Oregon by the Numbers
Key measures for Oregon and its counties
Acknowledgments
The Production Team wishes to acknowledge faculty and staff at Oregon State University who contributed their time, knowledge and skills to this project.

- Mark Edwards, Professor of Sociology and Director of OSU Policy Analysis Laboratory (OPAL)
- Deborah John, Professor of Population Health and Extension Specialist
- Jeff Sherman, OSU Open Campus Program Leader
- Bruce Weber, Emeritus Professor and Rural Studies Program Director

Production Team
Vince Adams, former Extension Community Educator, Oregon State University
Shannon Caplan, Program Coordinator, Rural Communities Explorer
David Keyes, Independent Researcher and Data Visualization Specialist
Elissa Schlosser, Graphic Artist

Kasi Allen, Director of Learning and Knowledge Management, The Ford Family Foundation
Mandy Elder, Communications Specialist, The Ford Family Foundation
Nora Harrison, Communications Consultant, The Ford Family Foundation

September 15, 2020

For more information about this project:
The Ford Family Foundation
(541) 957-5574
OBTN@tfff.org

Photo credits:
- Rodeo Girl: Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, Photographer: Alyssa Macy
- Pendleton Art Center: Photographer: Ian Pawlett; Crab: Travel Oregon
- Worker in Hard Hat, Little Boy at Clinic: iStock.com
Welcome to the 2020 edition of Oregon by the Numbers. Our annual indicator report was produced this year in truly historic times — global pandemic, economic crisis, catastrophic fires and increasing national awareness of the structural inequities in our society. Whatever the future holds, we know 2020 is a year like no other in living memory, and our world will never be the same.

Because reports like Oregon by the Numbers rely on large datasets and population-level measures, we always experience a time lag between when the data are collected and when they are reported — creating a sense of looking back a few years. However, this report has an even more retrospective feel — providing a window into how things were before the seismic shifts during 2020. The starkest example would be the unemployment rate.

Readers of past Oregon by the Numbers reports will notice a few changes this year: new measures, updated visualizations, some additional color. As with prior years, we hope Oregon by the Numbers helps you learn something about the place where you live as well as other parts of the state. One of our goals is to help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

Looking to the future, we anticipate considerable uncertainty in our communities and many challenging problems to solve. Decision makers across the state will need high-quality data more than ever. The Ford Family Foundation’s goal is for this annual publication to help inform those decisions.

We hope you find this year’s report as intriguing to read as it was for us to produce. We are eager to learn about how people are using this report and how we can improve it. Please send an email to obtn@tfff.org or connect with us via social media @FordFamilyFound.

Here’s to working together to create a more vital and livable Oregon.

Sincerely,

Anne C. Kubisch
President
The Ford Family Foundation
Roseburg, Oregon
This report

The original concept for Oregon by the Numbers report stemmed from a single question:

*What essential measures should all Oregon decision makers be able to easily access for their community?*

Since 2006, The Ford Family Foundation has funded the development of the Rural Communities Explorer (RCE) in hopes of ensuring all Oregonians have access to high quality data about their communities. The RCE (http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/CommunitiesReporter/) is a free web-based data resource housed at Oregon State University that brings together in one place a rich collection of population-level measures in connection with that original question. The project continues to make community data readily available to residents across the state.

Over the years, experts in a variety of fields have provided their insights on what data matter most, yielding a rich list of indicators that can be organized into the six topic areas that comprise the Oregon by the Numbers report.

Demographics and Land: Measures describing the people and place of each county

Community: Measures of social well-being

Education: Measures of academic achievement available at the county level

Economic: Measures pertaining to enterprise, income, and the exchange of goods and services

Health: Measures of individual wellness, aggregated by county

Infrastructure: Measures of resources supporting individual self-reliance and family livelihoods

Much has changed since the Foundation first began investing in the Rural Communities Explorer. At the time, anyone without special training could find it challenging to access public data. However, we are now living in an era of big data and data democracy. The internet makes available a wealth of datasets as well as analysis tools, at no or low cost. For some, the number of choices can prove overwhelming.

Oregon by the Numbers assembles a suite of community measures for use across a range of topics and issues. Comprised of county profiles for all 36 counties as well as corresponding summaries for each measure, with rankings whenever possible, Oregon by the Numbers targets a broad audience. County profiles are designed to display data at a glance, readily accessible to youth and adults alike, enabling all readers to learn something new about the place where they live. Measure summaries provide greater specificity for readers interested in the "why" and "how." Whenever possible, county-level values are compared with Oregon, rural Oregon and urban Oregon.

The report relies extensively, but not exclusively, on secondary data from a variety of sources stored on the Communities Reporter Tool database from the Rural Communities Explorer (http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/CommunitiesReporter/). While each measure in Oregon by the Numbers was selected with practical use in mind, the report is not a diagnostic tool. Instead, Oregon by the Numbers serves as a snapshot of the state and its counties at a given moment in time.
What’s new in this edition?

While we want users to be able to use Oregon by the Numbers year after year and track changes in the measures they care about, we also have a commitment to continuously improving the report. The 2020 edition likely contains more changes than readers will see again in a single year.

In terms of new measures, readers will find quite a few. To start, we have added two to the infographic portion of the county profiles: “Life Expectancy” and “Households in Financial Hardship.” Several recent studies have demonstrated the links between geography and longevity or geography and income — both of these measures will help illuminate similarities and differences by county across the state. The “Households in Financial Hardship” measure is based on the ALICE data, a special research project conducted by United Way — documenting the reality of workers who are “Asset Limited, Income Constrained, and Employed” — thus the acronym “ALICE.” See https://www.unitedforalice.org/oregon for details.

Each of the topic areas also has something new:

- **The Social strand** has a new name. We now call it Community to better reflect our intention to prioritize measures that describe the social fabric of a county. We also replaced “Child abuse” with “Foster care,” based on reader feedback and further consultation with child welfare professionals.

- **The Education strand** has been reworked to better mirror the progression of young people through our K-12 system and beyond. The five measures we now include are: “Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)” (introduced in 2019), “Third grade reading” (new in 2020), “Ninth grade on track” (new in 2020), “Five-year high school graduation rate” (replaces “High school graduation rate”) and “Four-year college degree or greater” (original measure).

- **The Economy strand** has one new measure. We have replaced “Housing cost burden” with “Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)” because community members told us a more actionable indicator of start-up housing costs would be helpful.

- **The Health strand** has three new measures, all aimed at improving the quality of the data and offering better opportunities for cross-county comparisons: “Adult smoking” has become “Tobacco use.” “Physically active adults” has become “Good physical health.” “Healthy diet” has been replaced with “Good mental health.”

- **The Infrastructure strand** is the least changed. We have moved “Developed or cultivated land” to the infographic portion of the county profile because it pertains to “Demographics and land.” This change allowed the addition of a new measure, “Child care” (number of slots per 100 children under age 13). Vehicle Miles Traveled has been expanded to include both total miles and per-capita miles.

We live in data-rich times, in a state with a long history of using data to inform regional policies and make decisions. While we cannot include all the good work happening in Oregon in this report, with this edition we want to start a tradition of highlighting new research that readers of Oregon by the Numbers might find valuable and worth exploring further. This year, we feature the Oregon Child Integrated Dataset (OCID: pronounced “oh-kid”).

Data Highlight: Oregon Child Integrated Dataset

https://www.ocid-cebp.org/

The Oregon Child Integrated Dataset (OCID) is an objective, nonpartisan data project to support Oregon’s policymakers and community leaders as they work to improve outcomes for the state’s children and families. Created in 2019, OCID integrates data from Oregon’s state agencies to provide a powerful cross-program and longitudinal view of the well-being of children in Oregon. This information is linked with Oregon birth records to show the trajectory of children’s well-being from birth and throughout their development. OCID’s publicly available Child Well-being Dashboard displays a selection of key indicators of child well-being that span education, health and child welfare. Dashboard users have new access to previously siloed data, which can be filtered by demographic and program information to reveal disparities, interactions or trends. More indicators will be added to the Dashboard over the next year.

**OCID Sample: School Attendance Dashboard**

(Below) School attendance varies across the state by county. School attendance can be impacted by history of maltreatment, which is also affected by the sex/gender of the child.
Oregon’s Shared Fate

The Ford Family Foundation is a “rural” funder, headquartered in Roseburg, Oregon. What do we mean by “rural”? There is no single definition. In fact, even our federal agencies define “rural” in more than a dozen different ways. The Ford Family Foundation has its own definition, grounded in its explicit commitment to serving rural Oregon and Siskiyou County, California.

We combine multiple factors: size, proximity to a metropolitan area and the nature of the community to discern whether or not we consider it “rural.”

While we find county-level “rural” or “urban” designations problematic, we view 26 of Oregon’s 36 counties as exclusively “rural” for grantmaking purposes. The other 10 counties either comprise the Portland metropolitan area or contain an urban center with more than 50,000 people.1

Because we have no standard or agreed-upon “rural” definition in the United States, making comparisons across communities for research and policy purposes can prove difficult. Generally, Oregon by the Numbers uses the U.S. Census Bureau’s definition of rural: any area or population not included in an urban area; urban areas are defined as densely settled areas of at least 500 people per square mile that total a population of 2,500 or more.

In our experience, this practice tends to underestimate what many perceive of as “rural” in 2020. For example, according to the U.S. Census Bureau’s definition, a county like the Foundation’s home county (Douglas County) has a population that is only 41% rural, even though we view it as 100% rural.

An additional challenge we face in Oregon is the concentration of the state’s population in a small number of counties, whereas most of the land is very sparsely populated. We even have “frontier” counties because of their low population density and distance from urban areas.

Not long ago, Oregon’s population was roughly distributed between rural and urban with one rural resident for every one urban resident. However, as Oregon’s population has grown in recent years, that growth has largely taken place in urban areas, leading to increasing urbanization and growing disparities between the state’s rural and urban communities — a trend we see nationwide.

While the exact ratio varies according to the definition of rural you use, Oregon’s rural/urban split now stands at one rural resident for every two urban residents. Regardless of whether you live in a rural or urban community, we are all Oregonians. As residents of the same state, we have one shared fate. We hope Oregon by the Numbers will help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

---

1 For federal data available only at the county level, this report uses the designations of “metropolitan” and “non-metro” from the Office of Management and Budget. According to this definition, three counties that The Ford Family Foundation considers rural, are defined as urban: Columbia, Josephine and Yamhill. This is due to their proximity to larger urban areas in adjacent counties.

Oregon’s Population Density by Census Tract

Ten things to do with
Oregon by the Numbers

*Oregon by the Numbers* is designed to be valuable to all — from legislators to the general public, from community leaders to students. Here are a few suggestions for exploring this report.

1. Look at the map of Oregon’s counties on the right. How many of Oregon’s 36 counties have you visited?

2. Turn to the page for your “home” county and find something that makes you proud to be from that place. What is something your county could improve on?

