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# Oregon <br> Numbers 

Key measures for Oregon and its counties
2023 EDITION

Welcome to the sixth edition of Oregon by the Numbers! This is a digital-only year for our annual indicator report. We publish printed versions in even-numbered years only, so the next printed edition will be available in 2024.

In non-print years, the production team aims to simply update data from the prior year's report. However, we are continuing to navigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on public data systems. As a result, we needed to identify replacements for four measures this year. Below is the list of changes. Readers can find more details about our choices and rationale in the report itself.

- Fifth Grade Mathematics will replace Kindergarten Ready (Letter Sounds) due to changes in assessments conducted by the Oregon Department of Education.
- Mental Health Providers will replace Good Mental Health due to changes in data collection practices at the Oregon Health Authority.
- Good or Better Health will replace Good Physical Health, again due to changes in data collection practices at the Oregon Health Authority.
- Electric Vehicle Charging Stations will replace Transit Service due to a data quality issue.

As you read this year's report, notice that we have opted to use our Data Highlight section to take a closer look at the challenges of "Defining Rural." This is an ongoing conversation at The Ford Family Foundation. It is particularly relevant this year because the U.S. Census Bureau, which serves as the source for much of the data in this report, has updated its rural definition. We include in this discussion some relevant data from the Foundation's Oregon Voices survey (orvoices.org), aiming to shine a light on what Oregonians perceive as "rural" at this time in our state's history.

We strive to improve Oregon by the Numbers with each edition. Over the years, we have opened up our review process and invited residents from all over the state to give us suggestions for the publication. The production team is always eager to receive your feedback. Please email them at obtn@tfff.org.

Thank you for being part of our continuing efforts to help all of Oregon see all of Oregon!
Sincerely,


Anne C. Kubisch

President Emeritus
The Ford Family Foundation
Roseburg, Oregon

## This report

The original concept for the Oregon by the Numbers report stemmed from a single question:

> What essential measures should all Oregon decision-makers be able to easily access for their community?

Over the years, Oregonians in a variety of fields have provided insights into this question, yielding a rich collection of indicators. All fall into one of the six topic areas that make up the current Oregon by the Numbers report, which prioritizes data available at the county level for Oregon's 36 counties.

Demographics and Land: Measures describing the people and places of each county

Community: Measures of social well-being
Education: Measures of academic achievement
Economy: Measures pertaining to enterprise, employment and income

Health: Measures of individual wellness
Infrastructure: Measures of resources supporting individual self-reliance and family livelihoods

The data assembled here builds on The Ford Family Foundation's many years of investment in the Rural Communities Explorer (RCE) (https:// oregonexplorer.info/topics/rural-communities) at Oregon State University. The RCE is a free web-based data resource that brings together numerous population-level measures in one place, with the goal of making community data readily available to residents across the state. The project has continued to evolve since the early 2000s with input from the nonprofit sector, higher education, philanthropy and rural communities themselves.

Oregon by the Numbers contains a suite of community measures across a range of topics and issues, relying extensively on secondary data from a variety of sources stored on the Communities Reporter Tool database from the RCE. Comprised of county profiles for all 36 counties, as well as corresponding summaries for each measure with rankings whenever possible, the Oregon by the Numbers report is designed to make information readily accessible and actionable.

- County profiles are designed to display data at-a-glance, readily accessible to youth and adults alike, enabling all users to learn something new about the place where they live.
- Measure summaries provide more specificity for those interested in the "why" and "how." Maps on the measure summaries show counties shaded according to their ranking (top: ranks 1-12, middle: ranks 13-24 and bottom: ranks 25-36). Whenever possible, county-level values are compared with Oregon, rural Oregon and urban Oregon.

While each measure in Oregon by the Numbers was selected with practical use in mind, the report is not a diagnostic tool. Instead, Oregon by the Numbers serves as a snapshot of the state and its counties at a particular moment in time. Because most measures here stem from governmentfunded data collection efforts, the numbers can often reflect bias and stereotypes, such as under reporting for small populations. We aim to select measures for which data is generally available in all of Oregon's 36 counties, regardless of their size, so that no county feels erased, marginalized or missing. Still, data for some measures are simply not available for a few rural counties. When sample size becomes too small, data cannot be released. We encourage users to be critical consumers of the data and hope readers will inform the production team about any issues or concerns they encounter.

## Updates and Changes for 2023

Prior to this edition, we had not changed any of the measures in Oregon by the Numbers since the 2020 edition. Meanwhile, COVID-19 has presented many data challenges for public systems. For a number of measures, we previously opted to simply repeat data, especially if that data had not updated since the pandemic. This year, however, we recognized the need to replace a few measures. We describe them below:

## Replacement Measures

Fifth Grade Mathematics will replace Kindergarten Ready (Letter Sounds). The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) has not released updated Kindergarten Readiness data since 2019 and has discontinued this particular assessment. As the agency and its education partners seek a meaningful replacement, we wanted to take this opportunity to raise awareness about how Oregon's educational systems struggle to give young people the supports and learning experiences they need to succeed in math - a known gatekeeper to college and career. Low math achievement in the elementary years often results in overall difficulty in school in later years.

Good or Better Health will replace Good Physical Health. This data is based on a selfassessment survey conducted by the Oregon Health Authority (OHA). OHA has made changes to their published analyses of this survey. The new question asks respondents to rate the quality of their health.

Mental Health Providers will replace Good Mental Health. Similar to the measure for Good Physical Health, we are making this adjustment due to changes in data analysis practices at the Oregon Health Authority. We have replaced it with a new measure that divides the population of each county by the number of Mental Health Providers, yielding a measure of the number of people in the county per provider.

Electric Vehicle Charging Stations will replace Transit Service. Our production team has been eyeing this measure for replacement for a few years due to some questions about data consistency and interpretation. We have decided to replace it with a measure showing the number of charging stations for electric vehicles in each Oregon county.

## Updated Data for Households in Financial Hardship

Our Households in Financial Hardship measure is based on United Way's ALICE research, which has historically updated in even years. The COVID-19 pandemic changed that. This year's update is the first released since 2020, and the data demonstrate the challenges ALICE households have faced as a result of COVID-19. ALICE stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained and Employed. ALICE households are headed by working adults who earn too much to qualify for many safety net programs but not enough to cover the costs of basic needs.

## Data Highlight: Defining Rural

For a number of reasons, this year's Data Highlight focuses on "defining rural." First, we want readers to maintain awareness of the multiple definitions of "rural" used in data reporting. Second, the U.S. Census Bureau recently changed its urban/rural classification criteria which directly affects one measure in this report. Third, the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regularly revises its list of Metropolitan Statistical Areas and will use data from the 2020 Census for upcoming changes. And finally, we now have data from The Ford Family Foundation's statewide listening effort, the Oregon Voices survey, to provide a window into how Oregonians from across the state perceive the rurality of the communities where they live.

As states go, Oregon is considered among the most rural. Most of the land is sparsely populated, and the majority of Oregon counties are considered rural. However, exactly which counties or areas are designated rural depends on the definition you use. As we have mentioned in prior editions of this report, there are many rural definitions, with different units of measurement (county, zip code, census tract). They are everchanging, even among federal agencies.

The U.S. Census Bureau continues to define "rural" as encompassing "all population, housing and territory not included within an urban area." Formerly, by the U.S. Census Bureau definition, an "urban" place had to have at least 2,500 people. According to the new criteria, there must be 2,000 housing units or a population of 5,000 people. As a result, more than 1,300 small cities and towns across the country that were considered urban in 2010 will now be considered rural, regardless of whether they lost population over the past decade. An example in Oregon is the town of Lakeview in Lake County. In 2010
it was urban, and in 2020 it "became" rural, even though the town only lost a few hundred residents and the county grew in population. As a result, Lake County went from having a rural population of 63.3 percent in 2010 to a rural population of 100 percent in 2020.

The Office of Management and Budget definition of rural used by the other direction. OMB uses a combination of population and commuting patterns to define Metropolitan Statistical Areas. Because of this, rural counties experiencing population increases and growing economic activity, generally considered signs of rural success, can find themselves "reclassified" as metropolitan. This pattern of shifting rural counties into the "metro" category has been going on for decades, with growing concerns about the negative narrative it perpetuates about rural America. Some researchers call it "the paradox of rural population decline."

## Oregon counties classified as "non-metro" by the Office of Management and Budget

(2023)


Over the last 40 years, OMB has reclassified hundreds of rural counties and millions of rural residents as "metro." Here in Oregon, multiple counties have had this experience - either because their largest city attained a population of 50,000 or because the proportion of the county's workers who commute to an adjacent metropolitan county exceeded 25 percent.

The irony is that the rural counties that get reclassified tend to be on the rise compared to their other non-metro counterparts reclassification bolsters the numbers for metro and appears as a decline in the summary statistics for non-metro. An example of this phenomenon in Oregon is Josephine County. These reclassifications can create misinterpretations of aggregated data, such as concern over mass migration out of rural areas, when it is simply that some counties changed status according to an official definition.

The respondents to The Ford Family Foundation's Oregon Voices survey were asked to describe the community where they live, not their county, so the rankings from the survey are affected by where respondents lived within the county. Still, because we know respondents represented a range of communities and zip codes, we can draw a few conclusions. For example, this data suggests some consensus about the counties of Washington and Multnomah being largely "urban" or "metro." However, there is much less consensus about the third county in Oregon's "tri-met" area, Clackamas County. There is similar consensus about a number of counties being primarily rural (above 75 percent of respondents in agreement). The list includes Baker, Clatsop, Coos, Columbia, Crook, Curry, Douglas, Gilliam, Grant, Harney, Hood River, Klamath, Jefferson, Josephine, Lake, Lincoln, Malheur, Morrow, Sherman, Tillamook, Umatilla, Union, Wallowa,

Percentage of Oregon Voices respondents who described their community as "rural" or "frontier" (2022)


Wasco, Wheeler. For the rest, we see more variation - counties like Benton, Deschutes, Jackson, Lane, Linn, Marion, Polk, Yamhill. Interested readers can compare these rankings with those in the measure summary for Rural Population in this report (see page 94).

## We hope the takeaway here is that the concept

 of "rural" is complex, and constantly changing. It is also more of a continuum than a binary yes or no categorization. More definitions can allow for more nuance but also more confusion. For example, when communities are trying to access rural federal funding, which definition applies? It depends. In addition, labeling a community "rural" or "urban" based on external federal agency criteria does not fully reflect the values of the people or our times. We encourage readers to stay curious about this, ask hard questions, and know that as our world and data systems continue to change, rural definitions will continue to change also.
## Oregon's Shared Fate

The Ford Family Foundation is a "rural" funder, headquartered in Roseburg, a Southern Oregon town known for its role in the state's timber industry. We are one of the very few foundations in the United States focused on championing rural issues and improving the lives of rural people and places. Our vision is that children who grow up in the small towns of Oregon and Siskiyou County, California have the family, educational and community supports they need to succeed in life.

In our own work, we find ourselves needing multiple ways of thinking about "what is rural." When it comes to defining rural for our funding purposes, we prefer to use city or town as the unit of measurement. We believe cities with 35,000 people or less not adjacent to a metropolitan area or larger city are generally rural. We make one exception for the town of Grants Pass in Josephine County, which has surpassed that population marker but remains rural to us due to its history, economy, culture and location in the region.

We try to avoid rural classification by county as much as possible. However, when we are defining rural for our data purposes, we often need to use county as a filter because that's how the data is reported. In these situations, we view 26 out of Oregon's 36 counties as "rural," seven as "hybrid" (mostly rural, but containing a city bigger than 50,000 ) and three as "urban" because the state's largest city, Portland, extends into the boundaries of all three counties. ${ }^{1}$ Especially in Oregon, we

[^0]know "rural" varies by geography - coastal communities, mountain towns, small cities located near an interstate freeway, remote areas situated hours of driving away from any urban area.

Because we have no standard or agreed-upon "rural" definition in the United States, making comparisons across communities for research and policy purposes can prove difficult. Oregon by the

## Frontier and Remote

Areas that are both sparsely populated and at great distance from more densely populated ones have unique social circumstances, especially the effort needed to access basic goods and services. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) has developed a special coding system to delineate areas into four different levels based on the travel time by car to population centers of various sizes. They are called "frontier and remote" or "FAR" codes. FAR Level 1 is at least one hour away from an urban center of 50,000 or more people, meaning residents must travel long distances to access complex medical procedures or purchase more expensive goods, such as appliances. FAR Level 4 is similarly remote, but with travel time to communities as small as 2,500 . Over 30 percent of Oregon zip codes have a FAR code associated with them.

While the FAR coding system helps policy makers get a better sense of the living conditions in geographically remote areas, the language can prove problematic, especially the word "frontier." For many people, this word has become synonymous with freedom and wide open spaces. However, for many others, this same word signifies forced removal and genocide. Both are true. We continue to learn how complicated the truth can be.

Numbers uses three definitions of "rural" depending on what level of geographic data is available.

1. Rural Population is based on the smallest level geography: census blocks. With each Decennial Census, the U.S. Census Bureau redefines what constitutes an urban area, and the remainder is classified as rural.
2. Measures that draw from the American Community Survey are similarly inspired by a population density model of rurality but determined at the census tract level. We consider less than 500 people per square mile within a census tract to be rural (see figure below).
3. All other measures are determined at the county level using the Office of Management and Budget metropolitan status described above.

Each of these calculations contains different - yet overlapping - groups of people. As a result, a household may be urban under one definition and rural under another. The same is true of a town.

An additional challenge we face in Oregon is the concentration of the state's population in a small number of counties, whereas most of the land is very sparsely populated. Not long ago, Oregon's population was roughly distributed between rural and urban with one rural resident for every one urban resident. However, as Oregon's population has grown in recent years, that growth has largely taken place in urban areas, leading to increase in urbanization and growing disparities between the state's rural and urban communities - a trend we see nationwide.

While the exact ratio varies according to the definition of rural used, Oregon's rural/urban split now stands at roughly one rural resident for every two urban residents. Regardless of whether you live in a rural or urban community, we are all Oregonians. As residents of the same state, we have one shared fate. We hope Oregon by the Numbers will help all of Oregon see all of Oregon.

## Oregon's Population Density By Census Tract



Population per Square Mile

| Less than 10 |
| :--- |
| $10-100$ |
| $100-500$ |
|  | Greater than 5,000 $\quad$.

