18 | Baker | 15. |
| 18 | Gilliam | 15.1 |
| 20 | Columbia | 14.6 |
| 21 | Crook | 13.6 |
| 22 | Jackson | 12.9 |
| 23 | Lincoln | 12.7 |
| 24 | Linn | 11.8 |
| 25 | Hood River | 11.6 |
| 26 | Yamhill | 11.1 |
| 27 | Tillamook | 10.7 |
| 28 | Marion | 8.8 |
| 29 | Lane | 8.5 |
|  | Oregon | 8.1 |
| 30 | Polk | 7.7 |
| 31 | Deschutes | 6.5 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.3 |
| 32 | Benton | 6.2 |
| 33 | Clatsop | 6.1 |
| 34 | Clackamas | 6.0 |
| 35 | Washington | 2.4 |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.8 |

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (TOTAL)
Definition: An estimate (in millions) of the total vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state-owned highways within each county. This number is calculated by summing measurements of average daily traffic on designated roadways.

In previous editions of Oregon by the Numbers, we have reported vehicle miles traveled. Total vehicle miles traveled is considered an indicator of transportation infrastructure. The measure helps determine distribution of state and federal funding for roadway building and maintenance. It can also be used to evaluate emissions and air pollution. Based on reader feedback that these values alone are difficult to interpret, Oregon by the Numbers now includes vehicle miles traveled per capita (next page). These two measures are based on the same data but tell different stories, so maps and rankings are included for each.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 21,772 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 15,198 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 6,574 |
| 1 | Multnomah | 3,118 |
| 2 | Clackamas | 1,888 |
| 3 | Marion | 1,870 |
| 4 | Washington | 1,848 |
| 5 | Lane | 1,571 |
| 6 | Linn | 1,193 |
| 7 | Douglas | 1,142 |
| 8 | Jackson | 997 |
| 9 | Deschutes | 760 |
| 10 | Umatilla | 704 |
| 11 | Josephine | 518 |
| 12 | Klamath | 496 |
| 13 | Yamhill | 478 |
| 14 | Polk | 431 |
| 15 | Wasco | 392 |
| 16 | Lincoln | 386 |
| 17 | Clatsop | 369 |
| 18 | Hood River | 341 |
| 19 | Malheur | 333 |
| 20 | Coos | 319 |
| 21 | Baker | 309 |
| 22 | Union | 270 |
| 23 | Benton | 263 |
| 23 | Columbia | 263 |
| 25 | Tillamook | 262 |
| 26 | Morrow | 205 |
| 27 | Jefferson | 204 |
| 28 | Gilliam | 178 |
| 29 | Sherman | 135 |
| 30 | Curry | 131 |
| 31 | Crook | 116 |
| 32 | Harney | 90 |
| 33 | Lake | 71 |
| 34 | Grant | 58 |
| 35 | Wallowa | 44 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 20 |

Source: Oregon Department of
Transportation annually. Released 2019 .

## VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (PER CAPITA)

Definition: The number of vehicle miles traveled in a county per person, calculated as the number of vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state-owned highways within a county divided by the total population of the county.

Vehicle miles traveled per capita is used in transportation planning, including decisions on highway expansion, as well as tracking the effectiveness of different land use development strategies. It is important to note that per-capita vehicle miles traveled are not directly a reflection of how much county residents drive. Counties with high commercial traffic and smaller populations will end up having a larger per-capita vehicle miles traveled. Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) is included on each county profile
In future reports, the data for vehicle miles traveled will likely reflect impacts of COVID-19 on travel, including stay-at-home orders and interruptions to freight and the supply chain


| Rank | County | Amount |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 89,471 |
| 2 | Sherman | 75,350 |
| 3 | Baker | 18,455 |
| 4 | Morrow | 17,282 |
| 5 | Wasco | 14,401 |
| 6 | Wheeler | 14,000 |
| 7 | Hood River | 13,465 |
| 8 | Harney | 12,222 |
| 9 | Malheur | 10,437 |
| 10 | Douglas | 10,222 |
| 11 | Union | 10,039 |
| 12 | Tillamook | 9,922 |
|  | Racrer | 9 | Rural Oregon $\quad 9,640$

3 Linn 9,499
14 Clatsop
24 Marion 5,436
-25 Polk 5, 5,245
Oregon 5,190
26 Crook 5,099
27 Columbia 5,067
28 Coos 5,041
29 Jackson 4,549
30 Clackamas 4,500
31 Yamhill $\quad 4,449$
Yamhill 4,44932 Lane33 Deschutes4,022
34 Multnomah ..... 3,834
35 Washington ..... 3,048
Source: Oregon Department of

Source: Oregon D Department of
Transportation, Population Research
Center at Portland State University,

For more information
The data contained in this report are available on
the Rural Communities Explorer:
http://oregonexplorer.info/rural

Explore more data topics by state, county and city: http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/ CommunitiesReporter/

Oregon By the Numbers 2020 is available (while supplies last) for free to residents of Oregon and Siskiyou County, Calif., through The Ford Family Foundation's Select Books program.
http://www.tfff.org/OBTN

Sign up to receive a notification when the next edition of this report is available:
http://www.tfff.org/OBTN
Send your feedback to:
OBTN@tfff.org
Write a review of Oregon by the Numbers: http://www.tfff.org/OBTN

## f0 on in <br> @FordFamilyFound

\#OregonByTheNumbers


## Like this report? <br> Want to learn more?

Check out Oregon's
Rural Communities Explorer and try the Communities Reporter Tool. http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/ CommunitiesReporter/
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[^29]:    Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003,

[^30]:    Surce：Oregon Health Authority Vital Statistics，Table 6－57，2013－2017， 5 －year estimates updated annually． Released 2018.

[^31]:    Source: US Census Bureau, TigerLine

[^32]:    Source：US Geological Survey， Multi－Resolution Land Characteristics Consortium，National Land Cover Da－
    tabase，2016，updated every five years． abase，2016，updated every five year

[^33]:    Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysisis, Regional Economic Accounts,
    CA25N, 2018, updated annually. Released 2019.

[^34]:    Source: Us Department of Housing
    and Urban Development, Office of and Urban Development, Office of
    Policy Developmentand Research, Fair Policy Development ant Research, Fair
    Market Rents, 2018, updated annually.