3. Find a county in another part of the state that has some similar characteristics to your home county. What is something the two counties do not have in common?

4. Review the Population Density map on page 11 and the Total Population Summary on page 95. What do you notice about how Oregon’s population is distributed across its 36 counties?

5. Find the Population Pyramid Summary on page 106. What patterns do you see related to the age of Oregon’s rural and urban populations?

6. Life expectancy in Oregon varies across the state. See page 107. In which county are Oregonians expected to live the longest?

7. Oregon has nine federally recognized tribes. How many of them can you name? See a list of the tribes and learn more about their history on page 98.

8. Which industries employ the most Oregonians in each county? Take a guess and then review the data on pages 110-112.

9. Not all Oregon counties have broadband access. Explore the data related to this challenge on page 136.

10. Turn to Notable Features on pages 104-105. Have you been to all the notable features in your county? Find a place you hope to visit on a future road trip.
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COMMUNITY BAKER
OREGON RURAL
URBAN
Food insecurity
14% 12%
Child poverty*
23% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
12 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
23 28 21 29
Voter participation
72% 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
11 of 26
3rd grade reading
48% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track
81% 80% 75% 82%
5-year high school graduation rate
81% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater
22% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate
6% 4%
Labor force participation rate
49% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
13 8 5 9
Property tax (per person)
$1,159 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$529

HEALTH
Low weight births
7% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
70% 73%
Good physical health
56% 60%
Good mental health
51% 57%
Tobacco use
40% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access
72% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
12 16 16 17
Transit service
23% 52%
Mobile homes
15% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
18,455 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY

Food insecurity 15% 12%
Child poverty* 13% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 5 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 28 28 21 29
Voter participation 78% 70%

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 11 of 26 8
3rd grade reading 52% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track 91% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate 88% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater 54% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate 3% 4%
Labor force participation rate 60% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 6 8 5 9
Property tax (per person) $1,447 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $801

HEALTH

Low weight births 4% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 71% 73%
Good physical health 62% 60%
Good mental health 57% 57%
Tobacco use 11% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access 100% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 16 16 17
Transit service 65% 52%
Mobile homes 6% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicile miles traveled (per capita) 2,812 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
CLACKAMAS COUNTY

Total population 405,788
Total land area 1,883 mi²
Rural population 18%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 93

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Clackamas $76,597
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
83 years
79 years

POPULATION BY AGE

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

PUBLIC LAND 54%
Developed/cultivated land 21%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

43% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

COMMUNITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLACKAMAS</th>
<th>OREGON</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clackamas</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>9 of 26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECONOMY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clackamas</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,855</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$1,132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clackamas</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFRASTRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clackamas</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>9,640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
**FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES**

BP, CLUS, COQ, COW, GR, KLA, SLZ, UMA, WSP

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

Clatsop: $52,583  
Oregon: $59,393

**LIFE EXPECTANCY**

80 years  
76 years

**POPULATION BY AGE**

![Population by Age](image)

**POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY**

- American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3%
- Asian: 1%
- Black/African American: 0.7%
- Hispanic/Latino: 8.5%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.3%
- Some other race: 0%
- Two or more races: 3.5%

**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

10% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

46% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

**PUBLIC LAND**

26%

**DEVELOPED/CULTIVATED LAND**

6%

**COMMITMENT TO SUSTAINABILITY**

- Total land area: 1,084 mi²
- Total population: 38,562
- Rural population: 39%

**Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)**

- Total population: 38,562
- Rural population: 39%
- Net migration: 53

**Community**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Clatsop 2020</th>
<th>Oregon 2020</th>
<th>Rural 2018</th>
<th>Urban 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ECONOMY**

- Unemployment rate: 4%
- Labor force participation rate: 58%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 5
- Property tax (per person): $1,961
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $706

**HEALTH**

- Low weight births: 7%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 68%
- Good physical health: 69%
- Good mental health: 60%
- Tobacco use: 32%

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Broadband access: 84%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 14
- Transit service: 41%
- Mobile homes: 6%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 9,416

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

Total population 50,851
Rural population 44%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 39

Total population 50,851
Rural population 44%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 39

Public land 8%
Developed/cultivated land 14%

Population by age:
- 0-4: 0%
- 5-9: 0%
- 10-14: 0%
- 15-19: 0%
- 20-24: 0%
- 25-29: 0%
- 30-34: 0%
- 35-39: 0%
- 40-44: 0%
- 45-49: 0%
- 50-54: 0%
- 55-59: 0%
- 60-64: 0%
- 65-69: 0%
- 70-74: 0%
- 75-79: 0%
- 80-84: 0%
- 85+: 0%

Population by race/ethnicity:
- American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9%
- Asian: 1.1%
- Black/African American: 0.5%
- Hispanic/Latino: 5.0%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2%
- Some other race: 0.0%
- Two or more races: 3.4%
- White: 88.8%

Medicaid/Healthy Kids:
- Child aged 0-4: 2%
- Child aged 5-9: 3%
- Child aged 10-14: 4%
- Child aged 15-19: 5%
- Child aged 20-24: 6%
- Child aged 25-29: 7%
- Child aged 30-34: 8%
- Child aged 35-39: 9%
- Child aged 40-44: 10%
- Child aged 45-49: 11%
- Child aged 50-54: 12%
- Child aged 55-59: 13%
- Child aged 60-64: 14%
- Child aged 65-69: 15%
- Child aged 70-74: 16%
- Child aged 75-79: 17%
- Child aged 80-84: 18%
- Child aged 85+: 19%

Food insecurity:
- Columbia: 12%
- Oregon: 12%

Child poverty:
- Columbia: 15%
- Oregon: 15%

Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.):
- Columbia: 7
- Oregon: 6

Underweight births:
- Columbia: 8%
- Oregon: 7%

Food insecurity:
- Columbia: 12%
- Oregon: 12%

Child poverty:
- Columbia: 15%
- Oregon: 15%

Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.):
- Columbia: 7
- Oregon: 6

Underweight births:
- Columbia: 8%
- Oregon: 7%

Food insecurity:
- Columbia: 12%
- Oregon: 12%

Child poverty:
- Columbia: 15%
- Oregon: 15%

Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.):
- Columbia: 7
- Oregon: 6

Underweight births:
- Columbia: 8%
- Oregon: 7%
TEAM:

Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY

- Food insecurity: 15% (Coos), 12% (Oregon), 12% (Rural), 17% (Urban)
- Child poverty*: 27% (Coos), 18% (Oregon), 17% (Rural), 18% (Urban)
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 22 (Coos), 9 (Oregon), 15 (Rural), 8 (Urban)
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 23 (Coos), 28 (Oregon), 21 (Rural), 29 (Urban)
- Voter participation: 67% (Coos), 70% (Oregon)

EDUCATION

- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 6 of 26 (Coos), 8 (Oregon)
- 3rd grade reading: 39% (Coos), 47% (Oregon), 42% (Rural), 48% (Urban)
- 9th grade on track: 69% (Coos), 85% (Oregon), 82% (Rural), 86% (Urban)
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 63% (Coos), 80% (Oregon), 75% (Rural), 82% (Urban)
- 4-year college degree or greater: 18% (Coos), 33% (Oregon), 23% (Rural), 37% (Urban)

ECONOMY

- Unemployment rate: 5% (Coos), 4% (Oregon), 4% (Rural), 4% (Urban)
- Labor force participation rate: 51% (Coos), 62% (Oregon), 55% (Rural), 65% (Urban)
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 5 (Coos), 8 (Oregon), 5 (Rural), 9 (Urban)
- Property tax (per person): $1,002 (Coos), $1,548 (Oregon), $1,280 (Rural), $1,600 (Urban)
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $636 (Coos), $536 (Oregon), $456 (Rural), $576 (Urban)

HEALTH

- Low weight births: 7% (Coos), 7% (Oregon), 7% (Rural), 7% (Urban)
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 71% (Coos), 73% (Oregon)
- Good physical health: 54% (Coos), 60% (Oregon)
- Good mental health: 59% (Coos), 57% (Oregon)
- Tobacco use: 37% (Coos), 26% (Oregon)

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Broadband access: 89% (Coos), 94% (Oregon)
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 14 (Coos), 16 (Oregon), 16 (Rural), 17 (Urban)
- Transit service: 30% (Coos), 52% (Oregon)
- Mobile homes: 16% (Coos), 8% (Oregon), 16% (Rural), 6% (Urban)
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 5,041 (Coos), 5,190 (Oregon), 9,640 (Rural), 4,326 (Urban)

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

Oregon by the Numbers 2020

Team:

Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020.

Community

- Food insecurity: 15% (Coos), 12% (Oregon), 12% (Rural), 17% (Urban)
- Child poverty*: 27% (Coos), 18% (Oregon), 17% (Rural), 18% (Urban)
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 22 (Coos), 9 (Oregon), 15 (Rural), 8 (Urban)
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 23 (Coos), 28 (Oregon), 21 (Rural), 29 (Urban)
- Voter participation: 67% (Coos), 70% (Oregon)

Education

- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 6 of 26 (Coos), 8 (Oregon)
- 3rd grade reading: 39% (Coos), 47% (Oregon), 42% (Rural), 48% (Urban)
- 9th grade on track: 69% (Coos), 85% (Oregon), 82% (Rural), 86% (Urban)
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 63% (Coos), 80% (Oregon), 75% (Rural), 82% (Urban)
- 4-year college degree or greater: 18% (Coos), 33% (Oregon), 23% (Rural), 37% (Urban)

Economy

- Unemployment rate: 5% (Coos), 4% (Oregon), 4% (Rural), 4% (Urban)
- Labor force participation rate: 51% (Coos), 62% (Oregon), 55% (Rural), 65% (Urban)
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 5 (Coos), 8 (Oregon), 5 (Rural), 9 (Urban)
- Property tax (per person): $1,002 (Coos), $1,548 (Oregon), $1,280 (Rural), $1,600 (Urban)
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $636 (Coos), $536 (Oregon), $456 (Rural), $576 (Urban)

Health

- Low weight births: 7% (Coos), 7% (Oregon), 7% (Rural), 7% (Urban)
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 71% (Coos), 73% (Oregon)
- Good physical health: 54% (Coos), 60% (Oregon)
- Good mental health: 59% (Coos), 57% (Oregon)
- Tobacco use: 37% (Coos), 26% (Oregon)

Infrastructure

- Broadband access: 89% (Coos), 94% (Oregon)
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 14 (Coos), 16 (Oregon), 16 (Rural), 17 (Urban)
- Transit service: 30% (Coos), 52% (Oregon)
- Mobile homes: 16% (Coos), 8% (Oregon), 16% (Rural), 6% (Urban)
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 5,041 (Coos), 5,190 (Oregon), 9,640 (Rural), 4,326 (Urban)

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
Total population 22,337
Total land area 2,987 mi²

Rural population 48%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 94

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Crook $44,524
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY 81 years Men
76 years Women