[^1]
## Ten things to do with Oregon by the Numbers

Oregon by the Numbers is designed to be valuable to all - from legislators to the general public, from community builders to student leaders. Below are some questions to encourage exploration of this report:

1. Look at the map of the counties on the right. How many of Oregon's 36 counties have you visited?
2. Turn to the page for your "home" county and find something that makes you proud to be from that place. What is something your county could improve on?
3. Oregon becomes a more diverse state every year. Which county has the largest percentage of people of color?
4. Find the population pyramid summary on page 104. What patterns do you see related to the age of Oregon's rural and urban populations?
5. Life expectancy in Oregon varies across the state. See page 105. In which county are Oregonians expected to live the longest?
6. Oregon has nine federally recognized tribes. How many of them can you name? See a list of the tribes and learn more about their history on page 97.
7. Which industries employ the most Oregonians in each county? Take a guess and then review the data on pages 108-110.
8. In which counties does it look like students are succeeding in school? How are those counties doing on other measures related to children, such as foster care?
9. Not all Oregon counties have broadband access and even when they do, many households cannot afford it. Explore this challenge on page 134.
10. Turn to Notable Features on pages 102-103. Have you been to all the notable features in your county? Find a place you hope to visit on a future road trip.
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## BAKER COUNTY

Total population
16,539
Rural population
41\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
18

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Baker \$46,922

Oregon
$\$ 70,084$

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 52\% 8\%


* Baker City

$\star$ County seat $\bigcirc$ Largest community


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $47 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $14 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.4\%
Asian: 0.4\%
Black/African American: 1.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 2.4\%
White: 89.6\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


| Community | BAKER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.2\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.6\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 9.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 25.6 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 68.6\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 40.6\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 34.2\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 79.1\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 87.3\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 24.2\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.1\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 48.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 13.8 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,375 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$637 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 66.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 81.8\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 143 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 34.4\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 64.6\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13.5 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 11 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 15.5\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 20,590 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^2]
## BENTON COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIFE } \\ & \text { EXPECTANCY } \end{aligned}$ | $85 \text { years } 81$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land
25\% 34\%

$\star$ County seat $\bigcirc$ Largest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.4\%
Asian: 7.3\%
|Black/African American: 1.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 3.7\%
White: 79.2\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services


Food services and Professional and drinking places technical services

| Community | BENTON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 10.1\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 13.4\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 3.5 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 31.8 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 76.7\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 44.5\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 33.1\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 88.1\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 90.1\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 53.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 3.9\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 61.0\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 7.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,768 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,031 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 68.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 87.8\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 80 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 15.6\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 92.8\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 24.1 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 47 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 5.6\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 2,766 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^3]
## CLACKAMAS COUNTY

## Total population <br> 418,577

Rural population
17\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)

## 9

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY 83

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | CLACKAMAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 8.3\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 7.0\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 3.2 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 21.2 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 70.3\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 47.5\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 37.2\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 85.3\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 90.3\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 38.9\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.8\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 63.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 18.4 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,167 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,331 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 74.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 85.1\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 270 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 25.0\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 94.9\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16.1 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 219 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 5.7\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,232 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^4]
## CLATSOP COUNTY

## Total population <br> 40,720 <br> Rural population <br> 39\% <br> Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population) <br> 11 <br> FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES <br> BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Clatso
\$61,846

Oregon
\$70,084

Public land
26\% 6\%

$\star$ County seat $\bigcirc$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 1.0\%
Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 5.4\%
White: 82.9\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food services and drinking places


Accommodations
Hospitals

|  | CLATSOP | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2}$ | 30.4 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ | 31.8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{6 6 . 0} \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Voter participation |  |  |  |  |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $31.1 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 0 . 8 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $39.1 \%$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 7 . 4} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 2 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 4 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 1 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | 7.7\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3} \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{7 9 . 6 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{8 , 7 2 6}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^5]
## COLUMBIA COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| LIFE EXPECTANCY | $82$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## POPULATION BY AGE


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Public land } & \text { Develof } \\ \mathbf{9 \%} & \mathbf{1 4 \%}\end{array}$

Forest Grove District State Foresto St. Helens
(*) Collins Beach

Multnomah
$\star$ County seat
$\bigcirc$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
| Asian: 0.8\%
| Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.7\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 5.2\%
White: 86.4\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



| Community | COLUMBIA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty* $^{\text {Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) }}$ 13.7\% | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |  |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ | 31.8 |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{7 9 . 0 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 5 . 7 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 8 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 8 . 8} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 3 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 \%}$ |

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{7 8 . 7 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3} \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{5 , 1 8 4}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |
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## COOS COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.9\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 6.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.0\%
Some other race: 0.1\%
Two or more races: 5.4\%
White: 84.1\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



| Community | coos | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 14.6\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 23.3\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.0 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 26.9 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 65.2\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 34.2\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 26.5\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 70.9\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 70.8\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 20.0\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.3\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 51.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 8.0 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,151 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$730 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8.4\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 64.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 79.0\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 203 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 35.9\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 82.7\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13.8 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 45 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 14.6\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,101 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^7]
## CROOK COUNTY



MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


| LIFE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPECTANCY |  |  |  |
| 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| years |  |  |  |

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | CROOK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.5\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 15.6\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8.7 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.3 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 68.9\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 39.9\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 37.5\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 93.3\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 81.4\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 20.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.7\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 54.7\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 12.4 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,358 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$716 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 9.6\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 65.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 75.9\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 198 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 32.6\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 60.2\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 8.6 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 12 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 15.6\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,988 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |
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## CURRY COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | CURRY | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.0\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 13.3\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 6.7 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15.0 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 67.3\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 33.6\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 15.9\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 71.2\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 84.0\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4-year college degree or greater | 24.1\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.7\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 45.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 10.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,204 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$854 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.2\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 60.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 82.3\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 202 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 27.1\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 86.6\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 10.6 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 13 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 17.1\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,553 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^9]
## DESCHUTES COUNTY

Total population
194,964
Rural population
29\%
Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)

## 25

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY 84

## POPULATION BY AGE



Total land area
3,054 $\mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{2}}$


Public land
Developed/cultivated land 78\% 6\%


County seat
O Largest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 8.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 3.2\%
White: 86.0\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Food services and Ambulatory health drinking places care services

Professional and technical services

| Community | DESCHUTES | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 9.8\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 9.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4.5 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 18.7 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 72.6\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 51.1\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 39.7\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 87.6\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 87.8\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 38.7\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.3\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 63.2\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 9.9 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,026 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,042 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 84.4\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 179 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 23.2\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 93.7\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 19.8 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 104 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 5.2\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,246 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^10]
## DOUGLAS COUNTY

Total population
110,680
Rural population
40\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
13

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



|  | DOUGLAS | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Child poverty $^{*}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 \%}$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ | 30.4 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | $\mathbf{6 4 . 2} \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3} \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{7 7 . 5 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 8 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 3 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{6 . 4 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 6 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{7 7 . 3} \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

## Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{9 1 . 2 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 0 6}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^11]
## GILLIAM COUNTY



## POPULATION BY AGE




## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Public land
8\%
Developed/cultivated land

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.1\%
|Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.0\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 1.2\%
Two or more races: 3.6\%
White: 87.4\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Executive, legislative and general government


Educational services


Social assistance

| Community | GILLIAM | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.4\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 3.9\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 0.0 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 26.5 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 72.0\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 17.4\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 31.3\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 91.2\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 90.0\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 22.2\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.8\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 53.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | -28.4 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$5,763 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$751 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | ID | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 67.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 80.9\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 668 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 25.0\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 29.6\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 23.5 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 2 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 15.7\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 97,554 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## GRANT COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## Grant $\$ 51,100$

Oregon
\$70,084

Public land
62\%

$\star$ County seat $\bigcirc$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.0\%
Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 4.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.5\%
Two or more races: 3.4\%
White: 90.0\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Forestry and logging


Educational services


Hospitals

| Community | GRANT | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 14.3\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 21.2\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 10.3 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 1.2 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 75.0\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 50.0\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 23.1\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 75.9\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 92.1\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 17.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.7\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 51.9\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 6.8 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,235 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$626 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 11.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 50.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 86.4\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 214 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 20.3\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 17.2\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 14.6 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 4 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 23.8\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,011 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^12]
## HARNEY COUNTY

Total population
7,454
Rural population
44\%
Net migration, 2020-2021
Total land area
10,228 mi $^{2}$
 (per 1,000 population)
9

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES


## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land 75\% 75\% 4\%

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| 64\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13\% | 51\% |  |
| Below Poverty | Below ALICE |  |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.1\%
Asian: 0.0\%
Black/African American: 0.8\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.5\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.3\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 6.3\%
White: 86.1\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Hospitals


Food services and drinking places

| Community | HARNEY | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 10.0\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 12.6\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 20.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 10.3 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 69.5\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 41.9\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 28.2\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 77.1\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 85.6\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 15.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.1\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 53.8\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 20.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,178 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$578 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 62.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 85.2\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 138 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 39.2\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 56.4\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 9.8 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 9 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 23.0\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 15,902 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^13]
## HOOD RIVER COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Public land 71\% Developed/cultivated land

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | HOOD RIVER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 5.9\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 6.0\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4.5 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 20.8 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 68.3\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 42.6\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 32.0\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9th grade on track | 90.2\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 92.9\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 38.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.5\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 68.9\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 33.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,689 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$916 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 5.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 69.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 86.1\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 243 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 27.6\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 69.2\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 25.9 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 57 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 11.0\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 15,258 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^14]
## JACKSON COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| $\operatorname{LIFE}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPECTANCY |

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land 51\%

Developed/cultivated land
11\%

Oregon Vortex

## Medford

$\star$

- Bear Creek Greenway

Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument
$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.7\%
Asian: 1.2\%
| Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 13.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.1\%
White: 79.2\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



| Community | JACKSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.5\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 16.7\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 8.8 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 24.9 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 66.9\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 34.1\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 23.2\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 76.8\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 85.1\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 30.0\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.4\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.7\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 14.1 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,453 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$788 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 8.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 65.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 81.3\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 171 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 28.6\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 82.7\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16.6 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 108 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 10.9\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,602 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^15]
## JEFFERSON COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| LIFE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXPECTANCY |  |  |
| years |  |  |
| 78 | 0 | 0 |

## POPULATION BY AGE



LIFE
EXPECTANCY


Public land
51\%
Developed/cultivated land

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 13.0\%
Asian: 0.4\%
Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 20.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.0\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 7.7\%
White: 57.1\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educationa services


Food services and drinking places

| Community | JEFFERSON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 12.1\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 17.2\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 7.2 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15.5 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 60.1\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 30.2\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 20.0\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 84.2\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 87.4\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 20.9\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.5\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 53.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 2.0 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,291 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$775 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.7\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 72.4\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 404 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 26.2\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 62.9\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 29.0 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 31 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 18.5\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,299 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^16]
## JOSEPHINE COUNTY

Total population
87,686

Rural population

## 43\% <br> Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population) <br> 15 <br> FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES <br> BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
70\%

Developed/cultivated land 9\%


* County seat
$\bigcirc$ Largest community


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 0.9\%
Black/African American: 0.6\%
Hispanic/Latino: 7.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.0\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 4.3\%
White: $85.2 \%$

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Social assistance


Food services and drinking places


Ambulatory health care services

## Community

Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation
Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $31.1 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{7 3 . 5 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 1 . 7 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $39.1 \%$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{6 . 2 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 \%}$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 8 3 9}$ |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 5 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 \%}$ |  |

## กำ

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{7 1 . 8 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $7.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{6 , 1 8 7}$ | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^17]
## KLAMATH COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



POPULATION BY AGE


## Community

Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation
Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0} \%$ | $31.1 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{7 4 . 1 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 0 . 4 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $39.1 \%$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{6 . 7} \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 5 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 \%}$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 9 . 9 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

## กำ

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{6 9 . 2 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | $\mathbf{1 , 7 9 2}$ |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{7 , 6 5 4}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^18]
## LAKE COUNTY

## Total population <br> 8,119

Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2020-2021
Total land area 8,358 $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$
 (per 1,000 population)

## 8

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | LAKE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.1\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 18.2\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | ID | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 19.4 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 72.6\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 50.0\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 25.3\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 79.6\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 95.3\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 19.0\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.5\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 49.2\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5.2 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,617 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$666 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 10.1\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 56.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 78.0\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 394 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 19.7\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 37.3\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 5.0 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 1 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 18.4\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 9,371 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## LANE COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| LIFE <br> EXPECTANCY | $81 \pi \operatorname{men}_{\text {years }} 76$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land 58\% Developed/cultivated land 10\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

| American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 2.6\%
|Black/African American: 1.0\%
Hispanic/Latino: 9.4\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 5.3\%
White: $80.7 \%$

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services


Food services and Ambulatory health drinking places
 care services

| Community | LANE | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 13.2\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 17.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 9.3 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 28.5 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 68.9\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 39.8\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 29.0\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 80.7\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 81.3\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 32.5\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.5\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.7 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,556 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$917 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 73.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 82.8\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 99 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 26.2\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 92.3\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 20.4 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 208 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 8.3\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,201 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^19]
## LINCOLN COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
32\%

Developed/ cultivated land 7\%
$\star$ County seat
Largest community


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.0\%
Asian: 1.3\%
Black/African American: 0.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 9.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 5.6\%
White: 80.8\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food services and drinking places


Accommodation
ducational services

| Community | LINCOLN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 15.4\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 19.3\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 11.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 24.6 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 67.5\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 34.1\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 15.9\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 95.0\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 80.4\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 28.6\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 6.8\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 50.6\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 14.8 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,430 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$835 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.9\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 69.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 81.2\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 202 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 29.1\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 89.3\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 19.3 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 77 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 14.3\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 7,868 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^20]
## LINN COUNTY

Total population
127,200
Rural population
34\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
14

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY
80

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | LINN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.7\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 12.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 5.9 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 24.3 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 64.4\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 38.2\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 27.8\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 76.3\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 79.1\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 19.8\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.6\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.4\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 15.0 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,412 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$860 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 70.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 81.2\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 474 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 26.0\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 85.9\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 11.7 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 32 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 13.5\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 8,952 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^21]
## MALHEUR COUNTY

Total population
31,313
Rural population
59\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
14

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
78\%

Developed/ cultivated land
5\%
$\star$ County seat
$\bigcirc$ Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP 57\%

| $18 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.9\%
Asian: 1.0\%
Black/African American: 0.9\%


TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food services and drinking places


Justice, public order and safety activities


Educational services

## Community

Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation

| MALHEUR | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 4 . 8} \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| $\mathbf{5 3 . 7} \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 8 . 5 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 8 . 3 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{4 . 4 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 \%}$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 5}$ |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{7 2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 \%}$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{6 4 . 8 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 3 6}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^22]
## MARION COUNTY

Total population
344,037
Rural population
15\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
2

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY
years

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | MARION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 10.6\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 17.5\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 5.5 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 31.8 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 62.2\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 27.7\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 20.8\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 78.7\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 82.7\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 24.5\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.1\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 61.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 19.3 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,299 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$836 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 5.7\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 72.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 78.0\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 243 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 23.8\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 92.7\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 13.3 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 178 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 7.9\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,291 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^23]
## MORROW COUNTY

## Total population <br> 11,964

Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
29

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY
82

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | MORROW | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 9.3\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 20.5\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 6.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 18.1 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 59.7\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 28.1\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 13.1\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 91.1\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 91.9\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 10.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.6\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.3\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 16.4 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$3,095 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$691 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 3.4\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 66.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 66.2\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 105 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 29.0\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 48.2\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 9.9 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 12 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 35.4\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 17,208 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^24]
## MULTNOMAH COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| LIFE <br> EXPECTANCY | $82 \text { years } 76$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
37\%

Developed/cultivated land
42\%

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 7.6\%
Black/African American: 5.1\%
Hispanic/Latino: 12.1\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.6\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 5.5\%
White: 68.1\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Professional and technical services


| Community | MULTNOMAH | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.5\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 15.1\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 7.4 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 59.5 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 65.8\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 42.7\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 31.7\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 83.3\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 83.4\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 47.2\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.6\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 70.0\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 21.6 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,241 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,331 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 7.5\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 71.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 83.3\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 100 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 23.2\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 99.0\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 34.5 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 402 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 1.6\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 3,628 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^25]
## POLK COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



| LIFE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPECTANCY |  |  |  |
|  | 82 | 0 | 0 |

## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land
12\% 43\%


## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.5\%
Asian: 1.8\%
|Black/African American: 0.6\%
$\square$ Hispanic/Latino: 14.7\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.0\%

## White: 76.6\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Nursing and residential care facilities

| Community | POLK | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 9.6\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 13.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4.6 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 15.2 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 67.4\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 26.7\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 23.5\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 75.4\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 86.0\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 30.8\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.8\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.4\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 5.6 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,140 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$836 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.3\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 73.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 80.2\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 338 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 24.7\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 83.1\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 12.3 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 28 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 7.1\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 5,082 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^26]
## SHERMAN COUNTY

Total population
1,784
Rural population
100\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
17

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $48 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.5\%
Asian: 0.2\%
Black/African American: 0.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.9\%
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.4\%
Some other race: 0.0\%
Two or more races: 4.9\%
White: 86.9\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Professional and technical services


Gasoline stations


Food services and drinking places

## Community

| SHERMAN | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2 . 3} \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 . 9}$ | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| $\mathbf{7 3 . 2} \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{5 9 . 1 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 4 . 0 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{9 5 . 7 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 1 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{4 . 3} \%$ |  | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 3 . 8} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{- 5 . 5}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 4 3}$ |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | ID | $6.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0 \%}$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 0 . 9 \%}$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | ID | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0} \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

## Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{7 2 , 8 3 8}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## TILLAMOOK COUNTY

Total population
27,129
Rural population
61\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
14

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



POPULATION BY AGE


Total land area
$1,332 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$


Developed/ cultivated land
7\%
$\star$ County seat
Largest community
Public land
52\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

| $49 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Below <br> Poverty | Below <br> ALICE |

## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
|Asian: 0.6\%
Black/African American: 0.4\%
Hispanic/Latino: 10.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 3.8\%
White: 83.3\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Food manufacturing



Educational services

Community
Food insecurity
Child poverty*
Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.)
Index crime (per 1,000 pop.)
Voter participation

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $31.1 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 5 . 9 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 7 . 9 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 1 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 5 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 \%}$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 7 0 8}$ |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | 7.7\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{5 7 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 3} \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{3 2 6}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

## กำ

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{9 , 5 0 6}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^27]
## UMATILLA COUNTY

Total population
79,509
Rural population
32\%
Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
4

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Total land area
3,232 $\mathbf{~ m i}^{2}$

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 2.6\%
Asian: 0.8\%
Black/African American: 0.9\%
Hispanic/Latino: 27.8\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.1\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 3.4\%
White: 64.0\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Agriculture \& forestry support activity

|  | UMATILLA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0} \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 \%}$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Child poverty* | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{2 3 . 7}$ | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) <br> Voter participation | $\mathbf{5 3 . 3} \%$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0} \%$ | $31.1 \%$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{8 4 . 9} \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{8 6 . 2 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ | $35.0 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 2 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6 \%}$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{7 . 6 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 7 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 1 . 2 \%}$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{2 3 1}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 \%}$ |  |