POPULATION BY AGE

Public land 50%
Developed/cultivated land 4%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
14% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold
56%

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8%
Asian: 0.4%
Black/African American: 0.1%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.6%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4%
Some other race: 0.0%
Two or more races: 2.4%
White: 88.3%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES
Food services and drinking places
Wood product manufacturing
Educational services

COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
14% CROOK 12% OREGON
Child poverty*
23% CROOK 18% RURAL 17% URBAN
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
8 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
8 28 21 29
Voter participation
68% CROOK 70% URBAN

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
8 of 26 CROOK 8
3rd grade reading
51% CROOK 47% OREGON 42% RURAL 48% URBAN
9th grade on track
87% CROOK 85% RURAL 82% URBAN
5-year high school graduation rate
72% CROOK 80% RURAL 75% URBAN 82%
4-year college degree or greater
17% CROOK 33% RURAL 23% URBAN 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate
6% CROOK 4%
Labor force participation rate
52% CROOK 62% RURAL 55% URBAN 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
-3 CROOK 8 RURAL 5 URBAN 9
Property tax (per person)
$1,269 CROOK $1,548 RURAL $1,280 URBAN $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$579 CROOK

HEALTH
Low weight births
7% CROOK 7% RURAL 7% URBAN
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
74% CROOK 73%
Good physical health
73% CROOK 60%
Good mental health
66% CROOK 57%
Tobacco use
35% CROOK 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access
94% CROOK 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
19 CROOK 16 RURAL 16 URBAN 17
Transit service
7% CROOK 52%
Mobile homes
14% CROOK 8% RURAL 16% URBAN 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
5,099 CROOK 5,190 RURAL 9,640 URBAN 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM:
Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY CURRY
OREGON RURAL URBAN
Food insecurity
14% 12%
Child poverty*
15% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
17 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
10 28 21 29
Voter participation
70% 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
7 of 26 8
3rd grade reading
47% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track
92% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate
73% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater
24% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate
6% 4%
Labor force participation rate
42% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
3 8 5 9
Property tax (per person)
$1,131 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$689

HEALTH
Low weight births
7% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
59% 73%
Good physical health
54% 60%
Good mental health
56% 57%
Tobacco use
30% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access
94% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
15 16 16 17
Transit service
9% 52%
Mobile homes
18% 8% 16% 6%
Vehile miles traveled (per capita)
5,704 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM:
Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY:
Food insecurity: 12%
Child poverty*: 14%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 4
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 23
Voter participation: 73%

EDUCATION:
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 9 of 26
3rd grade reading: 61%
9th grade on track: 89%
5-year high school graduation rate: 83%
4-year college degree or greater: 34%

ECONOMY:
Unemployment rate: 4%
Labor force participation rate: 63%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 3
Property tax (per person): $1,852
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $806

HEALTH:
Low weight births: 8%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 71%
Good physical health: 64%
Good mental health: 60%
Tobacco use: 25%

INFRASTRUCTURE:
Broadband access: 99%
Child care (slots per 100 children): 19
Transit service: 25%
Mobile homes: 7%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 4,022

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
Douglas County

Total population: 108,323
Rural population: 41%
Net migration, 2010-2018: 63

Community
- Food insecurity: 14%
- Child poverty: 24%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 24
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 29
- Voter participation: 65%

Education
- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 6 of 26
- 3rd grade reading: 43%
- 9th grade on track: 82%
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 70%
- 4-year college degree or greater: 17%

Economy
- Unemployment rate: 5%
- Labor force participation rate: 51%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 3
- Property tax (per person): $882
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $571

Health
- Low weight births: 7%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 72%
- Good physical health: 58%
- Good mental health: 57%
- Tobacco use: 32%

Infrastructure
- Broadband access: 91%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 11
- Transit service: 33%
- Mobile homes: 20%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 10,222

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY GILLIAM
OREGON RURAL URBAN

Food insecurity
12% 12%

Child poverty*
4% 18% 17% 18%

Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
29 9 15 8

Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
1 28 21 29

Voter participation
78% 70%

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
8 of 26

3rd grade reading
40% 47% 42% 48%

9th grade on track
96% 85% 82% 86%

4-year college degree or greater
20% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate
4% 4%

Labor force participation rate
52% 62% 55% 65%

Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
6 8 5 9

Property tax (per person)
$4,531 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600

Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$559

HEALTH

Low weight births
24% 7% 7% 7%

Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
72% 73%

Good physical health
69% 60%

Good mental health
60% 57%

Tobacco use
39% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access
61% 94%

Child care (slots per 100 children)
22 16 16 17

Transit service
0% 52%

Mobile homes
15% 8% 16% 6%

Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
89,471 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
**Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020**

**COMMUNITY**

- **Food insecurity:** Grant 14% | Oregon 12% | Rural 17% | Urban 18%
- **Child poverty***: Grant 19% | Oregon 18% | Rural 17% | Urban 18%
- **Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.):** Grant 13 | Oregon 9 | Rural 15 | Urban 8
- **Index crime (per 1,000 pop.):** Grant 3 | Oregon 28 | Rural 21 | Urban 29
- **Voter participation:** Grant 75% | Oregon 70%

**EDUCATION**

- **Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds):** Grant 11 of 26 | Oregon 8
- **3rd grade reading:** Grant 34% | Oregon 47% | Rural 42% | Urban 48%
- **9th grade on track:** Grant 88% | Oregon 85% | Rural 82% | Urban 86%
- **5-year high school graduation rate:** Grant 88% | Oregon 80% | Rural 75% | Urban 82%
- **4-year college degree or greater:** Grant 19% | Oregon 33% | Rural 23% | Urban 37%

**ECONOMY**

- **Unemployment rate:** Grant 7% | Oregon 4%
- **Labor force participation rate:** Grant 54% | Oregon 62% | Rural 55% | Urban 65%
- **Job growth (per 1,000 pop.):** Grant 5 | Oregon 8 | Rural 5 | Urban 9
- **Property tax (per person):** Grant $1,082 | Oregon $1,548 | Rural $1,280 | Urban $1,600
- **Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath):** Grant $607

**HEALTH**

- **Low weight births:** Grant 8% | Oregon 7% | Rural 7% | Urban 7%
- **Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds:** Grant 67% | Oregon 73%
- **Good physical health:** Grant 54% | Oregon 60%
- **Good mental health:** Grant 53% | Oregon 57%
- **Tobacco use:** Grant 31% | Oregon 26%

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

- **Broadband access:** Grant 61% | Oregon 94%
- **Child care (slots per 100 children):** Grant 16 | Oregon 16 | Rural 16 | Urban 17
- **Transit service:** Grant 20% | Oregon 52%
- **Mobile homes:** Grant 22% | Oregon 8% | Rural 16% | Urban 6%
- **Vehicle miles traveled (per capita):** Grant 7,878 | Oregon 5,190 | Rural 9,640 | Urban 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
Team: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020.
COMMUNITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>HOOD RIVER</th>
<th>OREGON</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>5 of 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECONOMY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFRASTRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>13,465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
**TEAM:**
Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020.

---

### COMMUNITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Jack/ON</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Jack/ON</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>7 of 26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### ECONOMY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Jack/ON</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,309</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Jack/ON</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### INFRASTRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Jack/ON</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>4,549</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>4,326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.*

---

*Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).*
JEFFERSON COUNTY

Total population: 23,143
Rural population: 63%
Net migration, 2010-2018: 52

Public land: 51%
Developed/cultivated land: 8%

COMMUNITY

Food insecurity: 13% (%)
Child poverty: 26% (12%)
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 12 (9, 15, 8)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 19 (28, 21, 29)
Voter participation: 63% (70%)

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 4 of 26
3rd grade reading: 40% (47%, 42%, 48%)
9th grade on track: 80% (85%, 82%, 86%)
5-year high school graduation rate: 76% (80%, 75%, 82%)
4-year college degree or greater: 18% (33%, 23%, 37%)

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate: 6% (4%)
Labor force participation rate: 52% (62%, 55%, 65%)
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 8 (5, 9)
Property tax (per person): $1,139 ($1,548, $1,280, $1,600)
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $607

HEALTH

Low weight births: 8% (7%, 7%, 7%)
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 73% (73%)
Good physical health: 50% (60%)
Good mental health: 49% (57%)
Tobacco use: 23% (26%)

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access: 95% (94%)
Child care (slots per 100 children): 28 (16, 16, 17)
Transit service: 24% (52%)
Mobile homes: 21% (8%, 16%, 6%)
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 8,654 (5,190, 9,640, 4,326)

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 95.

JEFFERSON OREGON RURAL URBAN

Food insecurity 13% 12%
Child poverty* 26% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 12 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 19 28 21 29
Voter participation 63% 70%

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 4 of 26 8
3rd grade reading 40% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track 80% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate 76% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater 18% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate 6% 4%
Labor force participation rate 52% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 8 5 9
Property tax (per person) $1,139 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $607

HEALTH

Low weight births 8% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 73% 73%
Good physical health 50% 60%
Good mental health 49% 57%
Tobacco use 23% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access 95% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 28 16 16 17
Transit service 24% 52%
Mobile homes 21% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) 8,654 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
JOSEPHINE COUNTY

- **Total population**: 85,481
- **Total land area**: 1,642 mi²
- **Rural population**: 45%
- **Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)**: 78

**FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES**

- BP
- CLUS
- COQ
- COW
- GR
- KLA
- SLZ
- UMA
- WSP

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

- Josephine: $43,046
- Oregon: $59,393

**LIFE EXPECTANCY**

- Men: 80 years
- Women: 74 years

**POPULATION BY AGE**

- **0-4**: 8%
- **5-9**: 6%
- **10-14**: 5%
- **15-19**: 4%
- **20-24**: 7%
- **25-29**: 9%
- **30-34**: 11%
- **35-39**: 8%
- **40-44**: 10%
- **45-49**: 9%
- **50-54**: 11%
- **55-59**: 11%
- **60-64**: 10%
- **65-69**: 5%
- **70-74**: 2%
- **75-79**: 1%
- **80-84**: 1%
- **85+**: 0%

**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

- **16%** Below Poverty Level
- **30%** Below ALICE Threshold
- **46%** Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

**POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY**

- American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9%
- Asian: 1.0%
- Black/African American: 2.6%
- Hispanic/Latino: 7.3%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1%
- Some other race: 0.1%
- Two or more races: 3.1%

**TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES**

- Food services and drinking places
- Educational services
- Ambulatory health care services

**COMMUNITY**

- **JOSEPHINE**
  - Food insecurity: 15%
  - Child poverty*: 27%
  - Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 18%
  - Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 24
  - Voter participation: 64%

**OREGON**

- Food insecurity: 12%
- Child poverty*: 18%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 17%
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 28
- Voter participation: 70%

**RURAL**

- Food insecurity: 14%
- Child poverty*: 18%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 15
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 21
- Voter participation: 66%

**URBAN**

- Food insecurity: 13%
- Child poverty*: 18%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 16
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 21
- Voter participation: 70%