## กำ

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3} \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{9 , 2 1 9}$ | 5,160 | 10,059 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

[^28]
## UNION COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME


LIFE
EXPECTANCY
years

## POPULATION BY AGE




## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP



## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.8\%
Hispanic/Latino: 5.1\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.5\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: $2.6 \%$
White: 88.0\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Food services and drinking places


Transportation equipment manufacturing

| Community | UNION | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.7\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.5\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 4.5 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 21.6 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 66.7\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 33.9\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 34.2\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 74.4\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 92.0\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 24.2\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.6\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 57.6\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 14.5 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,100 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$680 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.3\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 73.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 82.5\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 243 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 24.6\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 73.8\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 16.5 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 11 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 14.2\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 11,005 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^29]
## WALLOWA COUNTY

Total population
7,330
Rural population
100\%
Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)

## 9

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP +

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Public land 58\%

Developed/cultivated land 3,151 mi²


## LIFE <br> EXPECTANCY <br> 84 <br>  <br> 78 <br> years

## POPULATION BY AGE



## Community

| WALLOWA | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 \%}$ | $9.8 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 . 4 \%}$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| ID | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| $\mathbf{7 4 . 1 \%}$ | $66.9 \%$ |  |  |

## Education

| 3rd grade reading | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5 \%}$ | $39.4 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5th grade math | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 \%}$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| 9th grade on track | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1 \%}$ | $82.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | $\mathbf{9 2 . 5 \%}$ | $84.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| 4-year college degree or greater | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 1 \%}$ |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{5 . 4 \%}$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{5 7 . 9} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |  |  |  |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | $\mathbf{4 . 3 \%}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | $\mathbf{6 6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Good or better health | $\mathbf{8 7 . 5 \%}$ | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 \%}$ | $24.8 \%$ |  |

## กำ

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0} \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{6 , 0 6 9}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## WASCO COUNTY



## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | WASCO | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 10.8\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 12.5\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 7.6 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 32.4 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 63.4\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 30.3\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 20.7\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 82.8\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 84.3\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 21.0\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 5.2\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.5\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 13.3 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,500 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$892 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 9.6\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 67.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 80.9\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 166 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 25.0\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 72.2\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 21.9 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 23 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 19.3\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 15,211 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^30]
## WASHINGTON COUNTY

## Total population <br> 596,969

Rural population

## 5\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)

## 3

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land Developed/cultivated land 15\% 46\%

$\star$ County seat
O Largest community

HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.3\%


Black/African American: 2.2\%
Hispanic/Latino: 17.1\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.4\%
Two or more races: 5.2\%
White: 63.7\%

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES



Computer and electronic product manufacturing


Administrative and support services


Food services and drinking places

| Community | WASHINGTON | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 8.0\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 8.8\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 2.6 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 20.7 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 68.0\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd grade reading | 46.7\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5th grade math | 38.5\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 89.5\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 90.0\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 45.6\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.4\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 69.0\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 17.0 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$2,039 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,331 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.5\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 75.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 84.3\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 197 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 18.8\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 97.0\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 18.6 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 351 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 2.2\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 2,896 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |

[^31]
## WHEELER COUNTY

## Total population

## 1,477

Rural population
100\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)
12

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## BP CLUS COQ COW GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



Wheeler \$46,648

Oregon
\$70,084


Public land
30\%

Developed/cultivated land
$\mathbf{1 , 7 1 7} \mathbf{~ m i}^{\mathbf{2}}$


## LIFE

EXPECTANCY
Population size limits data by sex

## POPULATION BY AGE



| Community | WHEELER | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 11.6\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 24.3\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 0.0 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 0.0 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 79.4\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 49.6\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 29.4\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 th grade on track | 39.7\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5-year high school graduation rate | 46.6\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 19.6\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |

## Economy

| Unemployment rate | $\mathbf{3 . 3} \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor force participation rate | $\mathbf{4 5 . 0} \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | $\mathbf{3 0 . 3}$ | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 2 9}$ |
| Rent costs (1 bedroom/1 bath) | $\mathbf{\$ 5 8 5}$ |  |  |  |

## Health

| Low weight births | ID | $6.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | ID | $71.0 \%$ |  |
| Good or better health | ID | $82.3 \%$ |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ | 158 |  |
| Tobacco use | ID | $24.8 \%$ |  |

Infrastructure

| Broadband availability | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1 \%}$ | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ | 19.9 | 16.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 5}$ | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 \%}$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 9 4}$ | 5,160 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 6}$ |

* Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

ID: Insufficient data per source

## YAMHILL COUNTY

## Total population <br> 107,024

Rural population
26\%

Net migration, 2020-2021 (per 1,000 population)

## 5

FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES
BP CLUS COQ COW
GR KLA SLZ UMA WSP

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME



## POPULATION BY AGE



Public land 16\% 45\%


HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP


## POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
Asian: 1.5\%
|Black/African American: 0.7\%
Hispanic/Latino: 16.3\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.3\%
Some other race: 0.2\%
Two or more races: 4.4\%
White: 75.8\%

TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES


Educational services


Crop production


Food services and drinking places

| Community | YAMHILL | OREGON | RURAL | URBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food insecurity | 9.8\% | 9.8\% |  |  |
| Child poverty* | 14.2\% | 14.0\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% |
| Foster care rate (per 1,000 pop.) | 3.2 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Index crime (per 1,000 pop.) | 17.0 | 30.4 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| Voter participation | 67.6\% | 66.9\% |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| 3 rd grade reading | 38.0\% | 39.4\% | 33.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 5 th grade math | 30.8\% | 30.0\% | 25.0\% | 31.1\% |
| 9 9th grade on track | 83.9\% | 82.8\% | 81.6\% | 83.1\% |
| 5 -year high school graduation rate | 87.7\% | 84.5\% | 82.3\% | 85.6\% |
| 4 -year college degree or greater | 28.4\% | 35.0\% | 25.5\% | 39.1\% |
| Economy |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment rate | 4.7\% | 5.2\% |  |  |
| Labor force participation rate | 60.0\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Job growth (per 1,000 pop.) | 19.1 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 15.7 |
| Property tax (per person) | \$1,327 | \$1,767 | \$1,451 | \$1,829 |
| Rent costs ( 1 bedroom/1 bath) | \$1,331 |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |  |
| Low weight births | 6.6\% | 6.9\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Vaccination rate, 2-year-olds | 76.0\% | 71.0\% |  |  |
| Good or better health | 83.4\% | 82.3\% |  |  |
| Mental health (pop. per provider) | 197 | 158 |  |  |
| Tobacco use | 24.9\% | 24.8\% |  |  |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |  |
| Broadband availability | 81.7\% | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Child care (slots per 100 children) | 15.1 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 20.6 |
| Electric vehicle charging stations | 71 | 2,395 | 603 | 1,792 |
| Mobile homes | 9.9\% | 7.5\% | 15.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Vehicle miles traveled (per capita) | 4,184 | 5,160 | 10,059 | 4,206 |
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## Data by Measure

## Measure selection

The process of selecting measures for the first edition (2018) of Oregon by the Numbers began with a comprehensive analysis of existing indicator reports followed by a juried ranking for the final selection. The process prioritized inclusion of broadly desired measures, while simultaneously leveraging the knowledge of experts to ensure policy relevance. To begin, researchers at Oregon State University used a crossover matrix of measures and reports to generate a short list of candidate measures for Oregon by the Numbers (based on data already available in the Communities Reporter Tool). They then reviewed the short list to determine causal or covariant relationships of the measures, prioritizing those with central influence and/or those that best function as overall indicators of societal progress.

From there, the list went to the Board of Directors and staff of The Ford Family Foundation for review and revision based on internal research and input from rural residents. Each year, the production team updates the text and measures based on reader feedback and new data that may have become available, populating each topic area with a sufficient number and type of measures to ensure value for all of Oregon's 36 counties. While the production team prioritizes continuity of measures from one year to the next, we also want to ensure that the report incorporates the best available data. So, there will be changes from time to time.

## American Community Survey

The federal government has collected information about the U.S. population since 1790 with the Decennial Census. Currently, the Decennial Census asks a very limited number of questions. To provide more timely information across a broader range of topics, the American Community Survey (ACS) has been collected on a continuous basis since 2005. In 2009, the U.S. Census Bureau released the first annual installment of data from the ACS about
the economic, demographic, housing and social characteristics of America's people and households. Due to the population sizes of many of Oregon's counties, Oregon by the Numbers presents fiveyear estimates from ACS. Starting in 2022, we can see the influence of COVID-19 in ACS data, but it is important to recognize that these five-year estimates include multiple years of data collected before the pandemic.

While ACS data provide unprecedented access to information about key issues for real-time decisionmaking, there are limitations. Some people do not respond to the ACS due to concerns about confidentiality. The results are estimates based on an ongoing survey, not direct counts, and therefore subject to statistical error. The U.S. Census Bureau publishes the margin of error associated with each ACS estimate. For small communities and/ or for sub-groups within the population (e.g. age categories, racial/ethnic groups), the margin of error can be large relative to the estimate. In this report, any necessary cautions about margin of error are noted on the measure summary page. Despite the shortcomings noted above, ACS results help determine how our government systems distribute billions of dollars each year. The data also suggests opportunities for community engagement.

## A note about rounding

In this report, we often round the data from their original sources. Generally, we round to the nearest tenth place. However, county profiles have some values rounded to the nearest whole number to help with readability. This practice can occasionally yield confusing results when comparing values on county profiles to measure pages. For example, a value of 2.49 rounds to 2.5 when rounded to the tenth place but rounds to 2 when rounded to the nearest whole number.

## TOTAL POPULATION

## Definition: The total number of individuals living within a county's designated boundaries.

Population size provides insight into the nature of a county's residential communities. This measure is also important for tracking growth or declines within a specific county and making comparisons across counties. Changes in population occur through births, deaths and migration. Shifts in total population can affect funding from state and federal agencies. Such changes also suggest the extent to which the county is attracting new residents or whether the economy is prospering or struggling. As a measure, Total Population is useful in planning for current and future community needs.


Top third Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 4,207,177 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 2,992,341 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 1,214,836 |
| 1 | Multnomah | 810,011 |
| 2 | Washington | 596,969 |
| 3 | Clackamas | 418,577 |
| 4 | Lane | 380,532 |
| 5 | Marion | 344,037 |
| 6 | Jackson | 221,662 |
| 7 | Deschutes | 194,964 |
| 8 | Linn | 127,200 |
| 9 | Douglas | 110,680 |
| 10 | Yamhill | 107,024 |
| 11 | Benton | 94,667 |
| 12 | Josephine | 87,686 |
| 13 | Polk | 86,347 |
| 14 | Umatilla | 79,509 |
| 15 | Klamath | 68,899 |
| 16 | Coos | 64,619 |
| 17 | Columbia | 52,381 |
| 18 | Lincoln | 49,866 |
| 19 | Clatsop | 40,720 |
| 20 | Malheur | 31,313 |
| 21 | Tillamook | 27,129 |
| 22 | Wasco | 26,603 |
| 23 | Union | 26,255 |
| 24 | Crook | 24,300 |
| 25 | Jefferson | 24,232 |
| 26 | Hood River | 23,915 |
| 27 | Curry | 23,234 |
| 28 | Baker | 16,539 |
| 29 | Morrow | 11,964 |
| 30 | Lake | 8,119 |
| 31 | Harney | 7,454 |
| 32 | Wallowa | 7,330 |
| 33 | Grant | 7,225 |
| 34 | Gilliam | 1,954 |
| 35 | Sherman | 1,784 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 1,477 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## RURAL POPULATION

Definition: The percentage of people who reside outside of areas designated as urban in a given county, according to the U.S. Census Bureau.

Rural communities, especially those defined as rural according to the U.S. Census guidelines, present significantly different contexts from their urban and suburban counterparts. The strengths, needs and capacities of rural communities differ accordingly. In Oregon, where there are only 12 cities with population greater than 50,000, knowing the proportion of the rural population in a county allows decisionmakers to develop more balanced strategies to support different types of communities (see "Oregon's Shared Fate," page 8).


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Grant | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Lake | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Morrow | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Sherman | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wallowa | 100.0\% |
| 1 | Wheeler | 100.0\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 67.0\% |
| 9 | Tillamook | 60.7\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 58.9\% |
| 11 | Curry | 51.8\% |
| 11 | Hood River | 51.8\% |
| 13 | Crook | 49.8\% |
| 14 | Harney | 44.4\% |
| 15 | Josephine | 43.1\% |
| 16 | Union | 42.9\% |
| 17 | Baker | 41.4\% |
| 18 | Columbia | 41.2\% |
| 19 | Douglas | 40.4\% |
| 20 | Clatsop | 39.1\% |
| 21 | Coos | 38.1\% |
| 22 | Lincoln | 38.0\% |
| 23 | Klamath | 37.8\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 34.8\% |
| 25 | Linn | 34.2\% |
| 26 | Umatilla | 31.7\% |
| 27 | Deschutes | 29.2\% |
| 28 | Yamhill | 26.5\% |
| 29 | Jackson | 20.6\% |
| 30 | Polk | 20.4\% |
|  | Oregon | 19.5\% |
| 31 | Benton | 19.4\% |
| 32 | Lane | 18.0\% |
| 33 | Clackamas | 17.1\% |
| 34 | Marion | 15.4\% |
| 35 | Washington | 5.5\% |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.3\% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, Table P2, 2020, updated decennially. Released 2022.

## NET MIGRATION

Definition: Net migration is the change in population per 1,000 residents due to people moving in or out of a given area over a specified time period.

Positive net migration means more people are moving into a county than are leaving, while a negative value means more people are moving out of a county than moving in. It is important for businesses and local leaders to understand net migration in order to anticipate the county's future demands. Population change resulting from migration requires different resources than change caused by births and deaths. Understanding the various contributors to population change is important for long-range planning. Net migration is typically calculated using a Decennial Census year as the starting point, so earlier years following a Census (shorter time periods) may show more extreme changes than would be seen across a longer time period.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crook | 31.9 |
| 2 | Morrow | 29.3 |
| 3 | Curry | 26.5 |
| 4 | Deschutes | 24.7 |
| 5 | Gilliam | 24.3 |
| 6 | Lincoln | 18.4 |
| 7 | Jefferson | 18.3 |
| 8 | Baker | 17.8 |
| 9 | Sherman | 17.5 |
| 10 | Polk | 16.1 |
| 11 | Josephine | 15.1 |
| 12 | Linn | 14.4 |
| 13 | Tillamook | 14.2 |
| 14 | Malheur | 13.8 |
| 15 | Douglas | 12.7 |
| 16 | Wheeler | 12.4 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 12.1 |
| 17 | Coos | 12.1 |
| 18 | Clatsop | 11.2 |
| 19 | Klamath | 9.8 |
| 20 | Columbia | 9.5 |
| 21 | Clackamas | 9.3 |
| 22 | Wallowa | 9.2 |
| 23 | Harney | 8.9 |
| 24 | Lake | 8.3 |
|  | Oregon | 7.2 |
| 25 | Union | 6.4 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.3 |
| 26 | Yamhill | 5.5 |
| 27 | Jackson | 5.4 |
| 28 | Grant | 5.3 |
| 29 | Multnomah | 5.2 |
| 30 | Umatilla | 4.1 |
| 31 | Washington | 3.4 |
| 32 | Lane | 2.6 |
| 33 | Marion | 1.5 |
| 34 | Wasco | 0.6 |
| 35 | Hood River | -4.8 |
| 36 | Benton | -12.6 |

Source: Portland State University,
Population Research Center, Annual Population Report, 2020-2021, Migration since 2010, updated annually. Released 2022.

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

## Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.

BP
Burns Paiute Tribe

Cow Creek Band of
Umpqua Tribe

SIZ

## CLUS

Confederated Tribes of Coos,
Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians

GR
Confederated Tribes of
Grande Ronde

Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation

COQ Coquille Indian Tribe

KLA Klamath Tribes

WSP

Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs

Each county profile displays the collection of nine ovals shown above. An oval is shaded dark green if, according to the definition above, a federally recognized tribe has presence in the county. The oval is shaded gray if it does not. Researchers at Oregon State University assembled this data for the first Oregon by the Numbers report. We acknowledge that this definition tends to underrepresent the presence of people in Oregon who identify as Indigenous or American Indian as well as Indian-affiliated organizations that are not associated with one of the federally recognized tribes. This visualization does not represent the ancestral or traditional homelands of these tribes. We continue to seek an alternative and welcome input from readers with suggestions.

Dozens of Indigenous tribes and bands once inhabited the land now known as Oregon - and did so successfully for thousands of years until the arrival of Europeans in the 18th century. In 1797, the U.S. Congress passed the Northwest Ordinance, establishing the goal of westward expansion. Despite language indicating that lands and property would never be taken from native people without their consent, history would prove otherwise. Ultimately, European settlers acquired nearly 3 million acres of Indian land in Oregon.

The term "federally recognized" refers to the government-to-government relationships between the United States and Indian tribes,
managed in large part by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. In 1954, during the Termination Era, the U.S. Congress terminated every tribe and band in Western Oregon. The 1970s and 1980s served as an era of rebuilding tribal communities and land bases. The majority of Oregon's nine federally recognized tribes were restored through legal action at the federal level during that time.

Estimates suggest between 45,000 and 50,000 Native Americans presently reside in Oregon; there are Indians in every county. A significant portion of Native Americans in Oregon are affiliated with tribes other than those that are federally recognized as being located in Oregon. In addition, federally recognized tribes in neighboring states may have land and relationships within Oregon that are not represented here. For example, the Nez Perce tribe, federally recognized in Idaho, has bought back thousands of acres of traditional homeland in Wallowa County, Oregon.

This report highlights Oregon's federally recognized Indian tribes as an indication of potential government-to-government or other official relationships in Oregon's counties and across the state. Each federally recognized tribe is a distinct sovereign nation, with its own political and legal status described in the U.S. Constitution. Tribal members are U.S. citizens as well as citizens of their tribal nations.

## FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

Definition: The presence of designated services and/or reservation lands associated with one of the nine federally recognized tribes of Oregon.



Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe
 of Siletz



Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians


## GR Confederated Tribes of Grande Ronde



Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation

COQ Coquille Indian Tribe


KLA Klamath Tribes


WSP Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs


Source: Rural Communities Explorer,
2022. Released 2022.

## LARGEST COMMUNITY

## Definition: The name, location and population of the largest community within a county's boundaries.

The largest community is identified by comparing Census-designated population clusters within the county. Highlighting the size and location of the largest community within a county provides insight into how population is distributed within a particular county. Often the largest community in a county is also the county seat, but not always. The table on the right shows both. Two metropolitan areas are the largest communities for multiple counties: Portland for Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington; Salem for Marion and Polk. Because of the way Oregon's population is concentrated in a few counties, many of Oregon's largest communities do not appear in the county table to the right because there is a community of greater size in the same county. Examples include Beaverton, Gresham, Hillsboro and Springfield. The map below shows how Oregon's 100 largest communities are distributed across the state.

100 Largest Communities in Oregon


| County | Largest community | Population | County seat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | Baker City | 9,998 | Baker City |
| Benton | Corvallis | 59,407 | Corvallis |
| Clackamas | Portland | 647,176 | Oregon City |
| Clatsop | Astoria | 10,166 | Astoria |
| Columbia | St. Helens | 13,808 | St. Helens |
| Coos | Coos Bay | 15,921 | Coquille |
| Crook | Prineville | 10,611 | Prineville |
| Curry | Brookings | 6,706 | Gold Beach |
| Deschutes | Bend | 97,042 | Bend |
| Douglas | Roseburg | 23,551 | Roseburg |
| Gilliam | Condon | 763 | Condon |
| Grant | John Day | 1,773 | Canyon City |
| Harney | Burns | 2,726 | Burns |
| Hood River | Hood River | 8,210 | Hood River |
| Jackson | Medford | 84,894 | Medford |
| Jefferson | Madras | 7,381 | Madras |
| Josephine | Grants Pass | 38,902 | Grants Pass |
| Klamath | Klamath Falls | 21,710 | Klamath Falls |
| Lake | Lakeview | 2,566 | Lakeview |
| Lane | Eugene | 173,278 | Eugene |
| Lincoln | Newport | 9,655 | Newport |
| Linn | Albany | 55,776 | Albany |
| Malheur | Ontario | 11,465 | Vale |
| Marion | Salem | 174,193 | Salem |
| Morrow | Boardman | 3,748 | Heppner |
| Multnomah | Portland | 647,176 | Portland |
| Polk | Salem | 174,193 | Dallas |
| Sherman | Wasco | 486 | Moro |
| Tillamook | Tillamook | 5,183 | Tillamook |
| Umatilla | Hermiston | 19,141 | Pendleton |
| Union | La Grande | 13,212 | La Grande |
| Wallowa | Enterprise | 2,245 | Enterprise |
| Wasco | The Dalles | 15,942 | The Dalles |
| Washington | Portland | 647,176 | Hillsboro |
| Wheeler | Fossil | 533 | Fossil |
| Yamhill | McMinnville | 34,224 | McMinnville |
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## MEDIAN INCOME

## Definition: The household income value at which 50 percent of households in the county earn less and 50 percent earn more.

Median household income in this report provides a measure of the typical or "middle" income level in a county as well as the overall economic well-being for residents. One drawback is that this measure treats all households equally regardless of the number of people in the household. The size of the household has an impact on how the income is distributed to individuals. However, median household income remains a broadly used measure. It is useful in tracking income growth, which is associated with the ability of residents to meet their needs, and comparing economic conditions across counties.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Washington | \$92,025 |
| 2 | Clackamas | \$88,517 |
| 3 | Hood River | \$77,815 |
| 4 | Multnomah | \$76,290 |
| 5 | Deschutes | \$74,082 |
| 6 | Columbia | \$73,909 |
| 7 | Yamhill | \$73,409 |
| 8 | Polk | \$70,238 |
|  | Oregon | \$70,084 |
| 9 | Benton | \$68,732 |
| 10 | Marion | \$64,880 |
| 11 | Crook | \$64,820 |
| 12 | Linn | \$63,313 |
| 13 | Umatilla | \$63,123 |
| 14 | Clatsop | \$61,846 |
| 15 | Morrow | \$61,659 |
| 16 | Jackson | \$61,020 |
| 17 | Jefferson | \$59,748 |
| 18 | Lane | \$59,016 |
| 19 | Wallowa | \$57,891 |
| 20 | Wasco | \$57,853 |
| 21 | Curry | \$57,553 |
| 22 | Tillamook | \$55,730 |
| 23 | Union | \$55,227 |
| 24 | Lincoln | \$54,961 |
| 25 | Sherman | \$53,606 |
| 26 | Coos | \$52,548 |
| 27 | Douglas | \$52,479 |
| 28 | Josephine | \$51,733 |
| 29 | Gilliam | \$51,705 |
| 30 | Grant | \$51,100 |
| 31 | Klamath | \$50,790 |
| 32 | Lake | \$50,685 |
| 33 | Malheur | \$47,906 |
| 34 | Baker | \$46,922 |
| 35 | Wheeler | \$46,648 |
| 36 | Harney | \$42,095 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
American Community Survey, Table B19013, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## HOUSEHOLDS IN FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

## Definition: The percentage of households in a county with annual incomes below what is needed to cover the basic costs of living in the 21st century.

ALICE is an acronym that stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed. ALICE describes the growing number of households in our country that do not earn enough income to afford the basic necessities. The ALICE research group, supported by United Way, defines basic household necessities as: food, housing, transportation, health care, child care and a smartphone plan. More than 20 states now participate as partners in the ALICE effort. Oregon is among them.

The ALICE research quantifies the number of households at the county level that are experiencing daily financial hardship. The research helps raise awareness about a growing population that does not qualify as poor but faces impossible choices day to day. ALICE households cannot save or build wealth because they do not earn enough to survive financially in our modern economy.

Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, Oregon saw unemployment fall to historic lows while gross domestic product (GDP) grew. However, the costs associated with basic needs, especially housing, increased sharply while wages increased modestly, with significant consequences for working families. Using 2021 data, researchers estimated that income in 44 percent of Oregon's more than 1.6 million households was not enough to afford basic necessities. A closer look indicates that 12 percent of these households were living below the Federal Poverty Level and another 32 percent were ALICE households. Due to challenges related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the ALICE data did not update in 2022 as scheduled, but it did for 2023.

To the right is a ranked table listing the percentage of "households in financial hardship" (poverty + ALICE) for each Oregon county. In addition, a set of maps shows how the ALICE threshold varies across the state. Geography is a determining factor, as is the age of the people in the household. Households in which the head of household is 65 or older tend to require less income to meet basic needs than households in which the head of household is younger than 65.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Harney | 63.1\% |
| 2 | Malheur | 56.8\% |
| 3 | Josephine | 55.4\% |
| 4 | Crook | 54.1\% |
| 5 | Wheeler | 54.0\% |
| 6 | Douglas | 51.7\% |
| 7 | Wasco | 49.7\% |
| 8 | Tillamook | 49.0\% |
| 9 | Klamath | 47.5\% |
| 10 | Baker | 47.4\% |
| 11 | Columbia | 47.3\% |
| 11 | Sherman | 47.3\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 47.1\% |
| 13 | Yamhill | 46.4\% |
| 14 | Multnomah | 46.2\% |
| 15 | Coos | 46.0\% |
| 16 | Lane | 45.9\% |
| 17 | Lake | 45.8\% |
| 18 | Morrow | 45.7\% |
| 19 | Linn | 45.5\% |
| 20 | Clatsop | 45.4\% |
| 21 | Umatilla | 45.1\% |
| 22 | Jefferson | 44.8\% |
| 23 | Gilliam | 44.7\% |
| 24 | Benton | 44.5\% |
|  | Oregon | 43.9\% |
| 25 | Union | 43.4\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 43.3\% |
| 26 | Marion | 43.0\% |
| 27 | Grant | 42.9\% |
| 28 | Jackson | 42.7\% |
| 29 | Lincoln | 42.1\% |
| 30 | Washington | 41.3\% |
| 31 | Curry | 41.2\% |
| 32 | Polk | 39.0\% |
| 33 | Wallowa | 38.3\% |
| 34 | Clackamas | 38.0\% |
| 35 | Hood River | 36.2\% |
| 36 | Deschutes | 34.6\% |

Source: United Way ALICE Project, 2021, Released 2023.

If head of household is:
under age 65

\$40,000


2
\$75,000

## NOTABLE FEATURES

## Definition：Prominent natural and community features that serve as points of interest for residents and visitors．

Researchers from Oregon State University Extension Service generated the original data for this indicator in 2018．Using multiple tools，they examined the online presence of named attractions within a particular county．In 2022，county－level reviewers made some modifications to the original data set based on their place－based experience，better reflecting what residents of a particular county find notable．Features reported here tend to be popular destinations for locals as well as tourists．These county destinations can help stimulate local economies through tourism dollars and civic engagement．In Oregon，parks figure prominently as county features．Readers of Oregon by the Numbers are encouraged to contact the production team with their recommendations for Notable Features to include in future editions of this report．

## Baker

Anthony Lakes Ski Area
Hells Canyon National Recreation Area
Wallowa Whitman National Forest

## Benton

Alsea Falls Recreational Site
Corvallis Watershed Wild Animal Refuge
Marys Peak

## Clackamas

Mount Hood National Forest
Trillium Lake
Willamette Falls

## Clatsop

Fort Stevens State Park
Haystack Rock
Lewis and Clark National and State Historical Parks

## Columbia

Collins Beach
Forest Grove District State Forest
Multnomah Channel

## Coos

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area
Shore Acres State Park
Sunset Bay State Park

## Crook

Ochoco National Forest
Ochoco Wayside State Park
Prineville Reservoir Wildlife Area

Curry
Cape Blanco State Park
Floras Lake State Natural Area
Rogue River－Siskiyou National Forest
Deschutes
Lava River Cave
Mount Bachelor Ski Area
Smith Rock State Park

## Douglas

Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area Umpqua National Forest Wildlife Safari

Gilliam
Cottonwood Canyon Recreation Area
Earl Snell Memorial Park
J S Burres State Park

Grant
John Day Fossil Beds National Monument
Malheur National Forest
Umatilla National Forest

## Harney

Malheur National Forest
Malheur National Wildlife Refuge
Steens Mountain

Hood River
Eagle Creek
Mount Hood Meadows Ski Area
Mount Hood National Forest

## Jackson

Bear Creek Greenway
Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument
Oregon Vortex

## Jefferson

Black Butte
The Cove Palisades State Park
Lake Billy Chinook

## Josephine

Indian Mary Park
Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserves
Rogue River-Siskiyou National Park

## Klamath

Crater Lake National Park
Lake of the Woods
Winema National Forest

## Lake

Derrick Cave
Fremont National Forest
Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge

## Lane

Row River Trail
Sea Lion Caves
Three Sisters

## Lincoln

D River State Recreation Site
Oregon Coast Aquarium
Yaquina Bay State Recreation Site

## Linn

Middle Santiam Wilderness
Mount Washington
Willamette National Forest

## Malheur

Lake Owyhee State Park
Ontario State Recreation Site
Succor Creek Natural Area

## Marion

Enchanted Forest
Oregon State Capitol
Silver Falls State Park

## Morrow

Umatilla National Forest
Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge
Willow Creek Dam

## Multnomah

Mark O Hatfield Wilderness
Mount Hood National Forest
Multnomah Falls

## Polk

Basket Slough National Wildlife Refuge
Sarah Helmick State Recreation Site
Valley of the Giants

## Sherman

Cottonwood Canyon State Park
Deschutes River State Recreation Area
John Day Dam

## Tillamook

Cape Meares National Wildlife Refuge
Nehalem Bay State Park
Tillamook State Forest

## Umatilla

Bridge Creek Wildlife Area
Hat Rock State Park
Umatilla National Forest

## Union

Eagle Cap Mountain Peak
Mount Emily Recreation Area
Umatilla National Forest

## Wallowa

Wallowa Lake State Park
Wallowa Whitman National Forest
Zumwalt Prairie

## Wasco

Celilo Falls
Deschutes River Recreation Site
Mount Hood National Forest

## Washington

L L Stub Stewart State Park
Tualatin Hills Nature Park
Tualatin River National Wildlife Refuge

## Wheeler

Ochoco National Forest
Painted Hills
Umatilla National Forest

## Yamhill

Bald Peak State Scenic Viewpoint
Mount Hebo
Pheasant Creek Falls

## POPULATION PYRAMID

The population pyramid graphically represents the population. It can provide insights into the distribution of age groups, differences between men and women, population growth patterns, and the demand for specific types of goods and services. While named for their typically pyramidal shape, the graphs demonstrate that many counties in Oregon have larger populations in higher age categories and will not follow this shape. The graphs on this page

## Definition: Population pyramids show the distribution of a population by age and by sex.

 show population distributions for the entire state (right) followed by separate distributions for rural and urban populations (below).

Oregon


## Urban



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01001, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## LIFE EXPECTANCY

## Definition: The average number of years a person can expect to live.

Life expectancy is one measure of overall community health. Increasingly, researchers report strong connections between life expectancy and geography because so many social, environmental, behavioral and biological factors contribute to this outcome. Better access to health care and healthy activities can increase life expectancy. Higher rates of risky and unhealthy behaviors can lower life expectancy. Diet, tobacco and alcohol use, frequency of preventive health behaviors, employment in dangerous industries, and biological factors like cardiovascular disease can contribute to differences in life expectancy for men and women. In Oregon, men have a life expectancy of 77 years, while women have a life expectancy of 82 years. The separate calculations for men and women appear on most county profiles earlier in this report. Separate calculations may not be possible in counties with smaller populations.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | 83.1 |
| 2 | Benton | 83.0 |
| 3 | Washington | 82.4 |
| 4 | Hood River | 81.7 |
| 5 | Deschutes | 81.2 |
| 6 | Sherman | 81.1 |
| 7 | Gilliam | 81.0 |
| 8 | Clackamas | 80.9 |
| 9 | Wallowa | 80.6 |
| 10 | Morrow | 79.8 |
| 11 | Grant | 79.7 |
|  | Oregon | 79.4 |
| 12 | Polk | 79.4 |
| 13 | Yamhill | 79.3 |
| 14 | Marion | 79.0 |
| 14 | Multnomah | 79.0 |
| 16 | Columbia | 78.9 |
| 16 | Crook | 78.9 |
| 18 | Jackson | 78.7 |
| 18 | Lane | 78.7 |
| 20 | Clatsop | 78.3 |
| 21 | Union | 78.1 |
| 22 | Malheur | 77.8 |
| 23 | Umatilla | 77.7 |
| 24 | Tillamook | 77.6 |
| 25 | Lake | 77.4 |
| 25 | Lincoln | 77.4 |
| 27 | Harney | 77.3 |
| 28 | Wasco | 77.2 |
| 29 | Baker | 77.0 |
| 29 | Linn | 77.0 |
| 31 | Douglas | 76.3 |
| 32 | Coos | 76.2 |
| 32 | Josephine | 76.2 |
| 34 | Curry | 75.9 |
| 34 | Jefferson | 75.9 |
| 36 | Klamath | 75.1 |
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## RACE/ETHNICITY

## Definition: The percentage of a county's total population in each racial and ethnic group.

Oregon is becoming an increasingly diverse state. Understanding the distribution of racial and ethnic groups within communities is essential for promoting equitable opportunity and for better serving marginalized populations. Tracking race and ethnicity is important for building inclusive communities, implementing programs and accessing funding. Reporting race and ethnicity data may be required under state and federal statute. Rural and urban breakouts and the maps to the right provide additional insights about the distribution of racial and ethnic groups across the state.