**EDUCATION**

- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 6 of 26
- 9th grade on track: 79%
- 4-year college degree or greater: 17%

**ECONOMY**

- Unemployment rate: 6%
- Labor force participation rate: 48%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 11
- Property tax (per person): $882
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $697

**HEALTH**

- Low weight births: 7%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 70%
- Good physical health: 59%
- Good mental health: 58%
- Tobacco use: 38%

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Broadband access: 79%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 13
- Transit service: 30%
- Mobile homes: 17%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 5,998

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM:
Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY KLAMATH
OREGON RURAL URBAN
Food insecurity 14% 12%
Child poverty* 28% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 17 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 13 28 21 29
Voter participation 65% 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 4 of 26 8
3rd grade reading 40% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track 82% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate 76% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater 19% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate 6% 4%
Labor force participation rate 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 1 8 5 9
Property tax (per person) $998 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $576

HEALTH
Low weight births 10% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 79% 73%
Good physical health 63% 60%
Good mental health 60% 57%
Tobacco use 33% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access 85% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 14 16 16 17
Transit service 54% 52%
Mobile homes 17% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) 7,301 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
LAKE COUNTY

Total population 7,843
Rural population 63%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 46

PUBLIC LAND
75% Developed/cultivated land
3%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
19% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold
53% Below Poverty Level

COMMUNITY
Food insecurity 16%
Child poverty* 19%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 7
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 7
Voter participation 72%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 12 of 26
3rd grade reading 54%
9th grade on track 84%
5-year high school graduation rate 80%
4-year college degree or greater 15%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate 6%
Labor force participation rate 51%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 11
Property tax (per person) $1,636
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $539

HEALTH
Low weight births 4%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 66%
Good physical health 60%
Good mental health 69%
Tobacco use 20%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access 49%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 3
Transit service 0%
Mobile homes 22%
Vehicile miles traveled (per capita) 8,725

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
LANE COUNTY

Total population 368,882
Total land area 4,722 mi²
Rural population 18%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 62

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Lane $49,958
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
82 years
77 years

POPULATION BY AGE

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9%
Asian: 2.6%
Black/African American: 1.0%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.7%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2%
Some other race: 0.3%
Two or more races: 4.4%
White: 82.1%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
17% Below Poverty Level
28% ALICE Threshold

45% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 8 of 26
3rd grade reading 48%
9th grade on track 85%
5-year high school graduation rate 76%
4-year college degree or greater 30%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate 5%
Labor force participation rate 59%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 4
Property tax (per person) $1,347
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $727

HEALTH
Low weight births 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 77%
Good physical health 58%
Good mental health 53%
Tobacco use 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access 93%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 17
Transit service 57%
Mobile homes 9%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) 4,189

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
LINCOLN COUNTY

Total population
47,881
Rural population
38%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)
73

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Lincoln $46,061
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
81 years Men
75 years Women

POPULATION BY AGE
15% 49% 34%
0-4
5-9
10-14
15-19
20-24
25-29
30-34
35-39
40-44
45-49
50-54
55-59
60-64
65-69
70-74
75-79
80-84
85+

PUBLIC LAND
29% Developed/cultivated land
6%

COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
Lincoln 14% OREGON 12% RURAL 17% URBAN 18%
Child poverty*
Lincoln 26% OREGON 18% RURAL 17% URBAN 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Lincoln 20 OREGON 9 RURAL 15 URBAN 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Lincoln 29 OREGON 28 RURAL 21 URBAN 29
Voter participation
Lincoln 70% OREGON 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
Lincoln 6 of 26 OREGON 8
3rd grade reading
Lincoln 37% OREGON 47% RURAL 42% URBAN 48%
9th grade on track
Lincoln 76% OREGON 85% RURAL 82% URBAN 86%
5-year high school graduation rate
Lincoln 81% OREGON 80% RURAL 75% URBAN 82%
4-year college degree or greater
Lincoln 24% OREGON 33% RURAL 23% URBAN 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate
Lincoln 5% OREGON 4%
Labor force participation rate
Lincoln 50% OREGON 62% RURAL 55% URBAN 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
Lincoln 2 OREGON 8 RURAL 5 URBAN 9
Property tax (per person)
Lincoln $2,283 OREGON $1,548 RURAL $1,280 URBAN $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
Lincoln $695

HEALTH
Low weight births
Lincoln 9% OREGON 7% RURAL 7% URBAN 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
Lincoln 68% OREGON 73%
Good physical health
Lincoln 57% OREGON 60%
Good mental health
Lincoln 59% OREGON 57%
Tobacco use
Lincoln 35% OREGON 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access
Lincoln 94% OREGON 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
Lincoln 16 OREGON 16 RURAL 16 URBAN 17
Transit service
Lincoln 40% OREGON 52%
Mobile homes
Lincoln 13% OREGON 8% RURAL 16% URBAN 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
Lincoln 7,996 OREGON 5,190 RURAL 9,640 URBAN 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
**COMMUNITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Linn</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Linn</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>5 of 26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ECONOMY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Linn</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,262</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEALTH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Linn</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Linn</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>9,499</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>4,326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Definitions of indicators can be found on page 98.*

*Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).*

---

**LIFE EXPECTANCY**

- **Men:**
  - 80 years
  - 76 years

- **Women:**
  - 80 years
  - 76 years

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>$52,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$59,393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PUBLIC LAND**

- 40% of land is public land.

**DEVELOPED/CULTIVATED LAND**

- 27% of land is developed/cultivated land.

---

**POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY**

- American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8%
- Asian: 1.1%
- Black/African American: 0.4%
- Hispanic/Latino: 8.9%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1%
- Some other race: 0.1%
- Two or more races: 3.3%
- White: 85%

---

**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

- 41% below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold.
- 12% of households are in financial hardship.
- 29% of households are in financial hardship.

---

**DATA SOURCES**

- Federal, state, and local data sources.
- Data compiled by the Oregon Office of Economic Analysis.
- Data updated as of March 30, 2020.
Marion County

Total population 335,553
Rural population 13%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 45

Federally Recognized Tribes

Median household income $56,097

Life expectancy 82 years

Median household income $59,393

Households in financial hardship

13% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

Population by race/ethnicity

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5%
Asian: 2.0%
Black/African American: 1.2%
Hispanic/Latino: 26.3%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.9%
Some other race: 0.1%
Two or more races: 3.2%
White: 65.8%

Educational services

Food services and drinking places

Ambulatory health care services

Community

Food insecurity 11%
Child poverty* 21%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 7
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 39
Voter participation 66%

Economy

Unemployment rate 4%
Labor force participation rate 61%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) 7
Property tax (per person) $1,102
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $668

Health

Low weight births 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 76%
Good physical health 60%
Good mental health 57%
Tobacco use 25%

Infrastructure

Broadband access 99%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 13
Transit service 56%
Mobile homes 9%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) 5,436

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY MULTNOMAH
OREGON RURAL URBAN

Food insecurity
14% 12%

Child poverty*
19% 18% 17% 18%

Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
10 9 15 8

Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
47 28 21 29

Voter participation
73% 70%

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
8 of 26

3rd grade reading
46% 47% 42% 48%

9th grade on track
85% 85% 82% 86%

5-year high school graduation rate
79% 80% 75% 82%

4-year college degree or greater
45% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate
4% 4%

Labor force participation rate
69% 62% 55% 65%

Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
15 8 5 9

Property tax (per person)
$1,968 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600

Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$1,132

HEALTH

Low weight births
7% 7% 7% 7%

Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
71% 73%

Good physical health
58% 60%

Good mental health
54% 57%

Tobacco use
23% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access
99% 94%

Child care (slots per 100 children)
21 16 16 17

Transit service
86% 52%

Mobile homes
2% 8% 16% 6%

Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
3,834 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
**POLK COUNTY**

Total population 81,427

Rural population 20%

Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 64

**FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES**

BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

Polk $58,346

Oregon $59,393

**LIFE EXPECTANCY**

82 years

78 years

**POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY**

- American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.7%
- Asian: 1.9%
- Black/African American: 1.0%
- Hispanic/Latino: 13.7%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4%
- Some other race: 0.0%
- Two or more races: 2.8%

White: 78.4%

**MENOPOLY**

- 85+ 1
- 80-84 1
- 75-79 1
- 70-74 7
- 65-69 10
- 60-64 12
- 55-59 14
- 50-54 18
- 45-49 20
- 40-44 21
- 35-39 21
- 30-34 22
- 25-29 22
- 20-24 23
- 15-19 23
- 10-14 24
- 5-9 25
- 0-4 26

**WOMEN**

- 85+ 6
- 80-84 4
- 75-79 4
- 70-74 7
- 65-69 10
- 60-64 12
- 55-59 14
- 50-54 18
- 45-49 20
- 40-44 21
- 35-39 21
- 30-34 22
- 25-29 22
- 20-24 23
- 15-19 23
- 10-14 24
- 5-9 25
- 0-4 26

**PUBLIC LAND**

12%

**DEVELOPED/CULTIVATED LAND**

43%

**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

15% 22%

37% Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

**COMMUNITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLK</th>
<th>OREGON</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EDUCATION**

- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 7 of 26, 8
- 3rd grade reading: 35%, 47%, 42%, 48%
- 9th grade on track: 86%, 85%, 82%, 86%
- 4-year high school graduation rate: 83%, 80%, 75%, 82%
- 4-year college degree or greater: 30%, 33%, 23%, 37%

**ECONOMY**

- Unemployment rate: 4%, 4%
- Labor force participation rate: 60%, 62%, 55%, 65%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 1, 8, 5, 9
- Property tax (per person): $1,050, $1,548, $1,280, $1,600
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $668

**HEALTH**

- Low weight births: 6%, 7%, 7%, 7%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 73%, 73%
- Good physical health: 56%, 60%
- Good mental health: 56%, 57%
- Tobacco use: 19%, 26%

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Broadband access: 98%, 94%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 12, 16, 16, 17
- Transit service: 33%, 52%
- Mobile homes: 8%, 8%, 16%, 6%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 5,245, 5,190, 9,640, 4,326

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
**TEAM:**
Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