Federal and state policies and economic forces have shaped Oregon's demographics since the state's founding. From Black exclusion laws enacted in the 1800 s to immigration and labor policy to tribal termination, the racial and ethnic makeup of our state has a complicated history worthy of further learning and exploration.

## Oregon

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.8\%
Asian: 4.4\%
Black/African American: 1.8\%
Hispanic/Latino: 13.6\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.4\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.7\%
White: 74.1\%

## Rural

American Indian/Alaska Native: 1.3\%
Asian: 1.1\%
Black/African American: 0.5\%
Hispanic/Latino: 10.1\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.2\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 4.0\%
White: 82.4\%

## Urban

American Indian/Alaska Native: 0.6\%
Asian: 5.7\%
Black/African American: 2.3\%
Hispanic/Latino: 14.9\%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 0.5\%
Some other race: 0.3\%
Two or more races: 5.0\%

## White: 70.7\%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B03002, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## RACE/ETHNICITY

These maps show counties where the population of each race/ethnicity group is above the state average.


American Indian/Alaska Native


Black/African American


Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander


Two or more races


Asian


Hispanic/Latino


Some other race


White

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

## Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.

Identifying the top three employment industries in each county provides insight about the structure of the local economy. Employment industries have different average wage levels, so the top three figure prominently in determining the total wage earnings of a county. Examining this indicator across the state and between counties suggests notable employment trends and could point to policy opportunities.

Each county profile shows the top three employment industries in ranked order from left to right.
*This measure does not portray the full employment picture. For example, there can be significant job losses in a particular sector and it may still show as a major employment industry. In addition, employment data is not available when fewer than four establishments of that industry are in a county. Therefore, if a county has a single business that is one of the top three employers in the county, such as a hospital, it may not appear here.


## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition: The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county, using the 3-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.


Food and
beverage stores



Food
manufacturing

Forestry and
logging

## TOP EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRIES

Definition：The three industries with the greatest number of employees in each county， using the 3－digit North American Industry Classification System（NAICS）codes．


Justice，public order and safety activities



Transportation equipment manufacturing


Source：Oregon Employment
Department，Economic Data，2021，
updated annually．Released 2022.


Wood product manufacturing


## LAND AREA

Definition: The total land area within the boundary of each county, measured in square miles.

Every 10 years, the U.S. Census Bureau uses Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology to delineate jurisdictional and census boundaries. As a measure, land area communicates the amount of physical space a county has as a resource. It also suggests the scope of demand for infrastructure on local governments and provides insight about the distances residents may need to travel to access employment, education, resources or services.


Top third
Middle third Bottom third

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 98,379 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 76,756 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 21,623 |
| 1 | Harney | 10,228 |
| 2 | Malheur | 9,930 |
| 3 | Lake | 8,358 |
| 4 | Klamath | 6,137 |
| 5 | Douglas | 5,133 |
| 6 | Lane | 4,722 |
| 7 | Grant | 4,528 |
| 8 | Umatilla | 3,232 |
| 9 | Wallowa | 3,151 |
| 10 | Baker | 3,088 |
| 11 | Deschutes | 3,054 |
| 12 | Crook | 2,987 |
| 13 | Jackson | 2,801 |
| 14 | Wasco | 2,395 |
| 15 | Linn | 2,309 |
| 16 | Morrow | 2,048 |
| 17 | Union | 2,039 |
| 18 | Curry | 1,989 |
| 19 | Clackamas | 1,883 |
| 20 | Coos | 1,806 |
| 21 | Jefferson | 1,792 |
| 22 | Wheeler | 1,716 |
| 23 | Josephine | 1,641 |
| 24 | Tillamook | 1,332 |
| 25 | Gilliam | 1,223 |
| 26 | Lincoln | 1,195 |
| 27 | Marion | 1,191 |
| 28 | Clatsop | 1,084 |
| 29 | Sherman | 831 |
| 30 | Polk | 744 |
| 31 | Washington | 727 |
| 32 | Yamhill | 718 |
| 33 | Columbia | 689 |
| 34 | Benton | 678 |
| 35 | Hood River | 533 |
| 36 | Multnomah | 465 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
Gazetteer Files, Decennial Census,
2020, updated decennially.
Released 2021.

## PUBLICLY OWNED LANDS

Definition: The percentage of total land area in a county publicly held rather than privately owned.

Publicly owned land area is calculated by aggregating lands managed by federal, state and local governments. Much of the western United States is held publicly - protected for natural resources, open space and recreational areas. The economic history of Oregon is closely tied to the state's large proportion of public lands, especially federal lands that comprise more than 50 percent of the state. These publicly held lands have significant economic impacts on the Oregon counties that contain them.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 78.4\% |
| 2 | Deschutes | 77.8\% |
| 3 | Lake | 75.8\% |
| 4 | Harney | 75.0\% |
| 5 | Hood River | 71.3\% |
| 6 | Josephine | 69.9\% |
| 7 | Grant | 61.6\% |
| 8 | Klamath | 60.3\% |
| 9 | Lane | 58.4\% |
| 10 | Wallowa | 58.2\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 57.5\% |
|  | Oregon | 55.7\% |
| 11 | Curry | 55.2\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 54.3\% |
| 13 | Baker | 52.0\% |
| 14 | Douglas | 51.9\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 51.7\% |
| 16 | Crook | 50.7\% |
| 17 | Jefferson | 50.6\% |
| 18 | Jackson | 50.5\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 49.7\% |
| 19 | Union | 48.4\% |
| 20 | Wasco | 43.8\% |
| 21 | Linn | 39.2\% |
| 22 | Multnomah | 37.1\% |
| 23 | Marion | 34.0\% |
| 24 | Lincoln | 31.7\% |
| 25 | Coos | 29.9\% |
| 26 | Wheeler | 29.5\% |
| 27 | Clatsop | 26.3\% |
| 28 | Umatilla | 26.3\% |
| 29 | Benton | 24.8\% |
| 30 | Morrow | 15.9\% |
| 31 | Yamhill | 15.7\% |
| 32 | Washington | 14.9\% |
| 33 | Sherman | 13.0\% |
| 34 | Polk | 12.5\% |
| 35 | Columbia | 9.0\% |
| 36 | Gilliam | 8.3\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Forestry: Land Management Layer, 2023, collected annually. Released 2023.

## DEVELOPED OR CULTIVATED LAND

Definition: The percentage of total land cover classified as developed or cultivated (includes pasture) according to the National Land Cover Database.

This measure tracks the conversion and designation of land for human purposes. Over time, shifts change the provision of ecosystem services such as climate regulation, natural hazard regulation, water purification, waste management, pollination or pest control. The conversion of land to developed or cultivated status also can indicate economic growth in a county. However, growth always comes with additional consequences that communities must continuously examine and balance.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Marion | 46.9\% |
| 2 | Washington | 46.0\% |
| 3 | Yamhill | 44.9\% |
| 4 | Sherman | 44.8\% |
| 5 | Polk | 42.7\% |
| 6 | Multnomah | 42.4\% |
| 7 | Benton | 34.1\% |
| 8 | Umatilla | 33.3\% |
| 9 | Morrow | 30.6\% |
| 10 | Gilliam | 29.1\% |
| 11 | Linn | 26.8\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 21.5\% |
| 13 | Columbia | 14.3\% |
| 14 | Union | 14.1\% |
| 15 | Wasco | 11.5\% |
| 16 | Jackson | 11.1\% |
|  | Oregon | 10.7\% |
| 17 | Lane | 10.2\% |
| 18 | Hood River | 9.6\% |
| 19 | Josephine | 9.2\% |
| 20 | Douglas | 8.8\% |
| 21 | Klamath | 8.1\% |
| 22 | Jefferson | 7.8\% |
| 23 | Baker | 7.5\% |
| 24 | Coos | 6.9\% |
| 25 | Lincoln | 6.8\% |
| 26 | Tillamook | 6.7\% |
| 27 | Clatsop | 5.9\% |
| 27 | Deschutes | 5.9\% |
| 29 | Malheur | 4.5\% |
| 30 | Harney | 4.4\% |
| 31 | Wallowa | 4.1\% |
| 32 | Crook | 4.0\% |
| 33 | Curry | 3.5\% |
| 34 | Lake | 3.2\% |
| 35 | Wheeler | 1.5\% |
| 36 | Grant | 1.4\% |
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## FOOD INSECURITY

Definition: The estimated percentage of individuals who have limited or uncertain access to adequate food.

Food insecurity has profound negative impacts on the well-being and success of individuals, families and communities. It is one way to represent households at social and economic risk. Because there are no direct measures of food insecurity available at the county level, researchers for Feeding America have developed an estimate using a mathematical model that combines food security data from the Current Population Survey with other household demographic and economic information. Food-secure households have consistent access to safe and nutritional foods without needing to resort to emergency food sources, scavenging, stealing or other coping strategies.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Lincoln | $15.4 \%$ |
| 2 | Klamath | $14.7 \%$ |
| 3 | Coos | $14.6 \%$ |
| 4 | Grant | $14.3 \%$ |
| 5 | Josephine | $13.5 \%$ |
| 6 | Baker | $13.2 \%$ |
| 6 | Lane | $13.2 \%$ |
| 8 | Lake | $13.1 \%$ |
| 9 | Curry | $13.0 \%$ |
| 10 | Clatsop | $12.5 \%$ |
| 10 | Douglas | $12.5 \%$ |
| 12 | Malheur | $12.4 \%$ |
| 13 | Jefferson | $12.1 \%$ |
| 14 | Tillamook | $11.8 \%$ |
| 15 | Linn | $11.7 \%$ |
| 15 | Union | $11.7 \%$ |
| 17 | Wheeler | $11.6 \%$ |
| 18 | Crook | $11.5 \%$ |
| 18 | Jackson | $11.5 \%$ |
| 18 | Multnomah | $11.5 \%$ |
| 21 | Gilliam | $11.4 \%$ |
| 22 | Sherman | $11.2 \%$ |
| 23 | Umatilla | $11.0 \%$ |
| 24 | Wallowa | $10.9 \%$ |
| 25 | Wasco | $10.8 \%$ |
| 26 | Marion | $10.6 \%$ |
| 27 | Columbia | $10.3 \%$ |
| 28 | Benton | $10.1 \%$ |
| 29 | Harney | $10.0 \%$ |
| 35 | Oregon | $9.8 \%$ |
| 30 | Deschutes | $9.8 \%$ |
| 30 | Yamhill | $9.8 \%$ |
| 32 | Polk | $9.6 \%$ |
| 33 | Morrow | $9.3 \%$ |
|  | Clackamas | $8.3 \%$ |
| 35 Rood River | $5.9 \%$ |  |

Source: Feeding America, Map the Meal Gap, 2020, updated annually. Released 2022.

## CHILD POVERTY

## Definition: The child poverty rate is the percentage of individuals in a county under 18 years of age and living in families whose income falls below the Federal Poverty Level for their family size.

Child poverty is a key predictor of negative social outcomes and increased demand for government services. Poverty can limit a child's social, educational and personal development due to reduced access to basic necessities and opportunities. Children experiencing poverty are less likely to be successful in school, are more likely to have negative health outcomes, have greater difficulty accessing the job market later in life, and are more likely to commit crimes, all of which result in harm to the individual and a greater demand for public services. High rates of child poverty can limit community progress.

* Because people younger than 18 years old are a relatively narrow group of the population, the child poverty estimates for small counties (population under 10,000) may have wide margins of error, and readers should use caution when interpreting these statistics. Furthermore, we choose to not rank counties that have estimates with greater levels of uncertainty. For this edition, we do not include Gilliam, Harney, Hood River, Lake, Sherman or Wallowa Counties in the summary rankings for this measure. Their estimates are still available on their county profiles.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 24.8\% |
| 2 | Klamath | 24.4\% |
| 3 | Wheeler | 24.3\% |
| 4 | Coos | 23.3\% |
| 5 | Josephine | 22.1\% |
| 6 | Grant | 21.2\% |
| 7 | Morrow | 20.5\% |
| 7 | Tillamook | 20.5\% |
| 9 | Douglas | 19.6\% |
| 10 | Lincoln | 19.3\% |
| 11 | Lane | 17.8\% |
| 12 | Marion | 17.5\% |
| 13 | Jefferson | 17.2\% |
| 14 | Jackson | 16.7\% |
| 14 | Umatilla | 16.7\% |
| 16 | Crook | 15.6\% |
| 17 | Multnomah | 15.1\% |
| 18 | Baker | 14.6\% |
| 19 | Union | 14.5\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 14.2\% |
| 20 | Yamhill | 14.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 14.0\% |
| 21 | Polk | 13.8\% |
| 22 | Columbia | 13.7\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 13.6\% |
| 23 | Benton | 13.4\% |
| 24 | Curry | 13.3\% |
| 25 | Linn | 12.8\% |
| 26 | Wasco | 12.5\% |
| 27 | Clatsop | 10.9\% |
| 28 | Deschutes | 9.8\% |
| 29 | Washington | 8.8\% |
| 30 | Clackamas | 7.0\% |
|  | Gilliam | ID |
|  | Harney | ID |
|  | Hood River | ID |
|  | Lake | ID |
|  | Sherman | ID |
|  | Wallowa | ID |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
American Community Survey, Table S1701, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

ID: Insufficient data for comparison/ranking

## FOSTER CARE

Definition: The number of children in a county in foster care per 1,000 residents under 18 years of age.

Children may enter Oregon's foster care system when they cannot safely remain at home. Children in foster care may have experienced physical abuse (including sexual abuse), neglect (including abandonment), and/or mental abuse. In addition to the trauma of instability at home, children in foster care often encounter instability in many facets of their life - education, for example. They may experience compromised educational outcomes compared to their peers, including but not limited to attendance, test scores and high school completion. Data reported here are point-in-time counts conducted on the same date across the state. Children must rely on the adults in their communities to protect them from abuse and neglect.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Malheur | 26.9 |
| 2 | Harney | 20.1 |
| 3 | Douglas | 14.0 |
| 4 | Lincoln | 11.1 |
| 5 | Coos | 11.0 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 10.5 |
| 6 | Umatilla | 10.5 |
| 7 | Grant | 10.3 |
| 8 | Klamath | 9.9 |
| 9 | Josephine | 9.7 |
| 10 | Lane | 9.3 |
| 11 | Baker | 9.1 |
| 12 | Columbia | 9.0 |
| 13 | Jackson | 8.8 |
| 14 | Crook | 8.7 |
| 15 | Clatsop | 8.4 |
| 16 | Wasco | 7.6 |
| 17 | Multnomah | 7.4 |
| 18 | Jefferson | 7.2 |
| 19 | Curry | 6.7 |
|  | Oregon | 6.4 |
| 20 | Morrow | 6.1 |
| 21 | Linn | 5.9 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 5.6 |
| 22 | Marion | 5.5 |
| 22 | Tillamook | 5.5 |
| 24 | Polk | 4.6 |
| 25 | Deschutes | 4.5 |
| 25 | Hood River | 4.5 |
| 25 | Union | 4.5 |
| 28 | Benton | 3.5 |
| 29 | Clackamas | 3.2 |
| 29 | Yamhill | 3.2 |
| 31 | Washington | 2.6 |
| 32 | Gilliam | 0.0 |
| 32 | Sherman | 0.0 |
| 32 | Wheeler | 0.0 |
|  | Lake | ID |
|  | Wallowa | ID |

Source: Oregon Department
of Human Services, Population
Research Center at Portland State University, 2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

ID: Insufficient data per source

## INDEX CRIME

Definition: The annual number of index crime offenses per 1,000 residents in a county. Index crimes include willful murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft and arson.

Crime rates are a measure of the relative safety of an area, but crime also has important social and economic influences on communities. High rates of crime are associated with population mobility, weaker attachment of residents to their community, less local involvement, and lower home values. The index crime rate is created to provide a standard measure of particularly important crimes against people and property across the United States. Tracking crime informs law enforcement operations, public safety budgeting and local community development efforts.

| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 59.5 |
| 2 | Sherman | 40.9 |
| 3 | Wasco | 32.4 |
| 4 | Benton | 31.8 |
| 4 | Marion | 31.8 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 31.8 |
|  | Oregon | 30.4 |
| 6 | Clatsop | 30.2 |
| 7 | Lane | 28.5 |
| 8 | Klamath | 28.4 |
| 9 | Coos | 26.9 |
| 10 | Gilliam | 26.5 |
| 11 | Douglas | 26.3 |
| 12 | Baker | 25.6 |
| 13 | Jackson | 24.9 |
| 14 | Lincoln | 24.6 |
| 15 | Linn | 24.3 |
| 16 | Umatilla | 23.7 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 23.2 |
| 17 | Josephine | 21.9 |
| 18 | Union | 21.6 |
| 19 | Clackamas | 21.2 |
| 20 | Hood River | 20.8 |
| 21 | Washington | 20.7 |
| 22 | Lake | 19.4 |
| 23 | Tillamook | 18.8 |
| 24 | Deschutes | 18.7 |
| 25 | Morrow | 18.1 |
| 26 | Yamhill | 17.0 |
| 27 | Jefferson | 15.5 |
| 28 | Malheur | 15.2 |
| 28 | Polk | 15.2 |
| 30 | Curry | 15.0 |
| 31 | Columbia | 12.9 |
| 32 | Crook | 11.3 |
| 33 | Harney | 10.3 |
| 34 | Wallowa | 10.0 |
| 35 | Grant | 1.2 |
| 36 | Wheeler | 0.0 |

Source: Oregon State Police,
Population Research Center at
Portland State University, 2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

## VOTER PARTICIPATION

## Definition: The percentage of registered voters who participated in the 2022 biennial general elections.

Voter participation has long served as a secondary measure of social capital. The relationship between voting and social connections has been heavily researched, with little consensus. In the absence of an alternative measure, voter participation continues as an important proxy for civic engagement and community social capital. This in turn reflects community capacity. The state of Oregon has implemented multiple policies over the years to increase voter participation, such as mail-in ballots and automatic voter registration. The state reports some of the highest voter participation rates in the country.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wheeler | 79.4\% |
| 2 | Benton | 76.7\% |
| 3 | Grant | 75.0\% |
| 4 | Wallowa | 74.1\% |
| 5 | Sherman | 73.2\% |
| 6 | Deschutes | 72.6\% |
| 6 | Lake | 72.6\% |
| 8 | Gilliam | 72.0\% |
| 9 | Clackamas | 70.3\% |
| 9 | Tillamook | 70.3\% |
| 11 | Harney | 69.5\% |
| 12 | Crook | 68.9\% |
| 12 | Lane | 68.9\% |
| 14 | Baker | 68.6\% |
| 15 | Hood River | 68.3\% |
| 16 | Washington | 68.0\% |
| 17 | Yamhill | 67.6\% |
| 18 | Lincoln | 67.5\% |
| 19 | Polk | 67.4\% |
| 20 | Curry | 67.3\% |
|  | Oregon | 66.9\% |
| 21 | Jackson | 66.9\% |
| 22 | Columbia | 66.8\% |
| 23 | Union | 66.7\% |
| 24 | Clatsop | 66.0\% |
| 25 | Multnomah | 65.8\% |
| 26 | Coos | 65.2\% |
| 27 | Linn | 64.4\% |
| 28 | Douglas | 64.2\% |
| 29 | Wasco | 63.4\% |
| 30 | Josephine | 63.2\% |
| 31 | Marion | 62.2\% |
| 32 | Klamath | 61.2\% |
| 33 | Jefferson | 60.1\% |
| 34 | Morrow | 59.7\% |
| 35 | Malheur | 53.7\% |
| 36 | Umatilla | 53.3\% |

[^36]
## THIRD GRADE READING

Definition: The percentage of third graders who meet or exceed required reading scores on state standardized tests.

In third grade, students are expected to begin reading independently, if they are not doing so already. They are making the shift from learning to read to reading to learn. For the rest of their schooling, students' ability to read confidently and proficiently will directly impact their likelihood of learning successfully in every subject area. Bridging the reading achievement gap becomes harder as students get older, so third grade serves as a critical point for assessing needs and getting students the supports they need. Research shows that reading scores at younger grades predict measures of future academic success, especially high school graduation rates. Standardized test scores provide one window into a student's early reading abilities and a community's need to provide reading supports for children in general.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sherman | 59.1\% |
| 2 | Deschutes | 51.1\% |
| 3 | Grant | 50.0\% |
| 3 | Lake | 50.0\% |
| 5 | Wheeler | 49.6\% |
| 6 | Wallowa | 48.5\% |
| 7 | Clackamas | 47.5\% |
| 8 | Washington | 46.7\% |
| 9 | Benton | 44.5\% |
| 10 | Multnomah | 42.7\% |
| 11 | Hood River | 42.6\% |
| 12 | Harney | 41.9\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 40.7\% |
| 13 | Baker | 40.6\% |
| 14 | Crook | 39.9\% |
| 15 | Lane | 39.8\% |
|  | Oregon | 39.4\% |
| 16 | Linn | 38.2\% |
| 17 | Yamhill | 38.0\% |
| 18 | Columbia | 34.5\% |
| 19 | Coos | 34.2\% |
| 20 | Jackson | 34.1\% |
| 20 | Lincoln | 34.1\% |
| 22 | Malheur | 34.0\% |
| 23 | Union | 33.9\% |
| 24 | Curry | 33.6\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 33.5\% |
| 25 | Josephine | 32.8\% |
| 26 | Klamath | 32.0\% |
| 27 | Tillamook | 31.9\% |
| 28 | Douglas | 30.6\% |
| 29 | Wasco | 30.3\% |
| 30 | Jefferson | 30.2\% |
| 31 | Clatsop | 30.1\% |
| 32 | Umatilla | 28.5\% |
| 33 | Morrow | 28.1\% |
| 34 | Marion | 27.7\% |
| 35 | Polk | 26.7\% |
| 36 | Gilliam | 17.4\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, 2021-2022, updated annually. Released 2022.

## FIFTH GRADE MATH

 required mathematics scores on state standardized tests．By fifth grade，students should have been given the opportunity to learn the core mathematical ideas that will allow them to access advanced coursework in middle school and high school．Students at this age are expected to be problem solvers，capable of applying the four mathematical operations to a variety of familiar and unfamiliar situations．This measure helps raise awareness about how Oregon＇s educational systems struggle to give young people the supports and learning experiences they need to succeed in math．We know that if this pattern continues，these will be the same students who are most likely to struggle with their first algebra course，putting them at risk for leaving high school without a diploma．


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sherman | 51．9\％ |
| 2 | Deschutes | 39．7\％ |
| 3 | Washington | 38．5\％ |
| 4 | Crook | 37．5\％ |
| 5 | Clackamas | 37．2\％ |
| 6 | Baker | 34．2\％ |
| 6 | Union | 34．2\％ |
| 8 | Benton | 33．1\％ |
| 9 | Hood River | 32．0\％ |
| 10 | Multnomah | 31．7\％ |
| 11 | Gilliam | 31．3\％ |
|  | Urban Oregon | 31．1\％ |
| 12 | Yamhill | 30．8\％ |
| 13 | Wallowa | 30．2\％ |
|  | Oregon | 30．0\％ |
| 14 | Umatilla | 29．6\％ |
| 15 | Wheeler | 29．4\％ |
| 16 | Lane | 29．0\％ |
| 17 | Harney | 28．2\％ |
| 18 | Linn | 27．8\％ |
| 19 | Malheur | 26．8\％ |
| 20 | Coos | 26．5\％ |
| 20 | Klamath | 26．5\％ |
| 22 | Josephine | 25．5\％ |
| 23 | Lake | 25．3\％ |
|  | Rural Oregon | 25．0\％ |
| 24 | Tillamook | 24．7\％ |
| 25 | Polk | 23．5\％ |
| 26 | Jackson | 23．2\％ |
| 27 | Grant | 23．1\％ |
| 28 | Marion | 20．8\％ |
| 29 | Wasco | 20．7\％ |
| 30 | Jefferson | 20．0\％ |
| 31 | Columbia | 19．5\％ |
| 32 | Douglas | 19．3\％ |
| 33 | Clatsop | 16．9\％ |
| 34 | Curry | 15．9\％ |
| 34 | Lincoln | 15．9\％ |
| 36 | Morrow | 13．1\％ |

Source：Oregon Department of
Education，2021－2022，updated annually．Released 2022.

## NINTH GRADE ON TRACK

Definition: The percentage of ninth graders who have attended school regularly and successfully completed all required courses during their first year of high school.

The ninth grade academic year serves as a pivotal point of transition for students. Being academically on track in ninth grade predicts future academic success, especially a greater likelihood of high school completion across all demographics. Students who are not on track at the end of ninth grade start tenth grade behind, making them less likely to graduate on time or perhaps at all. Identifying students who need extra support early in their high school careers allows for more timely interventions. As a state, Oregon is an early implementer of the "ninth grade on track" indicator as part of its high school accountability system.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lincoln | 95.0\% |
| 2 | Crook | 93.3\% |
| 3 | Wallowa | 93.1\% |
| 4 | Gilliam | 91.2\% |
| 5 | Morrow | 91.1\% |
| 6 | Hood River | 90.2\% |
| 7 | Washington | 89.5\% |
| 8 | Malheur | 88.5\% |
| 9 | Benton | 88.1\% |
| 10 | Deschutes | 87.6\% |
| 11 | Tillamook | 85.9\% |
| 12 | Clackamas | 85.3\% |
| 13 | Umatilla | 84.9\% |
| 14 | Jefferson | 84.2\% |
| 15 | Sherman | 84.0\% |
| 16 | Yamhill | 83.9\% |
| 17 | Multnomah | 83.3\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 83.1\% |
|  | Oregon | 82.8\% |
| 18 | Wasco | 82.8\% |
| 19 | Douglas | 81.6\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 81.6\% |
| 20 | Clatsop | 80.8\% |
| 21 | Lane | 80.7\% |
| 22 | Lake | 79.6\% |
| 23 | Baker | 79.1\% |
| 24 | Columbia | 79.0\% |
| 25 | Marion | 78.7\% |
| 26 | Harney | 77.1\% |
| 27 | Jackson | 76.8\% |
| 28 | Linn | 76.3\% |
| 29 | Grant | 75.9\% |
| 30 | Polk | 75.4\% |
| 31 | Union | 74.4\% |
| 32 | Klamath | 74.1\% |
| 33 | Josephine | 73.5\% |
| 34 | Curry | 71.2\% |
| 35 | Coos | 70.9\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 39.7\% |

Source: Oregon Department of Education, 2021-2022, updated annually. Released 2022.

## FIVE-YEAR HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION RATE

Definition: The percentage of students in a high school cohort who earned a standard high school diploma within five years of starting high school.

High school graduation rate indicates a community's well-being as well as the skill of its workforce. Over the last few decades, the gap in wages between those with a high school diploma and those without one has provided strong incentive for students to complete high school. Still, across Oregon, a significant group of students require more time to graduate. The reasons are many - from family struggles to academic issues. Such students need adequate support to complete their secondary education in a timely fashion. Students who do not achieve this benchmark will likely face significant challenges attaining adult milestones such as gaining employment or continuing their education. Tracking the five-year high school graduation rate provides communities with a clearer measure of high school completion. It also encourages policies and practices that will support students who need more time to finish their high school requirements.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sherman | 95.7\% |
| 2 | Lake | 95.3\% |
| 3 | Hood River | 92.9\% |
| 4 | Wallowa | 92.5\% |
| 5 | Grant | 92.1\% |
| 6 | Union | 92.0\% |
| 7 | Morrow | 91.9\% |
| 8 | Clackamas | 90.3\% |
| 9 | Benton | 90.1\% |
| 10 | Gilliam | 90.0\% |
| 10 | Washington | 90.0\% |
| 12 | Malheur | 88.3\% |
| 13 | Tillamook | 87.9\% |
| 14 | Deschutes | 87.8\% |
| 15 | Yamhill | 87.7\% |
| 16 | Jefferson | 87.4\% |
| 17 | Baker | 87.3\% |
| 18 | Umatilla | 86.2\% |
| 19 | Polk | 86.0\% |
| 20 | Columbia | 85.7\% |
| 21 | Clatsop | 85.6\% |
| 21 | Harney | 85.6\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 85.6\% |
| 23 | Jackson | 85.1\% |
|  | Oregon | 84.5\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 84.3\% |
| 25 | Curry | 84.0\% |
| 26 | Multnomah | 83.4\% |
| 27 | Marion | 82.7\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 82.3\% |
| 28 | Josephine | 81.7\% |
| 29 | Crook | 81.4\% |
| 30 | Lane | 81.3\% |
| 31 | Klamath | 80.4\% |
| 31 | Lincoln | 80.4\% |
| 33 | Linn | 79.1\% |
| 34 | Douglas | 77.5\% |
| 35 | Coos | 70.8\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 46.6\% |

Source: Oregon Department of
Education, 2020-2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

## FOUR-YEAR COLLEGE DEGREE OR GREATER

Definition: The percentage of the county population, age 25 or older, that has earned a four-year or other more advanced college degree. Individuals included are those with a four-year (bachelor's) degree, a master's degree, a professional degree or a doctorate.

Those who earn a four-year college degree or higher generally experience increased lifetime earnings, enhanced worker benefits, more social mobility and improved health. This measure is an important indicator of human capital at the county level. It is frequently used to describe a community's labor force for purposes of economic development.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 53.4\% |
| 2 | Multnomah | 47.2\% |
| 3 | Washington | 45.6\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 39.1\% |
| 4 | Clackamas | 38.9\% |
| 5 | Deschutes | 38.7\% |
| 6 | Hood River | 38.4\% |
|  | Oregon | 35.0\% |
| 7 | Lane | 32.5\% |
| 8 | Polk | 30.8\% |
| 9 | Jackson | 30.0\% |
| 10 | Lincoln | 28.6\% |
| 11 | Yamhill | 28.4\% |
| 12 | Wallowa | 27.6\% |
| 13 | Clatsop | 25.8\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 25.5\% |
| 14 | Marion | 24.5\% |
| 15 | Baker | 24.2\% |
| 15 | Union | 24.2\% |
| 17 | Curry | 24.1\% |
| 18 | Tillamook | 22.4\% |
| 19 | Gilliam | 22.2\% |
| 20 | Klamath | 21.1\% |
| 21 | Wasco | 21.0\% |
| 22 | Jefferson | 20.9\% |
| 23 | Crook | 20.4\% |
| 24 | Coos | 20.0\% |
| 25 | Linn | 19.8\% |
| 26 | Wheeler | 19.6\% |
| 27 | Lake | 19.0\% |
| 28 | Douglas | 18.8\% |
| 29 | Umatilla | 18.2\% |
| 30 | Josephine | 18.1\% |
| 31 | Columbia | 18.0\% |
| 31 | Sherman | 18.0\% |
| 33 | Grant | 17.4\% |
| 34 | Harney | 15.4\% |
| 35 | Malheur | 14.1\% |
| 36 | Morrow | 10.4\% |

Top third Middle third Bottom third

American Community Survey, Table DP02, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

## Definition: The percentage of the population who do not have a job, are currently available for work and are actively seeking work.

Unemployment has an impact on the individuals who are without work, their families and their communities. The purchasing power of those workers is lost, as are the goods and services they might have produced. People who are unemployed are also at a higher risk of social challenges. The unemployment rate serves as both a measure of labor availability and an overall indicator of a county's economic health. While labor availability is an important factor in economic development, high rates of unemployment are considered unfavorable.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lincoln | 6.8\% |
| 2 | Crook | 6.7\% |
| 2 | Curry | 6.7\% |
| 2 | Grant | 6.7\% |
| 2 | Klamath | 6.7\% |
| 6 | Jefferson | 6.5\% |
| 7 | Coos | 6.3\% |
| 8 | Josephine | 6.2\% |
| 9 | Clatsop | 6.0\% |
| 10 | Columbia | 5.8\% |
| 10 | Douglas | 5.8\% |
| 12 | Linn | 5.6\% |
| 12 | Multnomah | 5.6\% |
| 12 | Union | 5.6\% |
| 15 | Lake | 5.5\% |
| 15 | Lane | 5.5\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 5.5\% |
| 18 | Jackson | 5.4\% |
| 18 | Wallowa | 5.4\% |
| 20 | Deschutes | 5.3\% |
|  | Oregon | 5.2\% |
| 21 | Umatilla | 5.2\% |
| 21 | Wasco | 5.2\% |
| 23 | Baker | 5.1\% |
| 23 | Harney | 5.1\% |
| 23 | Marion | 5.1\% |
| 26 | Clackamas | 4.8\% |
| 26 | Gilliam | 4.8\% |
| 26 | Polk | 4.8\% |
| 29 | Yamhill | 4.7\% |
| 30 | Morrow | 4.6\% |
| 31 | Hood River | 4.5\% |
| 32 | Malheur | 4.4\% |
| 32 | Washington | 4.4\% |
| 34 | Sherman | 4.3\% |
| 35 | Benton | 3.9\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 3.3\% |

[^37]
## LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE

Definition: The ratio between the size of the civilian labor force and the overall population 16 years of age and older. People in the labor force are those who are employed or are actively seeking work.