**COMMUNITY SHERMAN**

**OREGON RURAL URBAN**

**Food insecurity**
- SHERMAN: 14%
- OREGON: 12%
- RURAL: 17%
- URBAN: 18%

**Child poverty***
- SHERMAN: 17%
- OREGON: 18%
- RURAL: 17%
- URBAN: 18%

**Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)**
- SHERMAN: 0
- OREGON: 9
- RURAL: 15
- URBAN: 8

**Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)**
- SHERMAN: 29
- OREGON: 28
- RURAL: 21
- URBAN: 29

**Voter participation**
- SHERMAN: 76%
- OREGON: 70%
- RURAL: 
- URBAN: 

**EDUCATION**

**Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)**
- SHERMAN: 12 of 26
- OREGON: 8

**3rd grade reading**
- SHERMAN: 75%
- OREGON: 47%
- RURAL: 42%
- URBAN: 48%

**9th grade on track**
- SHERMAN: 79%
- OREGON: 85%
- RURAL: 82%
- URBAN: 86%

**5-year high school graduation rate**
- SHERMAN: 73%
- OREGON: 80%
- RURAL: 75%
- URBAN: 82%

**4-year college degree or greater**
- SHERMAN: 19%
- OREGON: 33%
- RURAL: 23%
- URBAN: 37%

**ECONOMY**

**Unemployment rate**
- SHERMAN: 4%
- OREGON: 4%

**Labor force participation rate**
- SHERMAN: 54%
- OREGON: 62%
- RURAL: 55%
- URBAN: 65%

**Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)**
- SHERMAN: 46
- OREGON: 8
- RURAL: 5
- URBAN: 9

**Property tax (per person)**
- SHERMAN: $3,936
- OREGON: $1,548
- RURAL: $1,280
- URBAN: $1,600

**Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)**
- SHERMAN: $607

**HEALTH**

**Low weight births**
- SHERMAN: 0%
- OREGON: 7%
- RURAL: 7%
- URBAN: 7%

**Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds**
- SHERMAN: 72%
- OREGON: 73%

**Good physical health**
- SHERMAN: 69%
- OREGON: 60%

**Good mental health**
- SHERMAN: 60%
- OREGON: 57%

**Tobacco use**
- SHERMAN: 39%
- OREGON: 26%

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Broadband access**
- SHERMAN: 63%
- OREGON: 94%

**Child care (slots per 100 children)**
- SHERMAN: 17
- OREGON: 16
- RURAL: 16
- URBAN: 17

**Transit service**
- SHERMAN: 0%
- OREGON: 52%

**Mobile homes**
- SHERMAN: 23%
- OREGON: 8%
- RURAL: 16%
- URBAN: 6%

**Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)**
- SHERMAN: 75,350
- OREGON: 5,190
- RURAL: 9,640
- URBAN: 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
Total population 26,076
Rural population 70%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 59

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Tillamook $47,500
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
81 years Men
77 years Women

POPULATION BY AGE
Women
Men

Public land 53%
Developed/ cultivated land 7%

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
13% Below Poverty Level and 34% Below ALICE Threshold

COMMUNITY
Food insecurity
TILLAMOOK 13% OREGON 12%
Child poverty*
22% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
7 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
24 28 21 29
Voter participation
70% 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
6 of 26 8
3rd grade reading
47% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track
82% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate
84% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater
21% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate
4% 4%
Labor force participation rate
50% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
10 8 5 9
Property tax (per person)
$1,999 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$632

HEALTH
Low weight births
5% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
68% 73%
Good physical health
61% 60%
Good mental health
56% 57%
Tobacco use
24% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access
94% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
10 16 16 17
Transit service
32% 52%
Mobile homes
11% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
9,922 5,190 9,640 4,326

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
UMATILLA COUNTY

**Total population**: 76,898
**Total land area**: 3,231 mi²

- **Rural population**: 29%
- **Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population)**: 20

**FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES**
- BP
- CLUS
- COQ
- COW
- GR
- KLA
- SLZ
- UMA
- WSP

**MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME**
- Umatilla: $51,887
- Oregon: $59,393

**LIFE EXPECTANCY**
- **Men**: 81 years
- **Women**: 77 years

**POPULATION BY AGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>85+</td>
<td>80-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>70-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>60-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>60-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>55-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>50-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>45-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>40-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>35-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>30-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>25-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>20-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>10-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-74</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY**
- American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.5%
- Asian: 0.8%
- Black/African American: 0.9%
- Hispanic/Latino: 26.4%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2%
- Some other race: 0.1%
- Two or more races: 2.7%
- White: 66.4%
- Other: 10.4%

**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**
- **17%** Below Poverty Level
- **28%** Below ALICE Threshold
- **45%** Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold

**COMMITTEE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>UMATILLA</th>
<th>OREGON</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Umatilla</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>5 of 26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ECONOMY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Umatilla</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,130</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$572</td>
<td>$498</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>$560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEALTH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Umatilla</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Umatilla</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>RURAL</th>
<th>URBAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>8,715</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>4,326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.*

*Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).*
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020.

COMMUNITY UNION
OREGON RURAL URBAN

Food insecurity
15% 12%
Child poverty*
19% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
4 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
15 28 21 29
Voter participation
68% 70%

EDUCATION

Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)
9 of 26
3rd grade reading
46% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track
86% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate
86% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater
25% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY

Unemployment rate
5% 4%
Labor force participation rate
58% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)
6 8 5 9
Property tax (per person)
$983 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)
$593 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600

HEALTH

Low weight births
6% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds
69% 73%
Good physical health
64% 60%
Good mental health
62% 57%
Tobacco use
35% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE

Broadband access
71% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children)
16 16 16 17
Transit service
40% 52%
Mobile homes
16% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)
10,039 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
Total population: 6,924
Total land area: 3,152 mi²
Rural population: 100%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population): 55

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
- BP
- CLUS
- COQ
- COW
- GR
- KLA
- SLZ
- UMA
- WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
- Wallowa: $44,953
- Oregon: $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
- Men: 83 years
- Women: 77 years

POPULATION BY AGE
- 0-4
- 5-9
- 10-14
- 15-19
- 20-24
- 25-29
- 30-34
- 35-39
- 40-44
- 45-49
- 50-54
- 55-59
- 60-64
- 65-69
- 70-74
- 75-79
- 80-84
- 85+

POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY
- American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0%
- Asian: 0.3%
- Black/African American: 2.9%
- Hispanic/Latino: 0.1%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1%
- Some other race: 0.1%
- Two or more races: 2.1%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES
- Ambulatory health care services
- Educational services
- Hospitals

COMMUNITY
- Food insecurity: 14%
- Child poverty*: 27%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 10
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 0
- Voter participation: 77%

EDUCATION
- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 10 of 26
- 3rd grade reading: 53%
- 9th grade on track: 97%
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 93%
- 4-year college degree or greater: 25%

ECONOMY
- Unemployment rate: 6%
- Labor force participation rate: 55%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 5
- Property tax (per person): $1,233
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $607

HEALTH
- Low weight births: 4%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 75%
- Good physical health: 68%
- Good mental health: 64%
- Tobacco use: ID

INFRASTRUCTURE
- Broadband access: 92%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 12
- Transit service: 35%
- Mobile homes: 15%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 6,091

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
ID: Insufficient data per source

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY WASCO
OREGON RURAL URBAN
Food insecurity 11% 12%
Child poverty* 17% 18% 17% 18%
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) 12 9 15 8
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) 25 28 21 29
Voter participation 69% 70%

EDUCATION
Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds) 6 of 26 8
3rd grade reading 40% 47% 42% 48%
9th grade on track 81% 85% 82% 86%
5-year high school graduation rate 78% 80% 75% 82%
4-year college degree or greater 21% 33% 23% 37%

ECONOMY
Unemployment rate 4% 4%
Labor force participation rate 58% 62% 55% 65%
Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) -2 8 5 9
Property tax (per person) $1,341 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) $798

HEALTH
Low weight births 5% 7% 7% 7%
Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds 72% 73%
Good physical health 69% 60%
Good mental health 60% 57%
Tobacco use 39% 26%

INFRASTRUCTURE
Broadband access 86% 94%
Child care (slots per 100 children) 20 16 16 17
Transit service 5% 52%
Mobile homes 16% 8% 16% 6%
Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) 14,401 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
WASHINGTON COUNTY

Total population 581,821
Total land area 726 mi²
Rural population 6%
Net migration, 2010-2018 (per 1,000 population) 79

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Washington $78,010
Oregon $59,393

LIFE EXPECTANCY
84 years Men
80 years Women

POPOULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3%
Asian: 10.1%
Black/African American: 1.9%
Hispanic/Latino: 16.5%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4%
Some other race: 0.2%
Two or more races: 4.2%
White: 66.3%

PSO

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

Below Poverty Level 36%
Below Poverty Level and ALICE Threshold 44%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Computer and electronic product manufacturing
Administrative and support services
Food services and drinking places

COMMUNITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty*</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voter participation</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds)</td>
<td>10 of 26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd grade reading</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th grade on track</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year high school graduation rate</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year college degree or greater</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECONOMY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor force participation rate</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job growth (per 1,000 pop.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax (per person)</td>
<td>$1,755</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>$1,280</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath)</td>
<td>$1,132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low weight births</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good physical health</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good mental health</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFRASTRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadband access</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care (slots per 100 children)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit service</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile homes</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle miles traveled (per capita)</td>
<td>3,048</td>
<td>5,190</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>4,326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020.

COMMUNITY WHEELER OREGON RURAL URBAN

- Food insecurity: 14% 12%
- Child poverty*: 35% 18% 17% 18%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 0 9 15 8
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 2 28 21 29
- Voter participation: 84% 70%

EDUCATION

- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 13 of 26
- 3rd grade reading: 53% 47% 42% 48%
- 9th grade on track: 27% 80% 75% 82%
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 14% 33% 23% 37%
- 4-year college degree or greater

ECONOMY

- Unemployment rate: 4% 4%
- Labor force participation rate: 43% 62% 55% 65%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 9 8 5 9
- Property tax (per person): $1,597 $1,548 $1,280 $1,600
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $537

HEALTH

- Low weight births
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 0% 7% 7% 7%
- Good physical health: 30% 60%
- Good mental health: 56% 57%
- Tobacco use

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Broadband access: 0% 94%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 26 16 16 17
- Transit service: 7% 52%
- Mobile homes: 20% 8% 16% 6%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 14,000 5,190 9,640 4,326

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

Definitions of indicators can be found on page 93.
TEAM: Infographics pages have all been updated as of 30 March 2020

COMMUNITY
- Food insecurity: 11%
- Child poverty*: 20%
- Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.): 4
- Index crime (per 1,000 pop.): 21
- Voter participation: 70%

EDUCATION
- Kindergarten ready (Letter sounds): 8 of 26
- 3rd grade reading: 49%
- 9th grade on track: 83%
- 5-year high school graduation rate: 86%
- 4-year college degree or greater: 26%

ECONOMY
- Unemployment rate: 4%
- Labor force participation rate: 60%
- Job growth (per 1,000 pop.): 6
- Property tax (per person): $1,224
- Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath): $1,132

HEALTH
- Low weight births: 8%
- Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds: 76%
- Good physical health: 60%
- Good mental health: 59%
- Tobacco use: 32%

INFRASTRUCTURE
- Broadband access: 89%
- Child care (slots per 100 children): 14
- Transit service: 48%
- Mobile homes: 11%
- Vehicle miles traveled (per capita): 4,449

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).
Measure selection

The process of selecting measures for the first edition (2018) of *Oregon by the Numbers* began with a comprehensive analysis of existing indicator reports followed by a juried ranking for the final selection. The process helped guarantee inclusion of the most broadly desired measures, while simultaneously leveraging the knowledge of experts to ensure relevance. To begin, researchers at Oregon State University used a crossover matrix of measures and reports to generate a short list of candidate measures for *Oregon by the Numbers* (based on data already available in the Communities Reporter Tool). They then reviewed the short list to determine causal or covariant relationships of the measures, prioritizing those with central influence and/or those that best function as overall indicators of societal progress.

Each year since, the production team has taken great care to review the quality of the data available, explore additional options based on new research, attend to user feedback, and populate each topic area with a sufficient number and type of measures to ensure relevance to all Oregon counties. While we want to prioritize continuity of measures from one year to the next, we also want to ensure that the report incorporates the best available data. So, there will be changes from time to time, as is the case this year.

American Community Survey

*Oregon by the Numbers* relies on multiple measures from the American Community Survey (ACS). The federal government has collected information about the American population since 1790 with the decennial census and began asking questions about housing and other topics in the mid-20th century. Collected on a continuous basis starting in 2005, the ACS is intended to give the American public a sense of how people in the country are doing, where they are working, whether they have access to the services they need and so on. In 2009, the U.S. Census Bureau released the first annual installment of data from the ACS about the economic, demographic, housing and social characteristics of America’s people and households.

ACS data provide decision makers unprecedented access to timely information about key issues. The results help determine how billions of dollars of government funds are distributed each year. The data also can illuminate opportunities for community engagement as well as state-level research.

Margin of error

Because the American Community Survey is a sample survey, involving 3.5 million households every year, its estimates are subject to statistical error. The Census Bureau publishes the margin of error associated with each ACS estimate. The margin of error associated with estimates for small communities and/or for sub-groups within the population (e.g. age categories, racial/ethnic groups, people with disabilities) can be large relative to the estimate. In these cases, users should interpret results with caution. In this report, any necessary cautions about margin of error are noted on the measure page in question. The margins of error for the measures in this report are available on the Communities Reporter Tool from the Rural Communities Explorer (http://oregonexplorer.info/rural) or the U.S. Census Bureau (http://www.census.gov).
TOTAL POPULATION

Definition: The total number of individuals living within a county’s designated boundaries.

Population size provides insight into the nature of a county’s residential communities. This measure is also important for tracking growth or declines within a specific county and making comparisons across counties. Changes in population occur through births, deaths and migration. Shifts in total population can affect funding from state and federal agencies. Such changes also suggest the extent to which the county is attracting new residents or whether the economy is prospering or struggling. As a measure, Total Population is useful in planning for current and future community needs.

Oregon by the Numbers

RURAL POPULATION

Definition: The percentage of people who reside outside of urban areas or clusters in a given county, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Rural communities, especially those defined as rural according to the U.S. Census guidelines, present significantly different contexts from their urban and suburban counterparts. The strengths, needs and capacities of rural communities differ accordingly. In Oregon, where there are only 12 cities with population greater than 50,000, knowing the proportion of the rural population in a county allows decision makers to develop more balanced strategies to support different types of communities (see “Oregon’s Shared Fate,” page 10). Because this measure makes use of data released every decade, researchers are exploring alternatives for future reports.

NET MIGRATION

Definition: Net migration is the change in population due to people moving in or out of a given area over a specified time period per 1,000 residents.

Positive net migration means more people are moving into a county than are leaving, while a negative value means more people are moving out of a county than moving in. It is important for businesses and local leaders to understand net migration in order to anticipate the county’s future demands. Population change resulting from migration requires different resources than change caused by births and deaths. Understanding the various contributors to population change is important for long-range planning.


FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.

Burns Paiute Tribe
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians
Coquille Indian Tribe
Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde
Klamath Tribes
Confederated Tribes of Siletz
Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation
Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs

Oregon is Indian country. Dozens of indigenous tribes and bands once inhabited the land now known as Oregon — and did so successfully for thousands of years until the arrival of Europeans in the 18th century. In 1797, the U.S. Congress passed the Northwest Ordinance, establishing the goal of westward expansion. Despite language indicating that lands and property would never be taken from native people without their consent, history would prove otherwise. Ultimately, European settlers acquired nearly 3 million acres of Indian land in Oregon.

The term “federally recognized” refers to the government-to-government relationships between the United States and Indian tribes, managed in large part by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. In 1954, during the Termination Era, the U.S. Congress terminated every tribe and band in Western Oregon. The 1970s and 1980s served as an era of rebuilding tribal communities and land bases. The majority of Oregon’s nine federally recognized tribes were restored through legal action at the federal level during that time.

This report highlights Oregon’s federally recognized Indian tribes as an indication of potential government-to-government or other official relationships in Oregon’s counties and across the state. Each federally recognized tribe is a distinct sovereign nation, with its own political and legal status described in the U.S. Constitution. Tribal members are U.S. citizens as well as citizens of their tribal nations.

Numerous Indian-affiliated organizations operate throughout Oregon that are not associated with the state’s nine federally recognized tribes, so the information provided here does not represent the diverse Native American presence within a given county or throughout Oregon. Estimates suggest between 45,000 and 50,000 Native Americans presently reside in Oregon; there are Indians in every county. A significant portion of Native Americans in Oregon are affiliated with tribes other than those that are federally recognized as being located in Oregon. In addition, federally recognized tribes in neighboring states may have land and relationships within Oregon that are not represented here. For example, the Nez Perce tribe, federally recognized in Idaho, has bought back thousands of acres of traditional homeland in Wallowa County, Oregon.

Ultimately, Indian history is Oregon history. Oregon Senate Bill 13 directs the Department of Education to develop curriculum about the Native American experience in Oregon and to provide professional development for all teachers in support of that curriculum. This effort will provide an opportunity for all students to learn our state’s full history, beginning with the experiences of Oregon’s first human inhabitants.

Source: Rural Communities Explorer, 2019.

Released 2019.
LARGEST COMMUNITIES

Definition: The name, location and population of the largest community within a county’s boundaries.

The largest community is identified by comparing Census-designated population clusters within the county. Highlighting the size and location of the largest community within a county provides insight into how population is distributed within a particular county and across the state. Two metropolitan areas are the largest communities for multiple counties: Portland for Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington; Salem for Marion and Polk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>692,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>692,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>692,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>66,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>66,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eugene</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>65,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bend</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>90,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Medford</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>80,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Corvallis</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>57,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>52,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Grants Pass</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>37,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>McMinnville</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>15,462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEDIAN INCOME

Definition: The household income value at which 50% of households in the county earn less and 50% earn more.

Median household income in this report provides a measure of the typical or “middle” income level in a county as well as the overall economic well-being for residents. One drawback is that this measure treats all households equally regardless of the number of people in the household. The size of the household has an impact on how the income is distributed to individuals. However, median household income remains a broadly used measure. It is useful in tracking income growth, which is associated with the ability of residents to meet their needs, and comparing economic conditions across counties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>$78,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>$76,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>$64,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>$63,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>$62,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>$59,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>$59,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$59,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>$58,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>$58,344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>$55,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>$52,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>$52,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>$51,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>$50,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>$50,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>$50,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>$49,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>$48,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>$48,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>$47,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>$46,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>$46,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>$45,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$45,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Walla Walla</td>
<td>$44,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>$44,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>$43,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>$43,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>$43,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>$43,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>$42,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>$42,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>$41,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>$36,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>$33,456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

**Definition:** The percentage of households in a county with annual incomes above the Federal Poverty Level but below the ALICE threshold, the amount needed to cover the basic costs of living.

ALICE is an acronym that stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. ALICE describes the growing number of households in our country that do not earn enough income to afford the basic necessities. The ALICE research group, supported by United Way, defines basic household necessities in 2020 as: food, housing, transportation, health care, child care and a smartphone plan. There are now more than 20 states participating as partners in the ALICE effort, and Oregon is among them.

The ALICE research quantifies the number of households at the county level that are experiencing daily financial hardship. The research helps raise awareness about a growing population that do not qualify as poor but face impossible choices day to day. ALICE households cannot save or build wealth because they do not earn enough to survive financially in our modern economy.

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, Oregon saw unemployment fall to historic lows while GDP grew. However, the costs associated with basic needs, especially housing, increased sharply while wages increased modestly, with significant consequences for working families. In 2018, researchers estimated that in come in 44% of Oregon’s more than 1.6 million households was not enough to afford basic necessities. A closer look indicates that 12% of these households were living below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and another 32% were ALICE household.

To the right is a ranked table listing the percentage of “households in financial hardship” (poverty + ALICE) for each Oregon county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Oregon</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If Head of Household is:**

- **Under Age 65**
- **Age 65 or older**

**Then the minimum income needed for basic necessities is:**

- **Under 65:**
  - $35,000
  - $40,000
  - $45,000
  - $50,000
  - $60,000
  - $75,000

- **Age 65 or older:**
  - $35,000
  - $40,000
  - $45,000
  - $50,000
  - $60,000
  - $75,000

NOTABLE FEATURES

Definition: Prominent natural and community features that serve as points of interest for residents and visitors.

Researchers from Oregon State University Extension Service generated the data for this indicator by examining the online presence of named attractions within a particular county. Features tend to be popular recreational destinations for local populations and tourists. These destinations can help stimulate local economies through tourism dollars and civic engagement. In Oregon, parks figure prominently as county features.