The labor force participation rate estimate a county's active work force. It measures the supply side of the labor market, including both those currently working and those seeking work. The labor force participation rate helps detect discouraged unemployed workers during economic downturns and in regions that are economically depressed. Higher rates of labor force participation are generally viewed favorably. However, a wide range of factors, such as the age composition of the population or the availability of social safety net programs, can affect this measure in ways that warrant careful interpretation with respect to context. The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a national decline in the labor force participation rate from which the country is still recovering.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 70.0\% |
| 2 | Washington | 69.0\% |
| 3 | Hood River | 68.9\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 65.7\% |
| 4 | Clackamas | 63.8\% |
| 5 | Deschutes | 63.2\% |
|  | Oregon | 62.5\% |
| 6 | Marion | 61.5\% |
| 7 | Benton | 61.0\% |
| 8 | Wasco | 60.5\% |
| 9 | Linn | 60.4\% |
| 9 | Polk | 60.4\% |
| 11 | Lane | 60.3\% |
| 12 | Yamhill | 60.0\% |
| 13 | Columbia | 58.8\% |
| 14 | Wallowa | 57.9\% |
| 15 | Jackson | 57.7\% |
| 16 | Umatilla | 57.6\% |
| 16 | Union | 57.6\% |
| 18 | Clatsop | 57.4\% |
| 19 | Morrow | 57.3\% |
| 20 | Crook | 54.7\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 54.7\% |
| 21 | Gilliam | 53.8\% |
| 21 | Harney | 53.8\% |
| 21 | Sherman | 53.8\% |
| 24 | Jefferson | 53.3\% |
| 25 | Grant | 51.9\% |
| 25 | Klamath | 51.9\% |
| 27 | Coos | 51.3\% |
| 28 | Douglas | 50.6\% |
| 28 | Lincoln | 50.6\% |
| 30 | Malheur | 50.5\% |
| 30 | Tillamook | 50.5\% |
| 32 | Josephine | 49.5\% |
| 33 | Lake | 49.2\% |
| 34 | Baker | 48.8\% |
| 35 | Curry | 45.5\% |
| 36 | Wheeler | 45.0\% |

[^38]
## JOB GROWTH

Definition: The net change in the estimated number of full-time and part-time jobs being performed between the listed year and the year prior, per 1,000 residents in the county.

Job growth focuses on the change in the number of jobs worked. It does not provide perspective on unfilled or vacant jobs potentially available in communities. Job growth serves as an essential measure of economic vitality and tracks closely with productivity. Taken in combination with unemployment and labor force participation rate, net job growth provides valuable insights on the overall labor market in each county and across the state.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hood River | 33.2 |
| 2 | Wheeler | 30.3 |
| 3 | Multnomah | 21.6 |
| 4 | Harney | 20.2 |
| 5 | Marion | 19.3 |
| 6 | Yamhill | 19.1 |
| 7 | Clackamas | 18.4 |
| 8 | Washington | 17.0 |
| 9 | Tillamook | 16.7 |
| 10 | Morrow | 16.4 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 15.7 |
| 11 | Josephine | 15.2 |
| 12 | Umatilla | 15.1 |
| 13 | Linn | 15.0 |
| 14 | Lincoln | 14.8 |
|  | Oregon | 14.8 |
| 15 | Union | 14.5 |
| 16 | Jackson | 14.1 |
| 17 | Baker | 13.8 |
| 18 | Wasco | 13.3 |
| 19 | Clatsop | 12.7 |
| 20 | Crook | 12.4 |
| 20 | Wallowa | 12.4 |
| 22 | Lane | 11.7 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 11.1 |
| 23 | Curry | 10.2 |
| 24 | Deschutes | 9.9 |
| 25 | Malheur | 9.4 |
| 26 | Coos | 8.0 |
| 27 | Benton | 7.2 |
| 28 | Grant | 6.8 |
| 29 | Douglas | 6.2 |
| 30 | Columbia | 6.1 |
| 30 | Klamath | 6.1 |
| 32 | Polk | 5.6 |
| 33 | Lake | 5.2 |
| 34 | Jefferson | 2.0 |
| 35 | Sherman | -5.5 |
| 36 | Gilliam | -28.4 |

Source: US Bureau of Economic
Analysis, Regional Economic
Accounts, CA25N, 2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

## PROPERTY TAX PER PERSON

Definition: The per capita property tax imposed, calculated as the total property tax imposed divided by the number of people in the county.

Property tax serves as an important source of revenue for local governments. Per capita property tax is an indicator of the capacity of local government to provide services such as public safety, roads and other infrastructure, parks and recreation, as well as public health. It is also a measure of relative tax burden. Property tax imposed excludes taxes allocated to urban renewal agencies and special assessments.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | \$5,763 |
| 2 | Sherman | \$4,030 |
| 3 | Morrow | \$3,095 |
| 4 | Lincoln | \$2,430 |
| 5 | Multnomah | \$2,241 |
| 6 | Clatsop | \$2,231 |
| 7 | Tillamook | \$2,224 |
| 8 | Clackamas | \$2,167 |
| 9 | Washington | \$2,039 |
| 10 | Deschutes | \$2,026 |
|  | Urban Oregon | \$1,829 |
| 11 | Wheeler | \$1,779 |
| 12 | Benton | \$1,768 |
|  | Oregon | \$1,767 |
| 13 | Hood River | \$1,689 |
| 14 | Lake | \$1,617 |
| 15 | Lane | \$1,556 |
| 16 | Wasco | \$1,500 |
| 17 | Jackson | \$1,453 |
|  | Rural Oregon | \$1,451 |
| 18 | Columbia | \$1,438 |
| 19 | Linn | \$1,412 |
| 20 | Wallowa | \$1,382 |
| 21 | Baker | \$1,375 |
| 22 | Crook | \$1,358 |
| 23 | Yamhill | \$1,327 |
| 24 | Umatilla | \$1,323 |
| 25 | Marion | \$1,299 |
| 26 | Jefferson | \$1,291 |
| 27 | Grant | \$1,235 |
| 28 | Curry | \$1,204 |
| 29 | Harney | \$1,178 |
| 30 | Coos | \$1,151 |
| 31 | Polk | \$1,140 |
| 32 | Union | \$1,100 |
| 33 | Klamath | \$1,084 |
| 34 | Douglas | \$1,009 |
| 35 | Malheur | \$978 |
| 36 | Josephine | \$927 |

Source: Oregon Department of Revenue, Property Tax Statistics, Table 1.6, 2020-2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

## RENT COSTS (1 BEDROOM/1 BATH)

Definition: The Fair Market Rent (FMR) price for a one-bedroom apartment.

Fair Market Rent (FMR) prices are developed each year for counties and metropolitan areas by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The figures are calculated using the rent prices paid by people who have recently moved and serve as the basis for federal housing assistance programs. FMRs can be used to look at changes in rent costs in an area over time or to compare the cost of rental housing across communities. In Oregon, the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) agency calculates the Portland metropolitan area (Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington and Yamhill counties) as a single area. While rent prices vary considerably across each of these counties, the FMR is still important to consider due to its role in determining the amount of housing assistance available to low-income and other vulnerable populations.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Clackamas | \$1,331 |
| 1 | Columbia | \$1,331 |
| 1 | Multnomah | \$1,331 |
| 1 | Washington | \$1,331 |
| 1 | Yamhill | \$1,331 |
| 6 | Deschutes | \$1,042 |
| 7 | Benton | \$1,031 |
| 8 | Lane | \$917 |
| 9 | Hood River | \$916 |
| 10 | Wasco | \$892 |
| 11 | Linn | \$860 |
| 12 | Curry | \$854 |
| 13 | Clatsop | \$842 |
| 14 | Josephine | \$839 |
| 15 | Douglas | \$836 |
| 15 | Marion | \$836 |
| 15 | Polk | \$836 |
| 18 | Lincoln | \$835 |
| 19 | Jackson | \$788 |
| 20 | Jefferson | \$775 |
| 21 | Gilliam | \$751 |
| 22 | Coos | \$730 |
| 23 | Crook | \$716 |
| 24 | Tillamook | \$708 |
| 25 | Morrow | \$691 |
| 26 | Union | \$680 |
| 27 | Umatilla | \$677 |
| 28 | Lake | \$666 |
| 29 | Wallowa | \$665 |
| 30 | Klamath | \$653 |
| 31 | Sherman | \$643 |
| 32 | Baker | \$637 |
| 33 | Grant | \$626 |
| 34 | Malheur | \$605 |
| 35 | Wheeler | \$585 |
| 36 | Harney | \$578 |

[^39]
## LOW WEIGHT BIRTHS

Definition: The percentage of live babies who weigh less than 2,500 grams (5.5 lbs) at birth.

Low weight births indicate risk factors for both child and maternal health. For the child, low birth weight is a predictor of premature morbidity and death, risk for developmental problems, and respiratory and cardiovascular disease later in life. For the mother, low birth weight indicates multiple concerns including adverse health behavior, limited access to care, and socioeconomic and environmental risks.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grant | 11.0\% |
| 2 | Klamath | 10.7\% |
| 3 | Lake | 10.1\% |
| 4 | Crook | 9.6\% |
| 4 | Wasco | 9.6\% |
| 6 | Coos | 8.4\% |
| 7 | Jackson | 8.1\% |
| 8 | Harney | 8.0\% |
| 9 | Lincoln | 7.9\% |
| 10 | Josephine | 7.8\% |
| 10 | Malheur | 7.8\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 7.7\% |
| 12 | Jefferson | 7.7\% |
| 13 | Umatilla | 7.6\% |
| 14 | Multnomah | 7.5\% |
| 15 | Tillamook | 7.2\% |
| 16 | Baker | 7.1\% |
| 16 | Clatsop | 7.1\% |
| 18 | Lane | 7.0\% |
| 18 | Linn | 7.0\% |
|  | Oregon | 6.9\% |
| 20 | Columbia | 6.9\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 6.8\% |
| 21 | Yamhill | 6.6\% |
| 22 | Washington | 6.5\% |
| 23 | Douglas | 6.4\% |
| 24 | Polk | 6.3\% |
| 24 | Union | 6.3\% |
| 26 | Curry | 6.2\% |
| 27 | Benton | 6.1\% |
| 27 | Clackamas | 6.1\% |
| 27 | Deschutes | 6.1\% |
| 30 | Marion | 5.7\% |
| 31 | Hood River | 5.1\% |
| 32 | Wallowa | 4.3\% |
| 33 | Morrow | 3.4\% |
|  | Gilliam | ID |
|  | Sherman | ID |
|  | Wheeler | ID |

Source: Oregon Health Authority,
Center for Health Statistics, 2021,
updated annually. Released 2022.
ID: Insufficient data per source

## VACCINATION RATE, 2-YEAR-OLDS

In Oregon, the official childhood vaccination series utilized by public health agencies includes the following vaccinations: four doses of Diphtheria, Tetanus, Pertussis (DTaP); three doses of Poliovirus (IPV); one dose of Measles, Mumps, Rubella (MMR); three doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib); three doses of Hepatitis B, one With the exception of four doses of Pneumococcal (PCV), all of these vaccinations are required for children entering preschool, child care, Head Start or Oregon public schools.

Vaccines have prevented countless cases of disease and saved millions of lives. The economic impact of prevented disease due to vaccines and costs. reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value dose of Varicella (Chickenpox); and four doses of Pneumococcal (PCV). the foregone cost of treatment is significant when compared to vaccination

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation. This measure cannot be reported for Wheeler County reliably due to small sample size.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yamhill | 76\% |
| 2 | Washington | 75\% |
| 3 | Clackamas | 74\% |
| 4 | Lane | 73\% |
| 4 | Polk | 73\% |
| 4 | Union | 73\% |
| 7 | Malheur | 72\% |
| 7 | Marion | 72\% |
|  | Oregon | 71\% |
| 9 | Deschutes | 71\% |
| 9 | Jefferson | 71\% |
| 9 | Klamath | 71\% |
| 9 | Multnomah | 71\% |
| 13 | Linn | 70\% |
| 14 | Hood River | 69\% |
| 14 | Lincoln | 69\% |
| 16 | Benton | 68\% |
| 17 | Gilliam | 67\% |
| 17 | Josephine | 67\% |
| 17 | Sherman | 67\% |
| 17 | Umatilla | 67\% |
| 17 | Wasco | 67\% |
| 22 | Baker | 66\% |
| 22 | Douglas | 66\% |
| 22 | Morrow | 66\% |
| 22 | Wallowa | 66\% |
| 26 | Clatsop | 65\% |
| 26 | Columbia | 65\% |
| 26 | Crook | 65\% |
| 26 | Jackson | 65\% |
| 30 | Coos | 64\% |
| 31 | Harney | 62\% |
| 32 | Curry | 60\% |
| 33 | Tillamook | 57\% |
| 34 | Lake | 56\% |
| 35 | Grant | 50\% |
|  | Wheeler | ID |

[^40]ID: Insufficient data per source

## GOOD OR BETTER HEALTH

Definition: The percentage of adults reporting that they have good or better physical health.

Poor health, whether it is physical or mental health, can disrupt daily activities, even when medical intervention is not needed. The data is self-reported based on the question "Would you say that in general your health is..." with five ranking options: excellent, very good, good, fair or poor. This measure is part of the Healthy People 2030 federal framework, which states that the health and well-being of all people and communities is essential to a thriving, equitable society and that achieving the full potential for health and well-being provides benefits to society.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Benton | 87.8\% |
| 2 | Wallowa | 87.5\% |
| 3 | Grant | 86.4\% |
| 4 | Hood River | 86.1\% |
| 5 | Harney | 85.2\% |
| 6 | Clackamas | 85.1\% |
| 7 | Deschutes | 84.4\% |
| 8 | Washington | 84.3\% |
| 9 | Yamhill | 83.4\% |
| 10 | Multnomah | 83.3\% |
| 11 | Lane | 82.8\% |
| 12 | Union | 82.5\% |
|  | Oregon | 82.3\% |
| 13 | Curry | 82.3\% |
| 14 | Baker | 81.8\% |
| 14 | Klamath | 81.8\% |
| 16 | Josephine | 81.5\% |
| 17 | Columbia | 81.4\% |
| 18 | Clatsop | 81.3\% |
| 18 | Jackson | 81.3\% |
| 18 | Tillamook | 81.3\% |
| 21 | Lincoln | 81.2\% |
| 21 | Linn | 81.2\% |
| 21 | Umatilla | 81.2\% |
| 24 | Gilliam | 80.9\% |
| 24 | Sherman | 80.9\% |
| 24 | Wasco | 80.9\% |
| 27 | Polk | 80.2\% |
| 28 | Coos | 79.0\% |
| 29 | Lake | 78.0\% |
| 29 | Marion | 78.0\% |
| 31 | Douglas | 77.3\% |
| 32 | Malheur | 76.6\% |
| 33 | Crook | 75.9\% |
| 34 | Jefferson | 72.4\% |
| 35 | Morrow | 66.2\% |
|  | Wheeler | ID |

[^41]
## MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS

Definition: The number of adults in a county potentially served by a single mental health provider.

Mental health is key to overall health. Due to stigma and the shortage of mental health providers, many mental health conditions go undiagnosed. Furthermore, access to mental health services can be challenging when there is a shortage of mental health providers and appointments are hard to come by. While telehealth can partially alleviate some shortages, and programs such as mental health first aid training can be beneficial in communities, understanding potential access to care is important for planning and investment into mental health care.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 668:1 |
| 2 | Linn | 474:1 |
| 3 | Jefferson | 404:1 |
| 4 | Lake | 394:1 |
| 5 | Wheeler | 363:1 |
| 6 | Wallowa | 343:1 |
| 7 | Polk | 338:1 |
| 8 | Tillamook | 326:1 |
| 9 | Clatsop | 275:1 |
| 9 | Douglas | 275:1 |
| 11 | Clackamas | 270:1 |
| 12 | Columbia | 246:1 |
| 13 | Hood River | 243:1 |
| 13 | Marion | 243:1 |
| 13 | Union | 243:1 |
| 16 | Umatilla | 231:1 |
| 17 | Grant | 214:1 |
| 18 | Klamath | 205:1 |
| 19 | Coos | 203:1 |
| 20 | Curry | 202:1 |
| 20 | Lincoln | 202:1 |
| 22 | Crook | 198:1 |
| 23 | Washington | 197:1 |
| 23 | Yamhill | 197:1 |
| 25 | Malheur | 190:1 |
| 26 | Deschutes | 179:1 |
| 27 | Jackson | 171:1 |
| 28 | Wasco | 166:1 |
|  | Oregon | 158:1 |
| 29 | Baker | 143:1 |
| 30 | Harney | 138:1 |
| 31 | Josephine | 112:1 |
| 32 | Morrow | 105:1 |
| 33 | Multnomah | 100:1 |
| 34 | Lane | 99:1 |
| 35 | Benton | 80:1 |
|  | Sherman | ID |

Source: County Health Rankings analysis of Centers for Medicare \& Medicaid Services National Provider Identification data, 2022, updated annually. Released 2023.