Baker
- Wallowa Whitman National Forest
- Hell’s Canyon National Recreation Area
- Anthony Lakes Ski Area

Benton
- Corvallis Watershed Wild Animal Refuge
- Marys Peak
- Alsea Falls Recreational Site

Clackamas
- Mount Hood National Forest
- Timberline Lodge
- Trillium Lake

Clatsop
- Lewis and Clark National and State Historical Parks
- Haystack Rock
- Fort Stevens State Park

Columbia
- Forest Grove District State Forest
- Collins Beach
- Multinomah Channel

Coos
- Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area
- Sunset Bay State Park
- Shore Acres State Park

Crook
- Ochoco National Forest
- Pineville Reservoir Wildlife Area
- Ochoco Wayside State Park

Curry
- Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest
- Cape Blanco State Park
- Floras Lake State Natural Area

Deschutes
- Mount Bachelor Ski Area
- Tumalo Falls
- Lava River Cave

Douglas
- Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area
- Wildlife Safari
- Umpqua National Forest

Gilliam
- Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Area
- J S Burres State Park
- Earl Snell Memorial Park

Grant
- John Day Fossil Beds National Monument
- Umatilla National Forest
- Malheur National Forest

Harney
- Steen Mountains
- Malheur National Wildlife Refuge
- Alvord Hot Springs

Hood River
- Mount Hood National Forest
- Mount Hood Meadows Ski Area
- Eagle Creek

Jackson
- Bear Creek Greenway
- Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument
- Oregon Vortex

Jefferson
- The Cove Palisades State Park
- Black Butte
- Lake Billy Chinook

Josephine
- Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserves
- Indian Mary Park
- Rogue River-Siskiyou National Park

Klamath
- Crater Lake National Park
- Winema National Forest
- Klamath Marsh National Wildlife Refuge

Lake
- Fremont National Forest
- Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge
- Derrick Cave

Lincoln
- Oregon Coast Aquarium
- Yaquina Bay State Recreation Site
- South Beach State Park

Linn
- Mount Washington
- Middle Santiam Wilderness
- Willamette National Forest

Malheur
- Lake Owyhee State Park
- Succor Creek Natural Area
- Ontario State Recreation Site

Marion
- Silver Falls State Park
- Enchanted Forest
- Oregon State Capitol

Morrow
- Umatilla National Forest
- Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge
- Willamette National Forest

Multnomah
- Multnomah Falls
- Mount Hood National Forest
- Mark O Hatfield Wilderness

Polk
- Basket Slough National Wildlife Refuge
- Valley of the Giants
- Sarah Helmick State Recreation Site

Sherman
- Deschutes River State Recreation Area
- Cottonwood Canyon State Park
- John Day Dam

Tillamook
- Tillamook State Forest
- Nehalem Bay State Park
- Cape Meares National Wildlife Refuge

Umatilla
- Umatilla National Forest
- Bridge Creek Wildlife Area
- Hat Rock State Park

Union
- Umatilla National Forest
- Eagle Cap Mountain Peak
- Hilgard Junction State Park

Wallowa
- Wallowa Lake State Park
- Zumwalt Prairie
- Wallowa Whiteman National Forest

Wasco
- Mount Hood National Forest
- Deschutes River Recreation Area
- Memaloose State Park

Washington
- L L Stub Stewart State Park
- Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge
- Tualatin Hills Nature Park

Wheelers
- Ochoco National Forest
- Painted Hills
- Umatilla National Forest

Yamhill
- Mount Hebo
- Pheasant Creek Falls
- Bald Creek State Scenic Viewpoint

**Population Pyramid**

*Definition: Population pyramids show the distribution of a population by age and by sex.*

The population pyramid graphically represents the population. It can provide insights into the distribution of age groups, differences between men and women, population growth patterns, and the demand for specific types of goods and services. While named for their typically pyramidal shape, the graphs demonstrate that many counties in Oregon have larger populations in higher age categories and will not follow this shape. The graphs on this page show population distributions for the entire state (right) and broken down into rural and urban populations (below).

**Life Expectancy**

*Definition: The average number of years a person can expect to live.*

Life expectancy is one measure of overall community health. Increasingly, researchers report strong connections between life expectancy and geography because so many social, environmental, behavioral and biological factors contribute to this outcome. Better access to health care and healthy activities can increase life expectancy. Higher rates of risky and unhealthy behaviors can lower life expectancy. Diet, tobacco and alcohol use, frequency of preventative health behaviors, employment in dangerous industries, and biological factors like cardiovascular disease can contribute to differences in life expectancy for men and women. In Oregon, men have a life expectancy of 77 years, while women have a life expectancy of 82 years. The separate calculations for men and women appear on most county profiles earlier in this report. Separate calculations may not be possible in counties with smaller populations.

RACE/ETHNICITY

Definition: The percentage of a county's total population in each racial and ethnic group.

Understanding the distribution of racial and ethnic groups within communities is essential for promoting equal opportunity and addressing policies and practices that create barriers for underserved populations. Tracking race and ethnicity is important for community situational awareness, implementing programs and accessing funding. Reporting race and ethnicity data may be required under state and federal statute. Rural and urban breakouts and the maps provide additional insights about the distribution of racial and ethnic groups across the state.

Federal and state policies and economic forces have shaped Oregon's demographics since the state's founding. For example:

- Black exclusion laws during the early days of the state deterred Black/African Americans from settling in Oregon.

- The federal Bracero Program, during World War II, brought Mexican men to alleviate farm-labor shortages and to work on railroad maintenance.

- Today, immigration policies continue to shape Oregon's demographics.

**Oregon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rural**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td><strong>83.9%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Urban**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td><strong>72.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


These maps show counties where the population of each race/ethnicity group is above the state average.
TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.

Identifying the top three employment industries in each county provides insight about the structure of the local economy. Employment industries have different average wage levels, so the top three figure prominently in determining the total wage earnings of a county. Examining this indicator across the state and between counties suggests notable employment trends and could point to policy opportunities. (Note: Each county profile shows the top three employment industries in ranked order from left to right.)

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.

LAND AREA

Definition: The total land area within the boundary of each county, measured in square miles.

Every 10 years the U.S. Census Bureau uses Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology to delineate jurisdictional and census boundaries. As a measure, land area communicates the amount of physical space a county has as a resource. It also suggests the scope of demand for infrastructure on local governments and provides insight about the distances residents may need to travel in order to access employment, education, resources and services.


### Oregon by the Numbers

**DEVELOPED OR CULTIVATED LAND**

**Definition:** The percentage of total land cover classified as developed or cultivated (includes pasture) according to the National Land Cover Database.

This measure tracks the conversion and designation of land for human purposes. Over time, shifts change the provision of ecosystem services such as climate regulation, natural hazard regulation, water purification, waste management, pollination, and pest control. The conversion of land to developed or cultivated status also can indicate economic growth in a county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yuma</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PUBLICLY OWNED LANDS

**Definition:** The percentage of total land area in a county publicly held rather than privately owned.

Publicly owned land area is calculated by aggregating lands managed by federal, state, and local governments. Much of the western United States is held publicly — protected for natural resources, open spaces and recreational areas. The economic history of Oregon is closely tied to the state’s large proportion of public lands, especially federal lands that comprise more than 50% of the state. These publicly held lands have significant economic impacts on the Oregon counties that contain them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tolowa</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Source
CHILD POVERTY

Definition: The child poverty rate is the percentage of individuals in a county under 18 years of age and living in families whose income falls below the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) for their family size.

Child poverty is a key predictor of negative social outcomes and increased demand for government services. Poverty can limit a child’s social, educational and personal development due to reduced access to basic necessities and opportunities. Children experiencing poverty are less likely to be successful in school, are more likely to have negative health outcomes, have greater difficulty accessing the job market later in life, and are more likely to commit crimes, all of which result in a greater demand for services. High rates of child poverty can limit community progress.

* Because people younger than 18 years old are a relatively narrow group of the population, the child poverty estimates for small counties (population under 10,000) may have wide margins of error, and readers should use caution when interpreting these statistics.

FOOD INSECURITY

Definition: The estimated percentage of individuals who have limited or uncertain access to adequate food.

Food insecurity has profound negative impacts on the well-being and success of individuals, families and communities. It is one way to represent households at social and economic risk. Because there are no direct measures of food insecurity available at the county level, researchers for Feeding America develop an estimate using a mathematical model that combines food security data from the Current Population Survey with other household demographic and economic information. Food-secure households have consistent access to safe and nutritional foods without needing to resort to emergency food sources, scavenging, stealing or other coping strategies.

FOSTER CARE

Definition: The number of children in a county in foster care per 1,000 in the population under 18 years of age.

Children may enter Oregon’s foster care system when they cannot safely remain at home. Children in foster care may have experienced physical abuse (including sexual abuse), neglect (including abandonment), and/or mental abuse. In addition to the trauma of instability at home, children in foster care often encounter instability in many facets of their life — education, for example. They may experience compromised educational outcomes compared to their peers, including but not limited to: attendance, test scores and high school completion. Data reported here are point-in-time counts on September 30, 2018. Children must rely on the adults in their communities to protect them from abuse and neglect.

INDEX CRIME

Definition: The annual number of index crime offenses per 1,000 residents in a county. Index crimes include willful murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft and arson.

Crime rates are a measure of the relative safety of an area, but crime also has important social and economic influences on communities. High rates of crime are associated with population mobility, weaker attachment of residents to their community, less local involvement and lower home values. The index crime rate is created to provide a standard measure of particularly important crimes against people and property across the United States. Tracking crime supports law enforcement operations, public safety budgeting and local community development efforts.

## FOSTER CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## INDEX CRIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KINDERGARTEN READY (LETTER SOUNDS)

Definition: The average number of letter sounds in the English alphabet that children identify when shown paired upper and lowercase letters. Scores are reported out of 26 possible.

Decades of educational research suggest that particular early achievement measures can predict readiness for learning, long-term academic outcomes and emotional development. Oregon’s kindergarten readiness assessment includes measures in three areas: early literacy, early math and approaches to learning. It intentionally aligns with Oregon’s Early Learning Standards, Kindergarten Common Core State Standards and those used in Head Start classrooms. Children cannot pass or fail the assessment as it simply provides a snapshot of the skills and knowledge students possess when entering kindergarten. Unlike standardized tests used in the later grades, teachers administer the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment through a series of low-stakes, unpressured teacher-student conversations. The letter sounds score is highly correlated with reading ability and future academic success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


VOTER PARTICIPATION

Definition: The percentage of registered voters who participated in the 2018 biennial general elections.

Voter participation has long served as a secondary measure of social capital. The relationship between voting and social connections has been heavily researched with little consensus. In the absence of an alternative measure, voter participation continues as an important proxy for civic engagement and community social capital. This in turn reflects community capacity. The state of Oregon has implemented multiple policies over the years to increase voter participation, such as mail-in ballots and automatic voter registration. The state reports some of the highest voter participation rates in the country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NINTH GRADE ON TRACK

Definition: The percentage of ninth graders who have attended school regularly and successfully completed all required courses during their first year of high school.

The ninth grade academic year serves as a pivotal point of transition for students. Being academically on track in ninth grade predicts future academic success, especially a greater likelihood of high school completion across all demographics. Students who are not on track at the end of ninth grade start tenth grade behind, making them less likely to graduate on time or perhaps at all. Identifying struggling students early in their high school careers allows for more timely interventions. As a state, Oregon has been one of the early implementers in using the “ninth grade on track” indicator as part of its high school accountability system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>92.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Urban Oregon</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>83.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Rural Oregon</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>78.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE DEGREE OR GREATER

Definition: The percentage of the county population, age 25 or older, that has earned a four-year or other more advanced college degree. Individuals included are those with a four-year (bachelor’s) degree, a master’s degree, a professional degree or a doctorate.

Those who earn a four-year college degree or higher generally experience increased lifetime earnings, enhanced worker benefits, more social mobility and improved health. This measure is an important indicator of human capital at the county level. It is frequently used to describe a community’s labor force for purposes of economic development.