ID: Insufficient data per source

## TOBACCO USE

Definition: The percentage of adults who report that they currently use tobacco, including cigarettes, e-cigarettes, cigars, hookahs or smokeless tobacco.

Tobacco use is the number-one contributor to preventable death in Oregon. It is a risk factor for developing chronic conditions and worsens outcomes for people with chronic conditions. Tobacco use also has economic consequences, costing billions of dollars in medical expenses, lost productivity and early death each year. While cigarette smoking is currently more common than other forms of tobacco use among adults, research shows that youth are increasingly using alternative forms.

* For this measure, data for Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are reported together as North Central Public Health District. This value represents the whole district, so each county shows as the same value, but there is likely variation.

| Rank | County | Percent |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Harney | $39.2 \%$ |
| 2 | Coos | $35.9 \%$ |
| 3 | Baker | $34.4 \%$ |
| 4 | Columbia | $34.0 \%$ |
| 5 | Crook | $32.6 \%$ |
| 6 | Josephine | $31.2 \%$ |
| 7 | Klamath | $29.9 \%$ |
| 8 | Malheur | $29.6 \%$ |
| 9 | Lincoln | $29.1 \%$ |
| 10 | Morrow | $29.0 \%$ |
| 11 | Jackson | $28.6 \%$ |
| 12 | Douglas | $28.1 \%$ |
| 13 | Umatilla | $27.8 \%$ |
| 14 | Hood River | $27.6 \%$ |
| 15 | Curry | $27.1 \%$ |
| 16 | Jefferson | $26.2 \%$ |
| 16 | Lane | $26.2 \%$ |
| 18 | Linn | $26.0 \%$ |
| 19 | Clackamas | $25.0 \%$ |
| 19 | Gilliam | $25.0 \%$ |
| 19 | Sherman | $25.0 \%$ |
| 19 | Wasco | $25.0 \%$ |
| 23 | Yamhill | $24.9 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $24.8 \%$ |
| 24 | Polk | $24.7 \%$ |
| 25 | Union | $24.6 \%$ |
| 26 | Clatsop | $24.4 \%$ |
| 27 | Marion | $23.8 \%$ |
| 28 | Deschutes | $23.2 \%$ |
| 28 | Multnomah | $23.2 \%$ |
| 30 | Tillamook | $21.6 \%$ |
| 31 | Grant | $20.3 \%$ |
| 32 | Lake | $19.7 \%$ |
| 33 | Washington | $18.8 \%$ |
| 34 | Benton | $15.6 \%$ |
| 35 | Wallowa | $12.7 \%$ |
|  | Wheeler |  |
|  |  | 10 |
| 1 |  |  |

Source: Oregon Health Authority, Adult Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2016-2019, updated biennially. Released 2022.

ID: Insufficient data per source

## BROADBAND AVAILABILITY

## Definition: The percentage of households that have broadband internet (25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload) available for purchase.

The internet has changed the way people work, learn, shop and recreate. Today, access to high volume data transfer rates is a key requirement for economic and community development as well as education. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) defines the advanced telecommunications capability benchmark for broadband, which is currently 25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload. To calculate the percentage of households with broadband available, census blocks are analyzed to determine which ones meet the FCC's advanced broadband benchmark. Households in census blocks that meet the FCC's advanced broadband criteria are aggregated and then divided by the total number of households in a county to calculate the percentage of households with the potential to have broadband internet. The challenge is that "available" broadband is often not "affordable."

This measure reports the percentage of households with broadband available for purchase, not the percentage of households that have subscribed to broadband service, which is a much smaller percentage. Exact data on the percentage of households with subscriptions by county is not available at this time.


| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | $99.0 \%$ |
| 2 | Washington | $97.0 \%$ |
| 3 | Clackamas | $94.9 \%$ |
| 4 | Deschutes | $93.7 \%$ |
| 5 | Benton | $92.8 \%$ |
| 6 | Marion | $92.7 \%$ |
| 7 | Lane | $92.3 \%$ |
| 8 | Douglas | $91.2 \%$ |
| 9 | Tillamook | $89.9 \%$ |
|  | Oregon | $89.8 \%$ |
| 10 | Lincoln | $89.3 \%$ |
| 11 | Curry | $86.6 \%$ |
| 12 | Linn | $85.9 \%$ |
| 13 | Polk | $83.1 \%$ |
| 14 | Coos | $82.7 \%$ |
| 14 | Jackson | $82.7 \%$ |
| 16 | Umatilla | $82.3 \%$ |
| 17 | Yamhill | $81.7 \%$ |
| 18 | Clatsop | $79.6 \%$ |
| 19 | Columbia | $78.7 \%$ |
| 20 | Union | $73.8 \%$ |
| 21 | Wasco | $72.2 \%$ |
| 22 | Josephine | $71.8 \%$ |
| 23 | Hood River | $69.2 \%$ |
| 23 | Klamath | $69.2 \%$ |
| 25 | Malheur | $64.8 \%$ |
| 26 | Baker | $64.6 \%$ |
| 27 | Jefferson | $62.9 \%$ |
| 28 | Crook | $60.2 \%$ |
| 29 | Harney | $56.4 \%$ |
| 30 | Sherman | $53.1 \%$ |
| 31 | Wallowa | $51.0 \%$ |
| 32 | Morrow | $48.2 \%$ |
| 33 | Wheeler | $45.1 \%$ |
| 34 | Lake | $37.3 \%$ |
|  | Grant | $29.6 \%$ |
| $17.2 \%$ |  |  |

[^42]NOTE: There are growing efforts to make broadband more affordable for low-income households. Here is an example in Oregon: https://extension.oregonstate.edu/broadband

## CHILD CARE

Definition: The number of child care slots available per 100 children under 13 years of age.

The shortage of child care in Oregon is a complex challenge that many communities across the state are working to resolve. A county is considered a child care desert if there are more than three children for every regulated child care slot. At present, all 36 Oregon counties are considered child care deserts. As a result, many families across Oregon cannot find care for their children. The data reported here reflect child care slots found in child care centers or family child care homes. Inadequate access to such care prevents parents from participating in the workforce and young children from receiving the benefits of early education. Not every child needs access to formal child care. Some parents can rely on relatives or neighbors to care for children. Couples sometimes work different shifts with no overlapping hours so that they can avoid the need for child care. Still, there is a tremendous shortage of supply. Find Child Care Oregon is a state-wide resource (findchildcareoregon.org) designed to help families find child care providers.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Multnomah | 34.5 |
| 2 | Jefferson | 29.0 |
| 3 | Hood River | 25.9 |
| 4 | Benton | 24.1 |
| 4 | Gilliam | 23.5 |
| 6 | Wheeler | 22.2 |
| 6 | Wasco | 21.9 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 20.6 |
| 8 | Lane | 20.4 |
|  | Oregon | 19.9 |
| 9 | Deschutes | 19.8 |
| 10 | Lincoln | 19.3 |
| 11 | Washington | 18.6 |
| 12 | Klamath | 17.9 |
| 13 | Malheur | 17.7 |
| 14 | Wallowa | 16.9 |
| 15 | Jackson | 16.6 |
| 16 | Union | 16.5 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 16.3 |
| 17 | Clackamas | 16.1 |
| 18 | Umatilla | 15.5 |
| 19 | Douglas | 15.1 |
| 19 | Yamhill | 15.1 |
| 21 | Grant | 14.6 |
| 22 | Sherman | 14.5 |
| 23 | Clatsop | 14.3 |
| 24 | Coos | 13.8 |
| 25 | Baker | 13.5 |
| 26 | Josephine | 13.3 |
| 26 | Marion | 13.3 |
| 28 | Polk | 12.3 |
| 29 | Linn | 11.7 |
| 30 | Columbia | 11.6 |
| 31 | Curry | 10.6 |
| 32 | Morrow | 9.9 |
| 33 | Harney | 9.8 |
| 34 | Tillamook | 9.5 |
| 35 | Crook | 8.6 |
| 36 | Lake | 5.0 |

Source: Oregon Child Care
Research Partnership, 2020,
updated biennially. Released 2021.

## ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS

## Definition: The number of publicly accessible electric vehicle charging stations within the boundaries of a particular county.

With more electric vehicles on the road with each passing year and legislation that encourages this increase, the availability of charging stations has become critical infrastructure. In 2019, Oregon Senate Bill 1044 outlined the following zero-emission vehicle goals: 1) at least 25 percent of registered vehicles and at least half of new vehicles sold are zero-emission by 2023; and 2 ) at least 90 percent of new vehicles sold are zero-emission by 2035. Infrastructure to support these vehicles is important for residents, commuters and people passing through for commerce or tourism. This measure includes three different types of charging stations, some of which are less efficient or not appropriate for certain vehicle types. In addition, some charging stations may only be accessible during business hours or specific days.


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon | 2,395 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 1,792 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 603 |
| 1 | Multnomah | 402 |
| 2 | Washington | 351 |
| 3 | Clackamas | 219 |
| 4 | Lane | 208 |
| 5 | Marion | 178 |
| 6 | Jackson | 108 |
| 7 | Douglas | 107 |
| 8 | Deschutes | 104 |
| 9 | Lincoln | 77 |
| 10 | Yamhill | 71 |
| 11 | Hood River | 57 |
| 12 | Clatsop | 56 |
| 13 | Benton | 47 |
| 14 | Klamath | 46 |
| 15 | Coos | 45 |
| 16 | Tillamook | 38 |
| 17 | Linn | 32 |
| 18 | Jefferson | 31 |
| 19 | Polk | 28 |
| 20 | Umatilla | 27 |
| 21 | Josephine | 24 |
| 22 | Wasco | 23 |
| 23 | Columbia | 20 |
| 24 | Curry | 13 |
| 25 | Crook | 12 |
| 25 | Morrow | 12 |
| 27 | Baker | 11 |
| 27 | Union | 11 |
| 29 | Malheur | 10 |
| 30 | Harney | 9 |
| 31 | Wallowa | 6 |
| 32 | Wheeler | 5 |
| 33 | Grant | 4 |
| 34 | Gilliam | 2 |
| 35 | Lake | 1 |
| 36 | Sherman | 0 |

[^43]
## MOBILE HOMES

Definition: The percentage of housing units reported as mobile homes.

Mobile homes are an often maligned but important source of affordable housing. They represent the largest segment of nonsubsidized affordable housing in the United States. These homes, whether single or double wide, provide low-cost housing for millions of people in the United States who often own their mobile home and lease the land it sits on. While mobile homes do present a range of challenges, they also provide housing opportunities for individuals and families experiencing economic hardship, and they have the potential to develop micro-communities when mobile housing is clustered in parks.


Top third Middle third $\square$ Bottom third

| Rank | County | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Morrow | 35.4\% |
| 2 | Sherman | 25.6\% |
| 3 | Grant | 23.8\% |
| 4 | Harney | 23.0\% |
| 5 | Wheeler | 21.5\% |
| 6 | Douglas | 19.6\% |
| 7 | Wasco | 19.3\% |
| 8 | Jefferson | 18.5\% |
| 9 | Lake | 18.4\% |
| 10 | Curry | 17.1\% |
| 11 | Umatilla | 16.0\% |
| 12 | Gilliam | 15.7\% |
| 12 | Josephine | 15.7\% |
| 14 | Crook | 15.6\% |
|  | Rural Oregon | 15.5\% |
| 15 | Baker | 15.5\% |
| 16 | Malheur | 15.0\% |
| 17 | Coos | 14.6\% |
| 18 | Lincoln | 14.3\% |
| 19 | Union | 14.2\% |
| 20 | Linn | 13.5\% |
| 21 | Klamath | 13.3\% |
| 22 | Columbia | 12.3\% |
| 23 | Wallowa | 11.7\% |
| 24 | Hood River | 11.0\% |
| 25 | Jackson | 10.9\% |
| 26 | Tillamook | 10.4\% |
| 27 | Yamhill | 9.9\% |
| 28 | Lane | 8.3\% |
| 29 | Marion | 7.9\% |
|  | Oregon | 7.5\% |
| 30 | Polk | 7.1\% |
| 31 | Clatsop | 6.0\% |
| 32 | Clackamas | 5.7\% |
| 33 | Benton | 5.6\% |
| 34 | Deschutes | 5.2\% |
|  | Urban Oregon | 4.0\% |
| 35 | Washington | 2.2\% |
| 36 | Multnomah | 1.6\% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
American Community Survey, Table DP04, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

## VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED（PER CAPITA）

## Definition：The number of vehicle miles traveled in a county per person，calculated as the number of vehicle miles traveled on Oregon state－owned highways within a county divided by the total population of the county．

Vehicle miles traveled per capita is used in transportation planning， including decisions on highway expansion，as well as tracking the effectiveness of different land use development strategies．It is important to note that per capita vehicle miles traveled are not directly a reflection of how much county residents drive．Counties with high commercial traffic and smaller populations will end up having a larger per capita vehicle miles traveled．


| Rank | County | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gilliam | 97，554 |
| 2 | Sherman | 72，838 |
| 3 | Baker | 20，590 |
| 4 | Morrow | 17，208 |
| 5 | Harney | 15，902 |
| 6 | Wheeler | 15，894 |
| 7 | Hood River | 15，258 |
| 8 | Wasco | 15，211 |
| 9 | Malheur | 12，336 |
| 10 | Union | 11，005 |
| 11 | Douglas | 10，606 |
|  | Rural Oregon | 10，059 |
| 12 | Tillamook | 9，506 |
| 13 | Lake | 9，371 |
| 14 | Jefferson | 9，299 |
| 15 | Umatilla | 9，219 |
| 16 | Grant | 9，011 |
| 17 | Linn | 8，952 |
| 18 | Clatsop | 8，726 |
| 19 | Lincoln | 7，868 |
| 20 | Klamath | 7，654 |
| 21 | Josephine | 6，187 |
| 22 | Wallowa | 6，069 |
| 23 | Curry | 5，553 |
| 24 | Marion | 5，291 |
| 25 | Columbia | 5，184 |
|  | Oregon | 5，160 |
| 26 | Coos | 5，101 |
| 27 | Polk | 5，082 |
| 28 | Crook | 4，988 |
| 29 | Jackson | 4，602 |
| 30 | Deschutes | 4，246 |
| 31 | Clackamas | 4，232 |
|  | Urban Oregon | 4，206 |
| 32 | Lane | 4，201 |
| 33 | Yamhill | 4，184 |
| 34 | Multnomah | 3，628 |
| 35 | Washington | 2，896 |
| 36 | Benton | 2，766 |

Source：Oregon Department
of Transportation，Population
Research Center at Portland State University，2021，updated annually． Released 2022.

## For more information

The data contained in this report are available on the Rural Communities Explorer: http://oregonexplorer.info/rural

Explore more data topics by state, county and city:
http://oe.oregonexplorer.info/rural/CommunitiesReporter/

This edition and previous editions of Oregon by the Numbers are available for PDF download:
tfff.org/obtn

Sign up to receive a notification when the next edition of this report is available:
tfff.org

Send your feedback to:
obtn@tfff.org
@FordFamilyFound
\#OregonByTheNumbers

## Connect with Us

## How do you use \#OregonByTheNumbers? <br> $f(0)$ in <br> @FordFamilyFound

## tfff.org


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For federal data available only at the county level, this report uses the designations of "metropolitan" and "non-metro" from the Office of Management and Budget. According to this definition, three counties that The Ford Family Foundation considers rural are defined as urban: Columbia, Josephine and Yamhill. This is due to their proximity to larger urban areas in adjacent counties.

[^1]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^2]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^3]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^4]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^5]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^6]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^7]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^8]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^9]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^10]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^11]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^12]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^13]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^14]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^15]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^16]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^17]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^18]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^19]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^20]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^21]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^22]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^23]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^24]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^25]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^26]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^27]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^28]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^29]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^30]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^31]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^32]:    * Interpret with caution for small counties (population under 10,000).

[^33]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B01003, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^34]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority, Vital Statistics, Table 11, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^35]:    Source: US Geological Survey, Multi-
    Resolution Land Characteristics
    Consortium, National Land Cover Database, 2019, updated every five years. Released 2021.

[^36]:    Source: Office of the Oregon
    Secretary of State, 2022, updated biennially. Released 2022.

[^37]:    Source: Oregon Employment
    Department, Economic Data, 2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

[^38]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B23025, 2017-2021, 5-year estimates updated annually. Released 2022.

[^39]:    Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research, Fair Market Rents, 2021, updated annually. Released 2021.

[^40]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority,
    Oregon Child Immunization Rates, 2021, updated annually. Released 2022.

[^41]:    Source: Oregon Health Authority,
    Adult Behavioral Risk Factor
    Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2016-2019, updated biennially. Released 2022.

    ID: Insufficient data per source

[^42]:    Source: FCC National Broadband
    Map, 2022, updated annually. Released 2023.

[^43]:    Source: U.S. Department of Energy, Alternative Fuels Data Center, 2023, collected annually. Released 2023.