Top third Middle third Bottom third

Rank County Amount
1 Benton 53.6%
2 Multnomah 44.5%
3 Washington 43.7%

Urban Oregon 37.4%
4 Clackamas 36.8%
5 Deschutes 34.2%
6 Hood River 31.6%
7 Polk 30.1%
8 Lane 29.6%
9 Jackson 27.4%
10 Yamhill 27.0%
11 Union 26.5%
12 Wallowa 24.7%
13 Clatsop 24.6%
14 Lincoln 24.1%
15 Curry 24.0%

Rural Oregon 23.4%
16 Marion 22.8%
17 Baker 22.3%
18 Tillamook 21.0%
19 Wasco 20.5%
20 Gilliam 20.2%
21 Linn 19.5%
22 Sherman 19.5%
23 Klamath 19.4%
24 Grant 18.5%
25 Jefferson 18.4%
26 Coos 17.9%
27 Columbia 17.8%
28 Josephine 17.2%
29 Douglas 17.2%
30 Harney 17.0%
31 Crook 16.6%
32 Umatilla 16.2%
33 Lake 15.4%
34 Wheeler 14.0%
35 Malheur 13.8%
36 Morrow 10.9%

**LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE**

Definition: The ratio between the size of the civilian labor force and the overall population 16 years of age and older. People in the labor force are those who are employed or are actively seeking work.

The labor force participation rate measures the supply side of the labor market, including both those currently working and those seeking work. It is particularly useful in detecting discouraged unemployed workers during economic downturns and in areas that are economically depressed. Higher rates of labor force participation are generally viewed favorably. However, local factors, such as the age structure of the population or the sources of household income, can affect this measure in ways that warrant careful interpretation with respect to context. These rates represent Oregon prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban Oregon</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural Oregon</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNEMPLOYMENT RATE**

Definition: The percentage of the population who do not have a job, are currently available for work and are actively seeking work.

Unemployment has an impact on the individuals who are without work, their families and their communities. The purchasing power of those workers is lost, as are the goods and services they might have produced. People who are unemployed are also at a higher risk of social challenges. The unemployment rate serves as both a measure of labor availability and an overall indicator of a county’s economic health. While labor availability is an important factor in economic development, high rates of unemployment are considered unfavorable. These rates represent Oregon prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROPERTY TAX PER PERSON

Definition: The per-capita property tax imposed, calculated as the total property tax imposed divided by the number of people in the county.

Property tax serves as an important source of revenue for local governments. Per-capita property tax is an indicator of the capacity of local government to provide services such as public safety, roads and other infrastructure, parks and recreation, as well as public health. It is also a measure of relative tax burden. Property tax imposed excludes taxes allocated to urban renewal agencies and special assessments.

Rank County Amount
1 Gilliam $4,531
2 Sherman $3,936
3 Morrow $2,670
4 Lincoln $2,283
5 Tillamook $1,999
6 Multnomah $1,961
7 Clatsop $1,855
8 Deschutes $1,852
9 Washington $1,755
10 Lake $1,636
11 Lane $1,600
12 Wheeler $1,597
13 Benton $1,447
14 Marion $1,347
15 Wasco $1,341
16 Columbia $1,311
17 Jackson $1,309
18 Crook $1,269
19 Linn $1,262
20 Hood River $1,256
21 Wallowa $1,224
22 Umatilla $1,195
23 Baker $1,159
24 Jefferson $1,139
25 Curry $1,131
26 Umatilla $1,130
27 Marion $1,102
28 Grant $1,082
29 Polk $1,050
30 Harney $1,034
31 Coos $1,002
32 Klamath $998
33 Union $983
34 Malheur $894
35 Douglas $882
36 Josephine $882

Source: Oregon Department of Revenue, Property Tax Statistics, Table 1.6, 2018, updated annually. Released 2019.
RENT COSTS (1 BEDROOM/1 BATH)

Definition: The Fair Market Rent (FMR) price for a one-bedroom apartment.

Fair Market Rent (FMR) prices are developed each year for counties and metropolitan areas by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The figures are calculated using the rent prices paid by people who have recently moved and serve as the basis for federal housing assistance programs. FMRs can be used to look at changes in rent costs in an area over time or to compare the cost of rental housing across communities. In Oregon, the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) agency calculates the Portland Metropolitan area (Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington, and Yamhill counties) as a single area. While rent prices vary considerably across each of these counties, the FMR is still important to consider due to its role in determining the amount of housing assistance available to low-income and other vulnerable populations.

VACCINATION RATE


LOW WEIGHT BIRTHS

Definition: The percentage of live babies who weigh less than 2,500 grams (5.5 lbs) at birth.

Low weight births indicate risk factors for both child and maternal health. For the child, low birth weight is a predictor of premature morbidity and death, risk for developmental problems, and respiratory and cardiovascular disease later in life. For the mother, low birth weight indicates a number of concerns including adverse health behavior, limited access to care, socioeconomic and environmental risks.

### GOOD PHYSICAL HEALTH

**Definition:** The percentage of adults reporting that they have had no poor physical health days in the prior month.

Poor physical health can disrupt daily activities, even if the symptoms do not require medical attention. These data are based on a self-assessment, meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention. Adults were asked: “Thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?” Research shows that counties where residents report fewer unhealthy days also tend to have lower rates of disability, unemployment, poverty and mortality.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.

#### Table: GOOD PHYSICAL HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gilliam*</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sherman*</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Wasco*</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bakes</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oregon 60.1%*


*ID: Insufficient data per source.*
TOBACCO USE

Definition: The percentage of adults who report that they currently use tobacco, including cigarettes, e-cigarettes, cigars, hookahs or smokeless tobacco.

Tobacco use is the number-one contributor to preventable death in Oregon. It is a risk factor for developing chronic conditions and worsens outcomes for people with chronic conditions. Tobacco use also has economic consequences, costing billions of dollars in medical expenses, lost productivity and early death each year. While cigarette smoking is currently more common than other forms of tobacco use among adults, research shows that youth are increasingly using alternative forms.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gilliam*</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sherman*</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wasco*</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


GOOD MENTAL HEALTH

Definition: The percentage of adults reporting that they had no days of poor mental health in the prior month.

Mental health is key to overall health. Due to stigma and the shortage of mental health providers, many mental health conditions go undiagnosed. These data are based on a self-assessment and are self-reported, meaning they do not rely on diagnoses or medical intervention. Adults were asked: “Thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?” Interventions to address low levels of good mental health should consider access to care. Data reported here are from aggregated sampling across years.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wallowa</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gilliam*</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sherman*</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wasco*</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


ID: Insufficient data per source
**BROADBAND ACCESS**

**Definition:** The percentage of households served by broadband internet (25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload).

The internet has changed the way people access information, shop, work, view entertainment and attend school. Today, access to high volume data transfer rates has become a key requirement for economic and community development as well as education. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) defines the advanced telecommunications capability benchmark for broadband. The existing benchmark speed is 25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload. To calculate the percentage of households served by broadband, census blocks are analyzed to determine which ones meet the FCC's advanced broadband benchmark. Households in census blocks that meet the FCC's advanced broadband criteria are aggregated and then divided by the total number of households in a county to calculate the percentage of households served by broadband internet. It is important to recognize that even though broadband is available, the cost may prohibit having a subscription. This measure reports the percentage of households with broadband available for purchase, not the percentage of households that have subscribed to broadband service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Deschutes</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Linn</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hood River</td>
<td>96.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Walla Walla</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oregon 94.3%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Crook</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tillamook</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Walla Walla</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yamhill</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Coos</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Klamath</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Clatsop</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Josephine</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Morrow</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Union</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Malheur</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Gilliam</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Harney</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Wheeler</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urban Oregon 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lane</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sherman</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHILD CARE**

**Definition:** The number of child care slots available per 100 children under 13 years of age.

All 36 Oregon counties are considered child care deserts, which means for every regulated child care slot, there are at least three children who might fill it. As a result, many families across Oregon cannot find child care for their children. The data reported here reflect child care slots found in child care centers or family child care homes. Inadequate access to such care prevents parents from participating in the workforce and young children from receiving the benefits of early education. Not every child needs access to formal child care, some parents can rely on relatives or neighbors to watch children or work different shifts with no overlapping hours. Still, there is a tremendous shortage of supply — a challenge made significantly worse by the COVID-19 crisis.

TRANSIT SERVICE

Definition: The percentage of residents served by public transit service, measured as the unduplicated population within a .25-mile radius of a given stop operated by a transit agency.

Public transit is an essential service for those who do not or cannot drive. It allows individuals without a private means of transportation to remain connected in their communities, reach jobs, access medical care and meet other basic needs. Public transit is particularly important in rural communities where travel distances to services are greater, thereby making alternatives, such as bicycles, generally impractical for most residents.

MOBILE HOMES

Definition: The percentage of housing units reported as mobile homes.

Mobile homes are an often-maligned but important source of affordable housing. They represent the largest segment of non-subsidized affordable housing in the United States. These homes, whether single or double wide, provide low-cost housing for millions of people in the United States who most often own their mobile home and lease the land it sits on. While mobile homes do present a range of challenges, they also provide housing opportunities for individuals and families experiencing economic hardship, and they have the potential to develop micro-communities when mobile housing is clustered in parks.

Source: Oregon State University, Oregon Department of Transportation, Transit Network Analysis Tool, 2018, collected annually. Released 2019.

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (TOTAL)

Definition: An estimate (in millions) of the total vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state-owned highways within each county. This number is calculated by summing measurements of average daily traffic on designated roadways.

In previous editions of Oregon by the Numbers, we have reported vehicle miles traveled. Total vehicle miles traveled is considered an indicator of transportation infrastructure. The measure helps determine distribution of state and federal funding for roadway building and maintenance. It can also be used to evaluate emissions and air pollution. Based on reader feedback that these values alone are difficult to interpret, Oregon by the Numbers now includes vehicle miles traveled per capita (next page). These two measures are based on the same data but tell different stories, so maps and rankings are included for each.

VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (PER CAPITA)

Definition: The number of vehicle miles traveled in a county per person, calculated as the number of vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state-owned highways within a county divided by the total population of the county.

Vehicle miles traveled per capita is used in transportation planning, including decisions on highway expansion, as well as tracking the effectiveness of different land use development strategies. It is important to note that per-capita vehicle miles traveled are not directly a reflection of how much county residents drive. Counties with high commercial traffic and smaller populations will end up having a larger per-capita vehicle miles traveled. Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) is included on each county profile.

In future reports, the data for vehicle miles traveled will likely reflect impacts of COVID-19 on travel, including stay-at-home orders and interruptions to freight and the supply chain.
For more information
The data contained in this report are available on
the Rural Communities Explorer:
http://oregonexplorer.info/rural

Explore more data topics by state, county and city:
http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/
CommunitiesReporter/

Oregon By the Numbers 2020 is available (while
supplies last) for free to residents of Oregon and
Siskiyou County, Calif., through The Ford Family
Foundation’s Select Books program.
http://www.tff.org/OBTN

Sign up to receive a notification when the next
edition of this report is available:
http://www.tff.org/OBTN

Send your feedback to:
OBTN@tff.org

Write a review of Oregon by the Numbers:
http://www.tff.org/OBTN

@FordFamilyFound
#OregonByTheNumbers
Like this report?
Want to learn more?

Check out Oregon’s Rural Communities Explorer and try the Communities Reporter Tool. http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/CommunitiesReporter/